



# **The Anchorage Community Survey, 2007**

---

## ***Sourcebook***

**Shel Llee Flexman-Evans**

Justice Center

University of Alaska Anchorage

JC 0508.022

September 2009



**UAA Justice Center**  
UNIVERSITY of ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Justice Center  
3211 Providence Drive  
University of Alaska Anchorage  
Anchorage, Alaska 99508

All rights reserved. Published by the Justice Center in 2009 (JC 0508.022)

© 2009 Justice Center, University of Alaska Anchorage

Printed in the United States of America

## Acknowledgments

---

We want to begin by thanking all of the respondents who donated their time and shared their thoughts to make this survey possible. Thank you! Surveys are only as good as their respondents, and we certainly are grateful for all of the thoughtful, insightful, helpful, and sometimes brutally honest responses we received from people all over the municipality.

A survey like this one requires a staff with dedication, attention to detail, and a willingness to push through even the monotonous hours of precision folding and application of individual stamps to provide potential respondents with the best possible opportunity to share their perspectives. We were very lucky to have a survey staff that possessed all of these qualities—and considerable patience. Ben Cheeseman and Alicia Diercks were instrumental in notifying potential respondents about the survey, providing them with follow-up contacts, logging returned mail, converting paper questionnaires for optical mark recognition, and performing data cleaning. They comprised the backbone of this survey. We wish to thank Debbie Cooper and Barbara Pepek for their efforts as well.

The Community Indicators Project at UAA has been truly fortunate to be able to draw on the skills, resources, and generosity of members of the UAA Justice Center. Alan McKelvie not only hosted the web survey, but indeed provided the impetus to offer an option to complete the survey online. He was always willing to troubleshoot software problems, to give us the benefit of his institutional knowledge about past surveys, and even to vet our address list to exclude the children's names that we had been provided in this list of the “adult” population. Don Yunker provided the GIS expertise that we needed to be able to geocode our address list for community council areas, making the results presented here infinitely more interesting than they would have been as mere comparisons of census tract 23.03 to census tract 16.01. Most of us can discern which community council area we live in, but few of us identify as strongly with our census tract. Don developed a website for us to be able to share both our findings and our database from this survey as well as the 2005 survey and the to-be-completed 2009 survey ([www.alaskaindicators.org](http://www.alaskaindicators.org)). Don shared the joys and frustrations of each step of the survey process, from the earliest inklings that we would be doing this survey through its completion. Marie Brunner was a constant source of institutional knowledge, practical assistance, and general support. Though her duties are nearly doubled every time that we run a survey, she remained upbeat and ready to tackle new challenges with us each time. With every logistical disaster that could have derailed our work, Marie had quick solutions that brought us back on track. André Rosay, the UAA Justice Center's Director, has devoted critical resources from the Center to fund and sustain this project. He has been an outstanding ambassador for our work to the Municipality, Anchorage Police Department, and area community organizations. We would like to thank Antonia Moras, Barbara Armstrong, and Melissa Green for their efforts to make this sourcebook presentable and intelligible for the public, as it was intended. And finally, we would like to thank the former director of the UAA Justice Center, Robert Langworthy, for his support of our process and for passing along to us his dream of generating a warehouse of longitudinal data that could be used to paint the changing portrait of our diverse Anchorage communities.

*Intentionally left blank.*

## Table of Contents

---

Acknowledgments .....	i
Table of Contents .....	iii
List of Tables .....	iv
<b>Report .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction.....	1
Methodology .....	1
Organization of the Sourcebook .....	2
Respondent Demographic Information.....	3
Part 1. Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods .....	4
Part 2. Social Activities and Organizations.....	5
Part 3. Local Services .....	6
Locator Maps .....	9
Figure 1. Municipality of Anchorage Locator Map .....	9
Figure 2. Anchorage Community Council Areas .....	10
Figure 3. Chugiak-Eagle River Community Council Areas .....	11
Figure 4. Girdwood Community Council Area.....	12
<b>Tables.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Respondent Demographic Characteristics .....	13
Part 1. Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods .....	41
Part 2. Social Activities and Organizations.....	165
Part 3. Local Services .....	199
<b>Appendix: Anchorage Community Survey 2007 Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>305</b>

## List of Tables

---

### **Respondent Demographic Characteristics .....13**

Table D.01. Respondent's Age.....	14
Table D.02. Respondent's Sex .....	16
Table D.03. Respondent's Marital Status .....	18
Table D.04. Respondent's Highest Educational Attainment .....	20
Table D.05. Respondent's Household Income .....	22
Table D.06. Number of People in Respondent's Household .....	24
Table D.07. Number of Children in Respondent's Household .....	26
Table D.08. Number of Children Who Attend Anchorage School District Schools .....	28
Table D.09. Respondent's Primary Employment Status .....	30
Table D.10. Respondent's Type of Housing.....	32
Table D.11. Respondent's Intent to Remain a Resident .....	34
Table D.12. Number of Years to Remain in Anchorage for Respondents who Intend to Leave .....	36
Table D.13. Respondent's Length of Residency in Anchorage.....	38
Table D.14. Survey Participation by Community Council Area .....	40

### **Part 1. Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods .....41**

Table 1.01. Attitude Towards Neighborhood .....	42
Table 1.02. Opinion of Neighborhood.....	44
Table 1.03. Missing the Neighborhood if Moved Away .....	46
Table 1.04. Trustworthiness of Neighbors.....	48
Table 1.05. Neighbors Getting Along.....	50
Table 1.06. Shared Values Among Neighbors .....	52
Table 1.07. Willingness of Neighbors to Help One Another .....	54
Table 1.08. Close-knittedness of Neighborhood.....	56
Table 1.09. Racism as a Problem in Anchorage.....	58
Table 1.10. Experience of Racism Within Past Year .....	60
Table 1.11. Experience of Racism While Shopping .....	62
Table 1.12. Experience of Racism While at School .....	64
Table 1.13. Experience of Racism While at Work .....	66
Table 1.14. Experience of Racism While Renting or Attempting to Rent Housing.....	68
Table 1.15. Experience of Racism While Buying or Attempting to Buy Housing.....	70
Table 1.16. Experience of Racism from Police .....	72
Table 1.17. Experience of Racism from a Judge, Lawyer, or Other Member of the Justice System.....	74
Table 1.18. Experience of Racism from Government Officials.....	76
Table 1.19. Experience of Racism in Other Situations .....	78
Table 1.20. Intervention by Neighbors Against Graffiti Spray-Painting.....	80
Table 1.21. Intervention by Neighbors Against Disrespect Shown Toward an Adult.....	82
Table 1.22. Intervention by Neighbors Against Budget Cuts to Fire Station .....	84
Table 1.23. Intervention by Neighbors Against Fight Near Home.....	86
Table 1.24. Intervention by Neighbors Against Truant and Loitering Children.....	88
Table 1.25. Incidence of Fights Involving Weapons in Neighborhood .....	90
Table 1.26. Incidence of Violent Arguments Between Neighbors.....	92
Table 1.27. Incidence of Gang Violence in Neighborhood .....	94
Table 1.28. Incidence of Sexual Assaults or Rapes in Neighborhood.....	96
Table 1.29. Incidence of Robberies, Burglaries, or Muggings in Neighborhood .....	98
Table 1.30. Abandoned Cars or Buildings in Neighborhood .....	100
Table 1.31. Rundown or Neglected Buildings in Neighborhood .....	102
Table 1.32. Poor Lighting in Neighborhood.....	104
Table 1.33. Overgrown Shrubs or Trees in Neighborhood .....	106
Table 1.34. Trash in Streets in Neighborhood .....	108
Table 1.35. Empty Lots in Neighborhood.....	110
Table 1.36. Public Drinking or Drug Use in Neighborhood .....	112
Table 1.37. Public Drug Sale in Neighborhood.....	114
Table 1.38. Vandalism or Graffiti in Neighborhood .....	116
Table 1.39. Prostitution in Neighborhood.....	118
Table 1.40. Panhandling in Neighborhood .....	120

Table 1.41. Loitering in Neighborhood .....	122
Table 1.42. Truancy in Neighborhood .....	124
Table 1.43. Transients or Homelessness in Neighborhood .....	126
Table 1.44. Fear of Victimization by Burglary .....	128
Table 1.45. Fear of Victimization by Sexual Assault .....	130
Table 1.46. Fear of Victimization by Murder .....	132
Table 1.47. Fear of Victimization by Kidnapping .....	134
Table 1.48. Fear of Attack with Weapon .....	136
Table 1.49. Fear of Crime Preventing Activities in Neighborhood .....	138
Table 1.50. Victimization by Violence While Living in Neighborhood .....	140
Table 1.51. Locking Doors at Night and When Away .....	142
Table 1.52. Locking Doors During Day and When at Home .....	144
Table 1.53. Use of Home Security System .....	146
Table 1.54. Use of Vehicle Security System .....	148
Table 1.55. Keeping Dog for Self-protection .....	150
Table 1.56. Taking Self-defense Lessons .....	152
Table 1.57. Keeping Firearm in Home for Self-protection .....	154
Table 1.58. "Danger" Signal with Neighbors .....	156
Table 1.59. Attending Neighborhood Watch Meetings .....	158
Table 1.60. Outside/Automatic Lights to Deter Prowler .....	160
Table 1.61. Other Strategies for Self-protection .....	162

## **Part 2. Social Activities and Organizations .....165**

Table 2.01. Borrowing from or Lending to Neighbors .....	166
Table 2.02. Visiting with Neighbors .....	168
Table 2.03. Knowing Neighbors by Sight or Name .....	170
Table 2.04. Friends and Relatives in the Neighborhood .....	172
Table 2.05. Length of Residence in Current Home .....	174
Table 2.06. Membership in Religious Organization .....	176
Table 2.07. Membership in Local Political Organization .....	178
Table 2.08. Membership in Neighborhood Organization .....	180
Table 2.09. Membership in Business or Civic Group .....	182
Table 2.10. Membership in Ethnic or Nationality Club .....	184
Table 2.11. Membership in Neighborhood Watch Group .....	186
Table 2.12. Membership in Other Local Organization .....	188
Table 2.13. History of Volunteering within Past Year .....	190
Table 2.14. Time Spent in Volunteer Activities .....	192
Table 2.15. Volunteering Provided to Neighborhood Youth .....	194
Table 2.16. Volunteering Provided to Justice Issues .....	196

## **Part 3. Local Services .....199**

Table 3.01. Satisfaction with Garbage Collection Services .....	200
Table 3.02. Satisfaction with Snow Removal Services .....	202
Table 3.03. Satisfaction with Recreational Facilities .....	204
Table 3.04. Satisfaction with Local Street and Road Conditions .....	206
Table 3.05. Satisfaction with Fire Services .....	208
Table 3.06. Satisfaction with Emergency Medical Services .....	210
Table 3.07. Satisfaction with Police Services .....	212
Table 3.08. Satisfaction with K-12 Education Services .....	214
Table 3.09. Satisfaction with People Mover Bus Services .....	216
Table 3.10. Usage of Anchorage Fire Services in Past Twelve Months .....	218
Table 3.11. Usage of Anchorage Emergency Medical Services in Past Twelve Months .....	220
Table 3.12. Usage of Anchorage K-12 Educational Services in Past Twelve Months .....	222
Table 3.13. Usage of People Mover Bus Services in Past Twelve Months .....	224
Table 3.14. Interaction with Municipal Departments About Municipal Issues in Last Twelve Months .....	226
Table 3.15. Opinion of Opportunities for Input on Municipal Decisions .....	228
Table 3.16. Opinion of Municipality's Distribution of Information to Residents .....	230
Table 3.17. Opinion of Municipal Employees .....	232
Table 3.18. Opinion of Municipality's Willingness to Address Problems .....	234
Table 3.19. Opinion of Quality of Health Care Available in Anchorage .....	236
Table 3.20. Number of Times Received Medical Care in Past Year .....	238
Table 3.21. Health Care Coverage .....	240
Table 3.22. Need for Medical Attention in Past Year .....	242
Table 3.23. Success at Obtaining Needed Medical Treatment .....	244
Table 3.24. Obtaining Health Care from Private Doctor or Clinic .....	246
Table 3.25. Obtaining Health Care at Emergency Room .....	248
Table 3.26. Obtaining Health Care from a Hospital .....	250
Table 3.27. Obtaining Health Care from Veteran's Administration Hospitals or Clinics .....	252

Table 3.28. Obtaining Health Care from Neighborhood/Community Health Clinics .....	254
Table 3.29. Obtaining Health Care from an Urgent Care Clinic .....	256
Table 3.30. Obtaining Health Care from a Native Health Facility.....	258
Table 3.31. Obtaining Health Care from an Alternative Care Practice .....	260
Table 3.32. Obtaining Health Care at Elmendorf Air Force Base .....	262
Table 3.33. Not Seeking Treatment When in Need of Health Care .....	264
Table 3.34. Obtaining Health Care from Other Sources .....	266
Table 3.35. Interest in a Community Justice Center in the Neighborhood .....	268
Table 3.36. Volunteering with a Community Justice Center .....	270
Table 3.37. Responsiveness of Police to Local Issues .....	272
Table 3.38. Effectiveness of Police in Dealing with Neighborhood Problems .....	274
Table 3.39. Effectiveness of Police in Preventing Crime in Neighborhood.....	276
Table 3.40. Effectiveness of Police in Responding to Victims of Crime.....	278
Table 3.41. Effectiveness of Police at Maintaining Order in Neighborhood .....	280
Table 3.42. Contact in Past Year with Police to Request Information.....	282
Table 3.43. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report a Situation Requiring Police Intervention .....	284
Table 3.44. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report Being Victimized by Crime.....	286
Table 3.45. Contact in Past Year with Police to Follow Up on Prior Report.....	288
Table 3.46. Contact in Past Year with Police to Provide Witness Information .....	290
Table 3.47. Contact in Past Year with Police on Traffic Violation .....	292
Table 3.48. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Detained Regarding a Crime.....	294
Table 3.49. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Arrested for a Crime .....	296
Table 3.50. Contact in Past Year with Police Through Contact with a Parole or Probation Officer.....	298
Table 3.51. Contact in Past Year with Police While Socializing.....	300
Table 3.52. Contact in Past Year with Police for Other Reasons .....	302



# **2007 Anchorage Community Survey Sourcebook**

## **Introduction**

The Anchorage Community Survey 2007 is the second in our series of biannual surveys of the Anchorage municipality. The goal of these surveys is to build a longitudinal data source for public opinions about life in Anchorage neighborhoods, perceptions of local services, and reports of community interactions for use by researchers, policymakers, and community members interested in the investigation of community contexts.

This sourcebook presents the results of the Anchorage Community Survey 2007 in a detailed tabular format with accompanying graphics. These findings can help the Municipality and area service providers to prioritize projects, improve services, and obtain accurate feedback about life in Anchorage. They also provide important information to UAA in its advancement of community research. Finally, they will serve as a useful reference for Anchorage residents curious about how their neighbors view issues of local interest.

## **Methodology**

The survey questionnaire has been developed through years of pretesting and adoption of measures that have proven reliable and valid in previously published studies. In each survey year, key concepts are revisited and new items are added to reflect a broad range of areas of interest for university researchers and community organizations. This year's survey instrument comprised 12 pages and 85 questions (see appendix).

The survey design utilized the Tailored Design Method (Dillman 2007). The UAA Justice Center initially contacted 3,780 potential respondents, with 60 respondents randomly selected for inclusion from 43 of the census tracts in the Municipality of Anchorage and 100 respondents randomly selected for inclusion from the remaining 12 census tracts in the Municipality. These are census tracts that have had historically low response rates. The twelve census tracts that were over-sampled were located in the Airport Heights, Fairview, Mountain View, Northeast, Russian Jack Park, South Addition, Spenard, and Tudor areas. Some census tracts span more than one community council area and some community councils contain more than one census tract. The UAA Justice Center mailed prenotice letters to every individual selected for inclusion in the stratified random sample approximately one week before the questionnaire was delivered. Over the next five weeks, the UAA Justice Center mailed the Anchorage Community Survey questionnaire,<sup>1</sup> a follow-up postcard, and

---

1. The questionnaire arrived with a cover letter and a two-dollar bill as an incentive for recipients to devote the time and attention necessary to complete the survey.

a replacement questionnaire to residents in the sample. Residents from the twelve over-sampled census tracts who had not yet completed questionnaires received a final replacement questionnaire and a letter tailored to their community area. Surveys could be completed online using a unique pin login or filled out on the paper questionnaires provided. All completed surveys were delivered (electronically or by mail) to the UAA Justice Center.

Survey collection, data entry, and database management occurred on-site at the UAA Justice Center. Shel Llee Evans, Ph.D.—a Research Associate at the UAA Justice Center—supervised the construction of the database. Three research technicians scanned completed questionnaires into an Optical Mark Recognition software package that converted respondent answers into an electronic database before they transcribed respondent comments.<sup>2</sup> Data entry began on September 14, 2007 and was finished January 3, 2008. During the fifteen-week collection period, the UAA Justice Center received 1,772 questionnaires by mail or online, achieving a 55.3 percent response rate overall. UAA Justice Center research staff then converted the data into formats readable by SPSS and Stata, two computer programs used in social statistical analyses.<sup>3</sup> Dr. Evans analyzed the data and documented the results in this sourcebook.

All of the results presented here are the unweighted figures compiled from survey returns. No statistical adjustments have been made for race, gender, income, age, or area oversampling. Sample weighting is important when groups who are represented at disproportionate levels in our sample have different attitudes about life in Anchorage neighborhoods, the quality of local services, or the content of their community interactions. Nonetheless, unweighted data can be used with confidence if one compares samples that had different probabilities of selection rather than considering them as unified parts of a single sample. Because the sampling design allowed unequal probabilities of selection by community area, the area-specific results presented in this sourcebook can be quite useful. Readers should be cautious in interpreting the borough-wide results presented here and should consider the characteristics of respondents in our sample.<sup>4</sup> Percentages reported within are always based on the total number of respondents (N = 1,772), regardless of how many valid answers were provided.

## Organization of the Sourcebook

The sourcebook follows the organization of the survey questionnaire itself (see appendix), which is made up of four major parts: I) Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods, II) Social Activities and Organizations, III) Local Services, and IV) Respondent Background Information. Each of these parts has a corresponding battery of survey questions (numbered 1–38). The aggregate responses to these questions are presented in tabular format with accompanying graphics and the summary tables are numbered according to the four major categories outlined above.

The data analyses proceeded according to a two-pronged approach that is also reflected in the organization of the sourcebook. The first prong involved extracting and presenting highly detailed information for all 1,772 individuals that responded to the survey. The first table for each item in the sourcebook provides these detailed borough-wide results. Most of the survey questions give the respondent a range of options for expressing how strongly they feel about a certain issue. For

---

2. All surveys are anonymous—none of the researchers or staff at the UAA Justice Center know the identities of survey respondents. The returned surveys do not include specific identifying information such as name or address, and the mailing list is never connected to respondents' answers.

3. The SPSS dataset is available online at <http://justice.uaa.alaska.edu/indicators/ACI/index.html>.

4. Please see the section entitled Respondent Demographic Information on the following page.

example, rather than asking simply whether respondents are satisfied with Emergency Medical Services (Part III, Question 18b), the questionnaire asks them to rate the service on an ascending 4-part scale ranging from “very poor” to “very good,” with a fifth option for reporting no opinion in either direction. The sourcebook summary tables and graphs present the proportions of all respondents who rated the service according to each component of this 5-part scale. Additionally, each response was assigned a numerical score (very poor = 1; poor = 2; no opinion = 3; good = 4; very good = 5) and an average rating (ranging from 1 to 5) was computed for each borough service. Higher average scores indicate higher overall satisfaction and lower scores indicate lower overall satisfaction.<sup>5</sup>

The second prong of the data analysis and presentation involved breaking down the responses according to geographic areas within the borough.<sup>6</sup> Do the residents of Eagle River view racism in Anchorage (Part I, Questions 3 and 4) differently than the residents of Fairview? The tables presenting community council area data allow the reader to compare the answers to this question. The second and third tables for each item provide average scores and percentages for each question by geographic area (listed alphabetically) in the Municipality of Anchorage. The second table offers a summary of each community council’s extreme-high and extreme-low categories along with an area average, while the third table provides averages and figures for each available answer category. The average scores refer to all the respondents in the specified geographic area.

The Anchorage Community Survey 2007 provides useful information about how area residents rate and use current Anchorage Municipal services. In addition, the survey will assist UAA in advancing community research. The Anchorage Community Survey 2005 provided a baseline for tracking any changes that might arise in future community surveys. The Anchorage Community Survey 2007 makes this a longitudinal effort and provides a response rate high enough to reduce risks of nonresponse bias while allowing traditionally underrepresented areas to have a stronger voice in describing and shaping our communities.

## **Respondent Demographic Information**

More women than men responded to this survey (59% female, 39% male, with 47 individuals declining to specify their sex), despite comparable numbers of men and women selected into the sample. The average age of respondents was 48 years. Over half of the respondents were married (59%) and 79 percent had some education beyond high school (28% had completed some college credits, 11% had an Associate’s degree, 26% had a Bachelor’s degree, and 15% had a graduate degree). More than half of the respondents (61%) also had a household income of \$50,000 or more. Most respondents were employed full-time (65%) or retired (13%). Over half (58%) of respondents lived in one-person or two-person households, and 63 percent had no children living in the home. Of those with children, most had fewer than two children attending schools in the Anchorage School District. Respondents exhibited long residential tenure in Anchorage, with 59 percent having lived here more than 15 years and 37 percent having lived here for more than 25

---

5. This same logic applies to other questions in which potential responses range from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree.”

6. Each address in the mailing list came with a census tract and block group code. This type of area designation is suitable for the kind of research many sociologists or criminologists would be interested in conducting. However, it has little utility for local residents interested in learning what people in their community think. Accordingly, staff at the UAA Justice Center have geocoded respondent locations to approximate their community council areas before destroying the record of each individual’s address.

years. Seventy-six percent of respondents owned their own home and 61 percent did not expect to leave Anchorage in the foreseeable future.

## **Part 1. Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods**

Residents throughout the Municipality of Anchorage generally liked their neighborhood and generally reported a sense of community with their neighbors. The overwhelming majority of respondents (91%) liked or strongly liked their neighborhood as a place to live. In addition, 82 percent of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that their neighborhood is an excellent place to live. Respondents also reported that their neighbors can be trusted, they get along with each other, they share the same values, and they are willing to help one another. The most consistent positive assessments of neighborhoods came from Rabbit Creek and Rogers Park, while the lowest repeated evaluations came from residents of Mountain View, Russian Jack, and Fairview.<sup>7</sup> Only 43 percent of all respondents reported that their neighborhood is close-knit, but most respondents indicated that neighbors could be counted on to intervene in cases of juvenile delinquency and youth misbehavior, such as graffiti spray-painting, (though truancy seems less likely to produce that intervention than other forms of delinquency), and to intervene if there were a fight in front of their own house. Respondents also indicated that neighbors would mobilize if their local fire station were threatened with budget cuts. Respondents' faith in neighbors' willingness to intervene on this range of issues was consistently lowest in Mountain View and Fairview. However, average scores on these items were somewhat lower this year than they were in 2005, indicating that generally respondents believed their neighbors were now somewhat less likely to intervene in neighborhood problems.

Thirty-six percent of respondents indicated they felt racism had been a problem in Anchorage in the past year, though the percentage ranged higher in Bear Valley (67%), Mountain View (59%) and Basher (56%) and lower in Fort Richardson (18%), Turnagain Arm (18%), and South Fork (19%). Twenty-seven percent of Anchorage respondents said that they had personally experienced racism in the past year. Respondents most commonly reported racism while they were shopping and while they were at work (12% and 11%, respectively). Only five percent of total respondents said that they had experienced racism from police, and three percent reported racism from the justice system.

Nearly 26 percent of respondents reported that a burglary, robbery, or mugging had occurred in their neighborhood in the past six months, and almost 16 percent reported that a violent argument between neighbors had occurred during that same time. Rogers Park and Airport Heights residents reported more burglaries, robberies, and muggings than any other community council area residents. Neighborhood experiences of violence were 2.5 to 6 times more likely in Mountain View and Fairview, areas with high rates of crime overall.

Forms of neighborhood physical disorder (e.g., poor conditions of buildings, cars, lots, lighting) and neighborhood social disorder (e.g., public drinking/drug use, prostitution, graffiti, homeless sleeping in the neighborhood) were not commonly reported by Anchorage residents. However, almost 25 percent of residents reported forms of physical disorder: abandoned cars or buildings, poor lighting in the neighborhood, and the presence of overgrown shrubs and trees. A range of 7 to 16 percent of respondents indicated that there was some form of social disorder present in their neighborhoods.

---

7. See tables 1.01b through 1.05b.

Most residents had little or no fear of crime in their neighborhoods, and fear of crime rarely prevented participation in normal activities in the neighborhood. However, 16 percent of respondents did find that fear of crime limited their activities, while 18 percent reported a moderate or great amount of fear that they or members of their households would be burglarized while in the home. Residents of Russian Jack, Rogers Park, Mountain View, and Spenard reported the highest levels of fear of crime. On average, fear of crime impeded activities in the neighborhood most for Russian Jack, Mountain View, and Fairview residents. Eight percent of respondents indicated that they had been violently victimized in their present neighborhood, but this number rose to 19 percent in Mountain View and 14 percent in both Russian Jack and Birchwood community councils.<sup>8</sup> Ninety-six percent of residents said that they take some kind of precaution against crime in their homes (e.g., locking doors, using a home security system, belonging to neighborhood watch, taking self-defense lessons), and 46 percent stated that they keep a firearm for protection against crime.

## **Part 2. Social Activities and Organizations**

Sixty-two percent of survey respondents indicated that they belong to one or more local organizations, with most belonging to a religious organization like a church, synagogue, or mosque. Still, respondents in the 2007 survey reported less involvement in every type of social organization than those in the 2005 survey. Birchwood, Chugiak, and Mid-Hillside had the highest rates of organizational involvement each, with 79 percent of respondents belonging to a local organization. Campbell Park, Scenic Foothills, and Turnagain Arm had the lowest rates of participation, with 50 percent or more respondents abstaining from involvement. Throughout Anchorage, most residents visited frequently with their neighbors, knew a good number of them, and reported that they had friends and relatives in the neighborhood. Forty-four percent of residents had lived in their current home for five years or less, while 23 percent had been in the same home for more than fifteen years.

Fifty-seven percent of residents had donated their time without pay to charitable, religious, civic, or other volunteer organizations in the past year. Rogers Park reports the most volunteers, with 86 percent of area respondents donating their time. Of Anchorage volunteers, most donated ten hours or fewer per month. Twenty-one percent of volunteers donated their time to programs that benefit neighborhood youth and 10 percent provided their time for organizations devoted to justice issues.

The biggest public safety issues identified by respondents were, in order of frequency, (1) poor lighting in their neighborhoods, (2) traffic safety concerns about speeding, lack of sidewalks, and children playing in the street, and (3) crime, ranging from property crimes to physical and sexual assaults. A smaller number of people voiced concern about wildlife in the neighborhood, feeling unsafe in city parks and green spaces, and the threat posed both to and from unsupervised children (i.e., that kids may be run over while playing in the street or may fill their adult-free hours with vandalism and minor criminal activity). Most requests for change asked that these problems be eliminated, but concrete ideas for how this might be accomplished were rarely offered. Respondents most often cited the need for better community interaction with their neighbors, better police intervention in their neighborhood through improved visibility and enforcement of the law, and more city-maintained lighting. Many also said that the removal of specific residential or commercial neighbors would vastly improve their neighborhoods. Others campaigned for public space allotment

---

8. Twenty-five percent of Bear Valley respondents reported being violently victimized, but with the tiny subsample of just four respondents, this amounts to one person's report and is not comparable to the larger subsamples of the other community council areas.



for parks and recreational areas and wished for improved housing conditions (i.e., for owners to maintain their properties with necessary repairs and residential niceties like flowers and landscaped yards).

### **Part 3. Local Services**

Municipality of Anchorage residents generally reported being satisfied with the services provided in their neighborhoods and services provided throughout the Municipality. Respondents were most satisfied with the quality of their garbage collection, fire services, and emergency medical services. The lowest satisfaction rankings were assigned to the People Mover bus system, neighborhood recreational facilities, and street maintenance (but all of these services were still rated above average). Just nine percent of respondents indicated that they (or members of their close circle of family and friends) had used Anchorage fire services, 20 percent had used emergency medical services, and 28 percent had used the People Mover. However, People Mover usage was considerably higher in some community council areas, with Fairview (57%), South Fork (48%), and Government Hill (46%) reporting the most widespread use. Ratings of all services were lower in the current survey than they were two years ago, although this may be an artifact of how the question and response choices were presented.

Generally, residents rated the public service provided by Municipal business offices and employees as average or slightly below average. The highest rating was for employment of polite and professional staff. The Municipality garnered the lowest ratings for the perceived willingness to address problems identified by residents and the distribution of information to residents. Only approximately one-third of respondents reported that they interacted with staff members at the Municipality of Anchorage, suggesting that attitudes toward the Municipality may be influenced by news reports or personal perspectives, as well as by contact with staff.

Sixty-six percent of respondents rated the quality of health and medical care services available in Anchorage as good or excellent, but 30 percent rated these services as either fair or poor. Eighty-five percent of those who participated in our survey had access to public or private health insurance, but 14 percent lacked any medical coverage (up from 11 percent two years ago). Turnagain Arm and Fairview reported the most residents without health care coverage, at 30 percent and 29 percent respectively. More than half of respondents sought medical care for themselves and family members more than three times during the past year while 11 percent received no medical care at all. The overwhelming majority of respondents (78%) indicated that they had required medical attention over the course of the previous year, and almost all of these individuals reported that they were able to obtain the care that they needed (75% of the total sample). Seven percent of respondents indicated that although they had needed medical care, they were unable to get it because of several factors: cost, the barrier presented by a lack of health insurance, or the lack of a required medical specialty in Alaska. Respondents in Bear Valley and Mountain View were least successful in securing needed medical care, with 33 percent and 22 percent failure rates, respectively. Most Anchorage residents usually went to a private doctor's office for treatment, but 25 percent of respondents said that they usually went to the emergency department for care, while 12 percent said they ordinarily rely on a hospital for their care. Only 13 percent reported primarily using urgent care clinics meant to divert non-emergency cases away from hospital emergency departments. Among areas where residents reported any use of urgent care clinics, usage was highest in Old Seward/Oceanview (29%) and Mid-Hillside (29%) and lowest in North Star (5%) and Scenic Foothills (5%).

The concept of community justice centers was not well understood by Anchorage residents. After a one-sentence descriptor of such centers, nearly half of respondents were unsure whether they would be interested in having one located in their neighborhood. This suggests limited public awareness of the nature and utility of community justice centers that could be improved through greater education and information dissemination. Twenty-seven percent of our sample expressed an interest in having a community justice center located nearby, while 23 percent opposed its location in their area. Birchwood, Airport Heights, Fairview, and Mountain View respondents expressed the greatest willingness to host a community justice center in their neighborhoods. While 42 percent of respondents were decidedly uninterested in volunteering their time at a neighborhood community justice center, 18 percent voiced an interest and another 38 percent were willing to entertain the possibility of volunteering at a local center.

Anchorage residents provided a generally positive view of police on a range of questions, as they did in the 2005 survey. Most stated that Anchorage police were responsive to local issues (65%), did a good job of responding to problems in the neighborhood (55%), and maintained order on the streets (61%). Although crime rates tended to be higher in Mountain View and Fairview, residents in those areas viewed police responsiveness and efficacy as positively as the rest of the sample. Fifty percent of Anchorage respondents also believed that police were preventing neighborhood crime, up from 42 percent in 2005, and that police responded well to residents after they had been victims of crime (47%). Despite over 50 percent of all respondents reporting that they or someone in their close circle of friends and family had some type of contact with the police in the last year, a range of 16 to 34 percent of respondents indicated they had no opinion about the work police were doing. It may be that those who have had no direct or indirect contact with police feel unable to form an opinion, despite information they may receive from news reports or other sources.

*The complete sourcebook for the 2005 survey and data set is available online at <http://justice.uaa.alaska.edu/research/2000/0508anchsurvey/0508sourcebook.html>. The 2007 sourcebook and dataset are available at <http://justice.uaa.alaska.edu/indicators/anchorage/sourcebook/2007/index.html>.*

*Intentionally left blank.*



**Figure 1. Municipality of Anchorage Locator Map**



**Figure 2. Anchorage Community Council Areas**



**Figure 3. Chugiak-Eagle River Community Council Areas**





Figure 4. Girdwood Community Council Area




## **Respondent Demographic Characteristics**



## Table D.01. Respondent's Age

**Table D.01a. Respondent's Age: Summary**

Question 29. How old were you on your last birthday?



**Table D.01b. Respondent's Age: Summary by Community Council**

Question 29. How old were you on your last birthday?

Anchorage average: 48.24 years

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	Under 25	65 and over		N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	Under 25	65 and over
Abbott Loop	118	43.88	4	5	Mountain View	36	49.28	4	3
Airport Heights	51	49.49	0	8	North Star	36	51.00	2	7
Basher	9	60.56	0	4	Northeast	149	46.39	11	20
Bayshore/Klatt	38	51.16	0	7	Old Seward/Oceanview	26	44.96	0	2
Bear Valley	4	45.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	49	50.53	1	4
Birchwood	14	44.36	2	2	Rogers Park	22	58.50	0	5
Campbell Park	36	44.47	3	4	Russian Jack Park	74	46.74	5	8
Chugiak	32	48.41	2	3	Sand Lake	91	47.23	4	9
Downtown	32	52.06	1	7	Scenic Foothills	41	48.56	2	6
Eagle River	52	44.75	4	5	South Addition	42	53.07	0	11
Eagle River Valley	52	42.53	2	5	South Fork	20	44.75	0	1
Elmendorf	15	34.33	3	0	Spenard	110	50.03	5	19
Fairview	59	51.83	2	18	Taku/Campbell	55	50.65	1	14
Fort Richardson	10	33.60	2	0	Tudor Area	9	60.44	0	5
Government Hill	59	52.32	3	13	Turnagain	93	49.25	3	12
Hillside East	12	52.08	0	0	Turnagain Arm	26	43.23	0	0
Huffman/O'Malley	67	47.94	1	2	University Area	42	49.48	0	6
Mid-Hillside	30	46.90	1	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	11	52.09	0	1

1. 150 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual age as reported by respondent. Higher numbers reflect an older pool of respondents.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 5 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.01b. Respondent's Age: Detail**

Question 29. How old were you on your last birthday?

Row percentages.

	N	Average (years) <sup>1</sup>	Response category											
			Under 25		25–34 years old		35–44 years old		45–54 years old		55–64 years old		65 and over	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,622</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>48.24</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>4.2 %</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>15.3 %</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>20.4 %</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>26.9 %</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>19.9 %</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>														
Abbott Loop	118	43.88	4	3.4 %	30	25.4 %	27	22.9 %	36	30.5 %	16	13.6 %	5	4.2 %
Airport Heights	51	49.49	0	0.0	9	17.6	9	17.6	15	29.4	10	19.6	8	15.7
Basher	9	60.56	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2	2	22.2	4	44.4
Bayshore/Klatt	38	51.16	0	0.0	5	13.2	8	21.1	6	15.8	12	31.6	7	18.4
Bear Valley	4	45.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	44.36	2	14.3 %	3	21.4 %	2	14.3 %	3	21.4 %	2	14.3 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	36	44.47	3	8.3	8	22.2	7	19.4	10	27.8	4	11.1	4	11.1
Chugiak	32	48.41	2	6.3	2	6.3	7	21.9	10	31.3	8	25.0	3	9.4
Downtown	32	52.06	1	3.1	4	12.5	5	15.6	6	18.8	9	28.1	7	21.9
Eagle River	52	44.75	4	7.7	12	23.1	11	21.2	13	25.0	7	13.5	5	9.6
Eagle River Valley	52	42.53	2	3.8 %	9	17.3 %	15	28.8 %	12	23.1 %	9	17.3 %	5	9.6 %
Elmendorf	15	34.33	3	20.0	4	26.7	7	46.7	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
Fairview	59	51.83	2	3.4	8	13.6	12	20.3	14	23.7	5	8.5	18	30.5
Fort Richardson	10	33.60	2	20.0	3	30.0	4	40.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	52.32	3	5.1	6	10.2	8	13.6	14	23.7	15	25.4	13	22.0
Hillside East	12	52.08	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	3	25.0 %	4	33.3 %	5	41.7 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	47.94	1	1.5	6	9.0	16	23.9	27	40.3	15	22.4	2	3.0
Mid-Hillside	30	46.90	1	3.3	3	10.0	9	30.0	10	33.3	6	20.0	1	3.3
Mountain View	36	49.28	4	11.1	4	11.1	3	8.3	11	30.6	11	30.6	3	8.3
North Star	36	51.00	2	5.6	3	8.3	8	22.2	8	22.2	8	22.2	7	19.4
Northeast	149	46.39	11	7.4 %	32	21.5 %	24	16.1 %	36	24.2 %	26	17.4 %	20	13.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	26	44.96	0	0.0	5	19.2	8	30.8	9	34.6	2	7.7	2	7.7
Rabbit Creek	49	50.53	1	2.0	3	6.1	10	20.4	17	34.7	14	28.6	4	8.2
Rogers Park	22	58.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	13.6	5	22.7	9	40.9	5	22.7
Russian Jack Park	74	46.74	5	6.8	12	16.2	14	18.9	20	27.0	15	20.3	8	10.8
Sand Lake	91	47.23	4	4.4 %	11	12.1 %	18	19.8 %	34	37.4 %	15	16.5 %	9	9.9 %
Scenic Foothills	41	48.56	2	4.9	4	9.8	11	26.8	8	19.5	10	24.4	6	14.6
South Addition	42	53.07	0	0.0	6	14.3	8	19.0	9	21.4	8	19.0	11	26.2
South Fork	20	44.75	0	0.0	4	20.0	8	40.0	4	20.0	3	15.0	1	5.0
Spenard	110	50.03	5	4.5	17	15.5	18	16.4	22	20.0	29	26.4	19	17.3
Taku/Campbell	55	50.65	1	1.8 %	7	12.7 %	14	25.5 %	13	23.6 %	6	10.9 %	14	25.5 %
Tudor Area	9	60.44	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2	1	11.1	1	11.1	5	55.6
Turnagain	93	49.25	3	3.2	13	14.0	15	16.1	28	30.1	22	23.7	12	12.9
Turnagain Arm	26	43.23	0	0.0	5	19.2	9	34.6	9	34.6	3	11.5	0	0.0
University Area	42	49.48	0	0.0	9	21.4	3	7.1	13	31.0	11	26.2	6	14.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	11	52.09	0	0.0 %	1	9.1 %	1	9.1 %	5	45.5 %	3	27.3 %	1	9.1 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual age as reported by respondent. Higher numbers reflect an older pool of respondents.

2. 150 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 5 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.02. Respondent's Sex

**Table D.02a. Respondent's Sex: Summary**

Question 30. What is your gender?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Female	1,037	58.5 %	58.5 %
Male	688	38.8	97.3
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,725</b>	<b>97.3 %</b>	
Missing	47	2.7	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table D.02b. Respondent's Sex: Summary by Community Council**

Question 30. What is your gender?

Anchorage average: 1.40

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Female	Male		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Female	Male
Abbott Loop	122	1.38	76	46	Mountain View	42	1.40	25	17
Airport Heights	51	1.45	28	23	North Star	43	1.44	24	19
Basher	9	1.11	8	1	Northeast	157	1.31	108	49
Bayshore/Klatt	40	1.40	24	16	Old Seward/Oceanview	27	1.37	17	10
Bear Valley	4	1.75	1	3	Rabbit Creek	51	1.47	27	24
Birchwood	14	1.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	1.39	14	9
Campbell Park	40	1.43	23	17	Russian Jack Park	79	1.47	42	37
Chugiak	34	1.35	22	12	Sand Lake	97	1.55	44	53
Downtown	38	1.45	21	17	Scenic Foothills	41	1.24	31	10
Eagle River	54	1.35	35	19	South Addition	46	1.46	25	21
Eagle River Valley	54	1.33	36	18	South Fork	21	1.29	15	6
Elmendorf	15	1.20	12	3	Spenard	124	1.44	70	54
Fairview	70	1.47	37	33	Taku/Campbell	58	1.33	39	19
Fort Richardson	11	1.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	1.30	7	3
Government Hill	58	1.41	34	24	Turnagain	98	1.38	61	37
Hillside East	13	1.38	8	5	Turnagain Arm	27	1.52	13	14
Huffman/O'Malley	68	1.40	41	27	University Area	40	1.45	22	18
Mid-Hillside	32	1.41	19	13	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	1.29	10	4

1. 47 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of male respondents, lower numbers reflect a greater number of female respondents. Values are assigned as follows: Female = 1; Male = 2.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.



**Table D.02c. Respondent's Sex: Detail**

*Question 30. What is your gender?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Female		Male	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,725<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>1,037</b>	<b>60.1 %</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>39.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	1.38	76	62.3 %	46	37.7 %
Airport Heights	51	1.45	28	54.9	23	45.1
Basher	9	1.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	40	1.40	24	60.0	16	40.0
Bear Valley	4	1.75	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	14	1.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	40	1.43	23	57.5	17	42.5
Chugiak	34	1.35	22	64.7	12	35.3
Downtown	38	1.45	21	55.3	17	44.7
Eagle River	54	1.35	35	64.8	19	35.2
Eagle River Valley	54	1.33	36	66.7 %	18	33.3 %
Elmendorf	15	1.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	70	1.47	37	52.9	33	47.1
Fort Richardson	11	1.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	58	1.41	34	58.6	24	41.4
Hillside East	13	1.38	8	61.5 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	1.40	41	60.3	27	39.7
Mid-Hillside	32	1.41	19	59.4	13	40.6
Mountain View	42	1.40	25	59.5	17	40.5
North Star	43	1.44	24	55.8	19	44.2
Northeast	157	1.31	108	68.8 %	49	31.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	27	1.37	17	63.0	10	37.0
Rabbit Creek	51	1.47	27	52.9	24	47.1
Rogers Park	23	1.39	14	60.9	9	39.1
Russian Jack Park	79	1.47	42	53.2	37	46.8
Sand Lake	97	1.55	44	45.4 %	53	54.6 %
Scenic Foothills	41	1.24	31	75.6	10	24.4
South Addition	46	1.46	25	54.3	21	45.7
South Fork	21	1.29	15	71.4	6	28.6
Spenard	124	1.44	70	56.5	54	43.5
Taku/Campbell	58	1.33	39	67.2 %	19	32.8 %
Tudor Area	10	1.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	98	1.38	61	62.2	37	37.8
Turnagain Arm	27	1.52	13	48.1	14	51.9
University Area	40	1.45	22	55.0	18	45.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	1.29	10	71.4 %	4	28.6 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of male respondents, lower numbers reflect a greater number of female respondents. Values are assigned as follows: Female = 1; Male = 2.
2. 47 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.03. Respondent's Marital Status

**Table D.03a. Respondent's Marital Status: Summary**

Question 31. What is your current marital status?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Single, never married	293	16.5 %	16.5 %
Married	1,042	58.8	75.3
Separated	33	1.9	77.2
Divorced	264	14.9	92.1
Widowed	88	5.0	97.1
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,720</b>	<b>97.1 %</b>	
Missing	52	2.9	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table D.03b. Respondent's Marital Status: Summary by Community Council**

Question 31. What is your current marital status?

	N <sup>1</sup>	Single, never married	Widowed		N <sup>1</sup>	Single, never married	Widowed
Abbott Loop	122	20	6	Mountain View	46	12	6
Airport Heights	51	12	3	North Star	40	7	3
Basher	9	0	0	Northeast	155	31	9
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	5	0
Bear Valley	4	1	0	Rabbit Creek	52	4	1
Birchwood	14	2	2	Rogers Park	23	2	1
Campbell Park	39	12	1	Russian Jack Park	81	20	4
Chugiak	32	2	2	Sand Lake	98	20	6
Downtown	35	7	2	Scenic Foothills	42	9	1
Eagle River	54	5	2	South Addition	45	12	2
Eagle River Valley	55	4	0	South Fork	21	2	0
Elmendorf	15	0	0	Spenard	123	28	6
Fairview	69	21	8	Taku/Campbell	57	4	2
Fort Richardson	10	0	0	Tudor Area	10	1	2
Government Hill	58	12	4	Turnagain	99	16	8
Hillside East	13	2	0	Turnagain Arm	26	9	0
Huffman/O'Malley	67	1	1	University Area	41	5	1
Mid-Hillside	32	1	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	14	2	3

Note: Averages are omitted from this table as this variable has a nominal scale with no inherent hierarchy or numerical progression. Any values assigned to this variable in the dataset act strictly as labels rather than representing underlying ordering.

- 52 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.03c. Respondent's Marital Status: Detail**

*Question 31. What is your current marital status?*

Row percentages.

	N	Response category									
		Single, never married		Married		Separated		Divorced		Widowed	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,720<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>293</b>	<b>17.0 %</b>	<b>1,042</b>	<b>60.6 %</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1.9 %</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>15.3 %</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>5.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>											
Abbott Loop	122	20	16.4 %	81	66.4 %	1	0.8 %	14	11.5 %	6	4.9 %
Airport Heights	51	12	23.5	28	54.9	1	2.0	7	13.7	3	5.9
Basher	9	0	0.0	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2	5.0	33	82.5	0	0.0	5	12.5	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2	14.3 %	7	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	39	12	30.8	14	35.9	1	2.6	11	28.2	1	2.6
Chugiak	32	2	6.3	26	81.3	0	0.0	2	6.3	2	6.3
Downtown	35	7	20.0	20	57.1	0	0.0	6	17.1	2	5.7
Eagle River	54	5	9.3	35	64.8	4	7.4	8	14.8	2	3.7
Eagle River Valley	55	4	7.3 %	45	81.8 %	0	0.0 %	6	10.9 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0	0.0	14	93.3	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
Fairview	69	21	30.4	24	34.8	2	2.9	14	20.3	8	11.6
Fort Richardson	10	0	0.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	58	12	20.7	26	44.8	0	0.0	16	27.6	4	6.9
Hillside East	13	2	15.4 %	11	84.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	1	1.5	57	85.1	1	1.5	7	10.4	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	32	1	3.1	28	87.5	0	0.0	1	3.1	2	6.3
Mountain View	46	12	26.1	19	41.3	2	4.3	7	15.2	6	13.0
North Star	40	7	17.5	15	37.5	0	0.0	15	37.5	3	7.5
Northeast	155	31	20.0 %	86	55.5 %	2	1.3 %	27	17.4 %	9	5.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	5	17.9	17	60.7	1	3.6	5	17.9	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	4	7.7	42	80.8	0	0.0	5	9.6	1	1.9
Rogers Park	23	2	8.7	17	73.9	1	4.3	2	8.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	81	20	24.7	41	50.6	2	2.5	14	17.3	4	4.9
Sand Lake	98	20	20.4 %	65	66.3 %	2	2.0 %	5	5.1 %	6	6.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	9	21.4	30	71.4	1	2.4	1	2.4	1	2.4
South Addition	45	12	26.7	22	48.9	0	0.0	9	20.0	2	4.4
South Fork	21	2	9.5	17	81.0	0	0.0	2	9.5	0	0.0
Spenard	123	28	22.8	56	45.5	6	4.9	27	22.0	6	4.9
Taku/Campbell	57	4	7.0 %	34	59.6 %	2	3.5 %	15	26.3 %	2	3.5 %
Tudor Area	10	1	10.0	7	70.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	99	16	16.2	55	55.6	2	2.0	18	18.2	8	8.1
Turnagain Arm	26	9	34.6	14	53.8	1	3.8	2	7.7	0	0.0
University Area	41	5	12.2	29	70.7	0	0.0	6	14.6	1	2.4
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	14	2	14.3 %	6	42.9 %	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	3	21.4 %

Note: Averages are omitted from this table as this variable has a nominal scale with no inherent hierarchy or numerical progression. Any values assigned to this variable in the dataset act strictly as labels rather than representing underlying ordering.


1. 52 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.04. Respondent's Highest Educational Attainment

**Table D.04a. Respondent's Highest Educational Attainment: Summary**

Question 32. What is your highest level of formal education?



**Table D.04b. Respondent's Highest Educational Attainment: Summary by Community Council**

Question 32. What is your highest level of formal education?

Anchorage average: 3.90

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Less than high school	Graduate degree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Less than high school	Graduate degree
Abbott Loop	121	3.72	3	10	Mountain View	46	3.35	3	3
Airport Heights	48	4.56	0	9	North Star	42	3.93	4	8
Basher	9	5.11	0	4	Northeast	157	3.46	3	16
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.73	0	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.25	1	6
Bear Valley	4	5.00	0	2	Rabbit Creek	52	4.19	1	8
Birchwood	14	4.36	0	4	Rogers Park	23	4.57	0	10
Campbell Park	38	3.58	2	4	Russian Jack Park	77	3.30	7	6
Chugiak	34	4.15	0	7	Sand Lake	98	3.95	4	17
Downtown	38	4.03	2	8	Scenic Foothills	42	3.90	1	10
Eagle River	52	3.87	0	3	South Addition	47	4.36	0	14
Eagle River Valley	55	4.33	0	12	South Fork	21	4.29	0	5
Elmendorf	15	3.53	0	0	Spenard	123	3.61	5	11
Fairview	70	3.37	3	4	Taku/Campbell	58	3.76	0	4
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	1	Tudor Area	10	3.90	0	2
Government Hill	57	3.81	2	12	Turnagain	98	4.11	2	19
Hillside East	13	5.15	0	6	Turnagain Arm	26	4.42	0	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.33	1	19	University Area	41	4.24	0	8
Mid-Hillside	32	4.03	1	8	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	4.07	1	3

1. 49 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more educational attainment among respondents. Values are assigned as follows: Less than high school = 1; High school or G.E.D. = 2; Some college, no degree = 3; Associate's degree = 4; Bachelor's degree = 5; Graduate degree = 6.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.04c. Respondent's Highest Educational Attainment: Detail**

*Question 32. What is your highest level of formal education?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average (years) <sup>1</sup>	Response category											
			Less than high school		High school or G.E.D.		Some college, no degree		Associate's degree		Bachelor's degree		Graduate degree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,723</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.90</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>2.7 %</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>15.7 %</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>28.6 %</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>11.0 %</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>26.3 %</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>15.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>														
Abbott Loop	121	3.72	3	2.5 %	22	18.2 %	36	29.8 %	15	12.4 %	35	28.9 %	10	8.3 %
Airport Heights	48	4.56	0	0.0	2	4.2	10	20.8	4	8.3	23	47.9	9	18.8
Basher	9	5.11	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	44.4	4	44.4
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.73	0	0.0	6	15.0	19	47.5	1	2.5	8	20.0	6	15.0
Bear Valley	4	5.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	4.36	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	2	14.3 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	38	3.58	2	5.3	7	18.4	12	31.6	5	13.2	8	21.1	4	10.5
Chugiak	34	4.15	0	0.0	3	8.8	12	35.3	3	8.8	9	26.5	7	20.6
Downtown	38	4.03	2	5.3	3	7.9	13	34.2	2	5.3	10	26.3	8	21.1
Eagle River	52	3.87	0	0.0	5	9.6	18	34.6	11	21.2	15	28.8	3	5.8
Eagle River Valley	55	4.33	0	0.0 %	5	9.1 %	13	23.6 %	8	14.5 %	17	30.9 %	12	21.8 %
Elmendorf	15	3.53	0	0.0	2	13.3	7	46.7	2	13.3	4	26.7	0	0.0
Fairview	70	3.37	3	4.3	20	28.6	20	28.6	6	8.6	17	24.3	4	5.7
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	0.0	1	9.1	3	27.3	3	27.3	3	27.3	1	9.1
Government Hill	57	3.81	2	3.5	10	17.5	17	29.8	8	14.0	8	14.0	12	21.1
Hillside East	13	5.15	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	5	38.5 %	6	46.2 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.33	1	1.4	6	8.7	19	27.5	5	7.2	19	27.5	19	27.5
Mid-Hillside	32	4.03	1	3.1	5	15.6	9	28.1	2	6.3	7	21.9	8	25.0
Mountain View	46	3.35	3	6.5	11	23.9	13	28.3	8	17.4	8	17.4	3	6.5
North Star	42	3.93	4	9.5	6	14.3	6	14.3	7	16.7	11	26.2	8	19.0
Northeast	157	3.46	3	1.9 %	33	21.0 %	66	42.0 %	14	8.9 %	25	15.9 %	16	10.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.25	1	3.6	2	7.1	6	21.4	5	17.9	8	28.6	6	21.4
Rabbit Creek	52	4.19	1	1.9	5	9.6	13	25.0	5	9.6	20	38.5	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	4.57	0	0.0	3	13.0	5	21.7	1	4.3	4	17.4	10	43.5
Russian Jack Park	77	3.30	7	9.1	18	23.4	21	27.3	13	16.9	12	15.6	6	7.8
Sand Lake	98	3.95	4	4.1 %	12	12.2 %	30	30.6 %	8	8.2 %	27	27.6 %	17	17.3 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.90	1	2.4	11	26.2	8	19.0	3	7.1	9	21.4	10	23.8
South Addition	47	4.36	0	0.0	7	14.9	10	21.3	3	6.4	13	27.7	14	29.8
South Fork	21	4.29	0	0.0	2	9.5	6	28.6	2	9.5	6	28.6	5	23.8
Spenard	123	3.61	5	4.1	29	23.6	30	24.4	15	12.2	33	26.8	11	8.9
Taku/Campbell	58	3.76	0	0.0 %	8	13.8 %	21	36.2 %	10	17.2 %	15	25.9 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	3.90	0	0.0	1	10.0	4	40.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	98	4.11	2	2.0	16	16.3	22	22.4	6	6.1	33	33.7	19	19.4
Turnagain Arm	26	4.42	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	26.9	2	7.7	16	61.5	1	3.8
University Area	41	4.24	0	0.0	6	14.6	8	19.5	5	12.2	14	34.1	8	19.5
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	4.07	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more educational attainment among respondents. Values are assigned as follows: Less than high school = 1; High school or G.E.D. = 2; Some college, no degree = 3; Associate's degree = 4; Bachelor's degree = 5; Graduate degree = 6.


2. 49 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.05. Respondent's Household Income

**Table D.05a. Respondent's Household Income: Summary**

Question 33. What is your best estimate of the total gross income for your entire household last year?



**Table D.05b. Respondent's Household Income: Summary by Community Council**

Question 33. What is your best estimate of the total gross income for your entire household last year?

Anchorage average: 4.17

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Less than \$20,000	\$100,000 or more		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Less than \$20,000	\$100,000 or more
Abbott Loop	112	4.36	4	31	Mountain View	42	3.48	9	4
Airport Heights	47	4.32	2	12	North Star	40	3.55	7	9
Basher	7	5.43	0	6	Northeast	145	3.61	17	20
Bayshore/Klatt	37	4.92	0	17	Old Seward/Oceanview	22	4.64	2	9
Bear Valley	4	5.25	0	3	Rabbit Creek	48	4.75	5	25
Birchwood	11	4.00	1	2	Rogers Park	21	4.62	2	10
Campbell Park	37	3.65	2	5	Russian Jack Park	71	3.35	11	9
Chugiak	32	4.59	2	12	Sand Lake	91	4.32	7	21
Downtown	33	4.39	3	14	Scenic Foothills	41	4.44	1	9
Eagle River	51	4.25	3	15	South Addition	43	4.28	5	17
Eagle River Valley	53	4.98	2	26	South Fork	21	5.24	1	14
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	2	Spenard	112	3.84	14	27
Fairview	68	2.99	15	8	Taku/Campbell	51	4.02	2	7
Fort Richardson	11	4.09	0	2	Tudor Area	8	4.25	0	2
Government Hill	55	3.49	11	12	Turnagain	89	4.26	8	29
Hillside East	12	5.75	0	10	Turnagain Arm	25	4.08	0	5
Huffman/O'Malley	61	5.38	0	39	University Area	39	4.69	0	15
Mid-Hillside	29	5.14	0	15	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	8	3.50	2	2

1. 180 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect household incomes among respondents. Values are assigned as follows: Less than \$20,000 = 1; \$20,000–\$34,999 = 2; \$35,000–\$49,999 = 3; \$50,000–\$74,999 = 4; \$75,000–\$99,999 = 5; \$100,000 or more = 6.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 8 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.05c. Respondent's Household Income: Detail**

Question 33. What is your best estimate of the total gross income for your entire household last year?

Row percentages.

	N	Average (years) <sup>1</sup>	Response category											
			Less than \$20,000		\$20,000– \$34,999		\$35,000– \$49,999		\$50,000 –\$74,999		\$75,000– \$99,999		\$100,000 or more	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,592</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>8.7 %</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>9.2 %</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>14.1 %</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>22.0 %</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>16.7 %</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>29.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>														
Abbott Loop	112	4.36	4	3.6 %	8	7.1 %	18	16.1 %	27	24.1 %	24	21.4 %	31	27.7 %
Airport Heights	47	4.32	2	4.3	4	8.5	8	17.0	8	17.0	13	27.7	12	25.5
Basher	7	5.43	0	0.0	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	85.7
Bayshore/Klatt	37	4.92	0	0.0	2	5.4	4	10.8	6	16.2	8	21.6	17	45.9
Bear Valley	4	5.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	11	4.00	1	9.1 %	1	9.1 %	1	9.1 %	4	36.4 %	2	18.2 %	2	18.2 %
Campbell Park	37	3.65	2	5.4	7	18.9	9	24.3	8	21.6	6	16.2	5	13.5
Chugiak	32	4.59	2	6.3	2	6.3	3	9.4	5	15.6	8	25.0	12	37.5
Downtown	33	4.39	3	9.1	2	6.1	3	9.1	10	30.3	1	3.0	14	42.4
Eagle River	51	4.25	3	5.9	4	7.8	9	17.6	11	21.6	9	17.6	15	29.4
Eagle River Valley	53	4.98	2	3.8 %	2	3.8 %	1	1.9 %	11	20.8 %	11	20.8 %	26	49.1 %
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	0.0	3	20.0	5	33.3	3	20.0	2	13.3	2	13.3
Fairview	68	2.99	15	22.1	16	23.5	12	17.6	13	19.1	4	5.9	8	11.8
Fort Richardson	11	4.09	0	0.0	2	18.2	2	18.2	2	18.2	3	27.3	2	18.2
Government Hill	55	3.49	11	20.0	7	12.7	11	20.0	8	14.5	6	10.9	12	21.8
Hillside East	12	5.75	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	8.3 %	1	8.3 %	10	83.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	61	5.38	0	0.0	1	1.6	2	3.3	9	14.8	10	16.4	39	63.9
Mid-Hillside	29	5.14	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	6.9	7	24.1	5	17.2	15	51.7
Mountain View	42	3.48	9	21.4	2	4.8	6	14.3	14	33.3	7	16.7	4	9.5
North Star	40	3.55	7	17.5	7	17.5	5	12.5	8	20.0	4	10.0	9	22.5
Northeast	145	3.61	17	11.7 %	19	13.1 %	30	20.7 %	37	25.5 %	22	15.2 %	20	13.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	22	4.64	2	9.1	1	4.5	2	9.1	2	9.1	6	27.3	9	40.9
Rabbit Creek	48	4.75	5	10.4	1	2.1	4	8.3	6	12.5	7	14.6	25	52.1
Rogers Park	21	4.62	2	9.5	2	9.5	2	9.5	0	0.0	5	23.8	10	47.6
Russian Jack Park	71	3.35	11	15.5	14	19.7	14	19.7	12	16.9	11	15.5	9	12.7
Sand Lake	91	4.32	7	7.7 %	6	6.6 %	7	7.7 %	23	25.3 %	27	29.7 %	21	23.1 %
Scenic Foothills	41	4.44	1	2.4	1	2.4	3	7.3	19	46.3	8	19.5	9	22.0
South Addition	43	4.28	5	11.6	5	11.6	3	7.0	7	16.3	6	14.0	17	39.5
South Fork	21	5.24	1	4.8	0	0.0	1	4.8	3	14.3	2	9.5	14	66.7
Spenard	112	3.84	14	12.5	11	9.8	19	17.0	30	26.8	11	9.8	27	24.1
Taku/Campbell	51	4.02	2	3.9 %	4	7.8 %	9	17.6 %	19	37.3 %	10	19.6 %	7	13.7 %
Tudor Area	8	4.25	0	0.0	1	12.5	2	25.0	1	12.5	2	25.0	2	25.0
Turnagain	89	4.26	8	9.0	2	2.2	18	20.2	21	23.6	11	12.4	29	32.6
Turnagain Arm	25	4.08	0	0.0	5	20.0	3	12.0	7	28.0	5	20.0	5	20.0
University Area	39	4.69	0	0.0	4	10.3	4	10.3	7	17.9	9	23.1	15	38.5
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	8	3.50	2	25.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	25.0 %	2	25.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	25.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect household incomes among respondents. Values are assigned as follows: Less than \$20,000 = 1; \$20,000–\$34,999 = 2; \$35,000–\$49,999 = 3; \$50,000–\$74,999 = 4; \$75,000–\$99,999 = 5; \$100,000 or more = 6.


2. 180 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 8 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

# Table D.06. Number of People in Respondent's Household

## Table D.06a. Number of People in Respondent's Household: Summary

Question 34a. How many people currently live in your household, including yourself?



## Table D.06b. Number of People in Respondent's Household: Summary by Community Council

Question 34a. How many people currently live in your household, including yourself?

Anchorage average: 2.60

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	One	Six or more		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	One	Six or more
Abbott Loop	121	2.99	14	9	Mountain View	47	2.30	17	2
Airport Heights	51	2.16	14	2	North Star	43	1.91	15	1
Basher	9	2.22	0	0	Northeast	156	2.72	33	11
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2.70	7	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.96	4	2
Bear Valley	4	2.00	1	0	Rabbit Creek	50	2.90	4	2
Birchwood	14	3.07	3	0	Rogers Park	23	2.35	3	0
Campbell Park	40	2.13	16	1	Russian Jack Park	78	2.83	16	8
Chugiak	34	3.21	4	2	Sand Lake	100	2.72	17	5
Downtown	37	2.35	13	2	Scenic Foothills	42	2.40	6	1
Eagle River	55	2.58	10	1	South Addition	47	2.06	13	0
Eagle River Valley	55	3.11	2	2	South Fork	21	3.00	3	1
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	0	Spenard	123	2.15	36	1
Fairview	69	2.30	25	3	Taku/Campbell	57	2.42	15	0
Fort Richardson	11	4.27	0	2	Tudor Area	10	2.10	2	0
Government Hill	57	2.05	18	0	Turnagain	98	2.38	26	1
Hillside East	12	2.67	1	0	Turnagain Arm	28	2.54	6	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.04	7	2	University Area	41	2.76	7	2
Mid-Hillside	33	3.58	2	5	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.93	7	0

1. 40 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of individuals in respondents' households. Higher numbers reflect larger household sizes.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table D.06c. Number of People in Respondent's Household: Detail**

Question 34a. How many people currently live in your household, including yourself?

Row percentages.

	Response category													
			One		Two		Three		Four		Five		Six or more	
	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,732</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>21.2 %</b>	<b>685</b>	<b>39.5 %</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>15.8 %</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>13.2 %</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>4.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>														
Abbott Loop	121	2.99	14	11.6 %	45	37.2 %	26	21.5 %	18	14.9 %	9	7.4 %	9	7.4 %
Airport Heights	51	2.16	14	27.5	28	54.9	4	7.8	1	2.0	2	3.9	2	3.9
Basher	9	2.22	0	0.0	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2.70	7	17.5	18	45.0	3	7.5	6	15.0	5	12.5	1	2.5
Bear Valley	4	2.00	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.07	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	4	28.6 %	4	28.6 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	40	2.13	16	40.0	13	32.5	5	12.5	4	10.0	1	2.5	1	2.5
Chugiak	34	3.21	4	11.8	9	26.5	7	20.6	8	23.5	4	11.8	2	5.9
Downtown	37	2.35	13	35.1	10	27.0	9	24.3	2	5.4	1	2.7	2	5.4
Eagle River	55	2.58	10	18.2	23	41.8	9	16.4	8	14.5	4	7.3	1	1.8
Eagle River Valley	55	3.11	2	3.6 %	20	36.4 %	14	25.5 %	12	21.8 %	5	9.1 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	0.0	4	26.7	2	13.3	4	26.7	5	33.3	0	0.0
Fairview	69	2.30	25	36.2	21	30.4	10	14.5	9	13.0	1	1.4	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	4.27	0	0.0	1	9.1	2	18.2	5	45.5	1	9.1	2	18.2
Government Hill	57	2.05	18	31.6	27	47.4	5	8.8	5	8.8	2	3.5	0	0.0
Hillside East	12	2.67	1	8.3 %	6	50.0 %	2	16.7 %	2	16.7 %	1	8.3 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.04	7	10.3	20	29.4	17	25.0	15	22.1	7	10.3	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	33	3.58	2	6.1	8	24.2	9	27.3	7	21.2	2	6.1	5	15.2
Mountain View	47	2.30	17	36.2	16	34.0	7	14.9	1	2.1	4	8.5	2	4.3
North Star	43	1.91	15	34.9	23	53.5	2	4.7	2	4.7	0	0.0	1	2.3
Northeast	156	2.72	33	21.2 %	58	37.2 %	28	17.9 %	16	10.3 %	10	6.4 %	11	7.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.96	4	14.3	10	35.7	4	14.3	7	25.0	1	3.6	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	50	2.90	4	8.0	24	48.0	6	12.0	9	18.0	5	10.0	2	4.0
Rogers Park	23	2.35	3	13.0	14	60.9	2	8.7	3	13.0	1	4.3	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	78	2.83	16	20.5	31	39.7	11	14.1	6	7.7	6	7.7	8	10.3
Sand Lake	100	2.72	17	17.0 %	41	41.0 %	16	16.0 %	15	15.0 %	6	6.0 %	5	5.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	2.40	6	14.3	22	52.4	9	21.4	3	7.1	1	2.4	1	2.4
South Addition	47	2.06	13	27.7	23	48.9	7	14.9	3	6.4	1	2.1	0	0.0
South Fork	21	3.00	3	14.3	6	28.6	5	23.8	4	19.0	2	9.5	1	4.8
Spenard	123	2.15	36	29.3	56	45.5	15	12.2	11	8.9	4	3.3	1	0.8
Taku/Campbell	57	2.42	15	26.3 %	22	38.6 %	6	10.5 %	9	15.8 %	5	8.8 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	10	2.10	2	20.0	7	70.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	2.38	26	26.5	37	37.8	16	16.3	12	12.2	6	6.1	1	1.0
Turnagain Arm	28	2.54	6	21.4	9	32.1	5	17.9	8	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	41	2.76	7	17.1	17	41.5	5	12.2	7	17.1	3	7.3	2	4.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.93	7	46.7 %	5	33.3 %	1	6.7 %	1	6.7 %	1	6.7 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of individuals in respondents' households. Higher numbers reflect larger household sizes.


2. 40 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table D.07. Number of Children in Respondent's Household

**Table D.07a. Number of Children in Respondent's Household: Summary**

Question 34b. How many children under the age of 18 currently live in your home?  
(Please write "0" if no children live with you.)



**Table D.07b. Number of Children in Respondent's Household: Summary by Community Council**

Question 34b. How many children under the age of 18 currently live in your home?  
(Please write "0" if no children live with you.)

Anchorage average: 0.66

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	More than four		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	More than four
Abbott Loop	119	0.84	69	1	Mountain View	47	0.72	31	1
Airport Heights	51	0.29	44	0	North Star	43	0.16	38	0
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	156	0.75	100	4
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.75	27	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.07	13	2
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	0	Rabbit Creek	50	0.82	33	1
Birchwood	14	0.86	7	0	Rogers Park	23	0.22	19	0
Campbell Park	40	0.48	31	1	Russian Jack Park	77	0.81	49	1
Chugiak	34	1.18	15	1	Sand Lake	100	0.75	66	2
Downtown	38	0.39	30	1	Scenic Foothills	41	0.41	29	0
Eagle River	55	0.71	34	0	South Addition	47	0.32	38	0
Eagle River Valley	54	1.04	27	1	South Fork	21	0.95	10	0
Elmendorf	15	1.67	4	0	Spenard	123	0.28	101	0
Fairview	69	0.48	50	0	Taku/Campbell	57	0.58	38	0
Fort Richardson	11	1.91	1	0	Tudor Area	10	0.20	9	0
Government Hill	57	0.32	46	0	Turnagain	98	0.57	66	0
Hillside East	12	0.58	8	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.75	15	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	1.00	32	1	University Area	41	0.76	24	1
Mid-Hillside	32	1.16	15	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.47	12	0

1. 45 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of children in respondents' households. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of children.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table D.07c. Number of Children in Respondent's Household: Detail**

Question 34b. How many children under the age of 18 currently live in your home? (Please write "0" if no children live with you.)

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category											
			None		One		Two		Three		Four		More than four	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,727</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>1,143</b>	<b>66.2 %</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>13.1 %</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>4.7 %</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1.4 %</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>														
Abbott Loop	119	0.84	69	58.0 %	17	14.3 %	23	19.3 %	5	4.2 %	4	3.4 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	51	0.29	44	86.3	3	5.9	1	2.0	2	3.9	1	2.0	0	0.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.75	27	67.5	1	2.5	8	20.0	3	7.5	1	2.5	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.86	7	50.0 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	40	0.48	31	77.5	4	10.0	3	7.5	1	2.5	0	0.0	1	2.5
Chugiak	34	1.18	15	44.1	6	17.6	8	23.5	4	11.8	0	0.0	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.39	30	78.9	5	13.2	2	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.6
Eagle River	55	0.71	34	61.8	7	12.7	11	20.0	2	3.6	1	1.8	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	1.04	27	50.0 %	9	16.7 %	11	20.4 %	5	9.3 %	1	1.9 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	15	1.67	4	26.7	2	13.3	4	26.7	5	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.48	50	72.5	9	13.0	7	10.1	2	2.9	1	1.4	0	0.0
Fort Richardson	11	1.91	1	9.1	3	27.3	4	36.4	2	18.2	1	9.1	0	0.0
Government Hill	57	0.32	46	80.7	4	7.0	7	12.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hillside East	12	0.58	8	66.7 %	2	16.7 %	1	8.3 %	1	8.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	1.00	32	47.1	15	22.1	13	19.1	7	10.3	0	0.0	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	32	1.16	15	46.9	7	21.9	5	15.6	2	6.3	2	6.3	1	3.1
Mountain View	47	0.72	31	66.0	6	12.8	6	12.8	2	4.3	1	2.1	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.16	38	88.4	3	7.0	2	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Northeast	156	0.75	100	64.1 %	23	14.7 %	20	12.8 %	6	3.8 %	3	1.9 %	4	2.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.07	13	46.4	8	28.6	5	17.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	50	0.82	33	66.0	3	6.0	8	16.0	4	8.0	1	2.0	1	2.0
Rogers Park	23	0.22	19	82.6	3	13.0	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	77	0.81	49	63.6	10	13.0	8	10.4	6	7.8	3	3.9	1	1.3
Sand Lake	100	0.75	66	66.0 %	11	11.0 %	14	14.0 %	4	4.0 %	3	3.0 %	2	2.0 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.41	29	70.7	7	17.1	5	12.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.32	38	80.9	5	10.6	2	4.3	2	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.95	10	47.6	5	23.8	3	14.3	3	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	123	0.28	101	82.1	12	9.8	8	6.5	2	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	57	0.58	38	66.7 %	9	15.8 %	6	10.5 %	4	7.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	0.57	66	67.3	15	15.3	11	11.2	5	5.1	1	1.0	0	0.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.75	15	53.6	5	17.9	8	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	41	0.76	24	58.5	8	19.5	7	17.1	1	2.4	0	0.0	1	2.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.47	12	80.0 %	1	6.7 %	1	6.7 %	0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of children in respondents' households. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of children.


2. 45 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table D.08. Number of Children Who Attend Anchorage School District Schools

**Table D.08a. Number of Children Who Attend Anchorage School District Schools: Summary**

*Question 34c. How many of your children currently attend Anchorage School District schools?*



**Table D.08b. Number of Children Who Attend Anchorage School District Schools: Summary by Community Council**

*Question 34c. How many of your children currently attend Anchorage School District schools?*

**Anchorage average: 1.32**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	More than four		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	More than four
Abbott Loop	53	1.40	8	1	Mountain View	17	1.29	4	1
Airport Heights	7	1.86	1	0	North Star	6	1.17	1	0
Basher	0	n/a	0	0	Northeast	60	1.20	22	2
Bayshore/Klatt	13	1.23	4	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	16	1.00	7	1
Bear Valley	1	1.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	17	1.82	2	0
Birchwood	7	1.43	2	0	Rogers Park	6	1.00	1	0
Campbell Park	10	1.50	2	1	Russian Jack Park	29	1.59	8	1
Chugiak	22	1.09	9	0	Sand Lake	35	1.34	9	0
Downtown	8	1.38	2	0	Scenic Foothills	12	1.08	3	0
Eagle River	24	1.29	6	0	South Addition	9	1.44	1	0
Eagle River Valley	30	1.40	6	0	South Fork	11	1.27	3	0
Elmendorf	11	1.18	4	0	Spenard	25	1.12	8	0
Fairview	21	1.10	7	0	Taku/Campbell	19	1.74	2	0
Fort Richardson	10	1.70	3	0	Tudor Area	1	1.00	0	0
Government Hill	13	1.46	0	0	Turnagain	33	1.21	10	0
Hillside East	4	0.50	2	0	Turnagain Arm	13	1.31	1	0
Huffman/O'Malley	36	1.19	12	0	University Area	17	1.12	5	0
Mid-Hillside	17	1.59	4	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	3	2.00	0	0

*Note:* Although this question followed one about the number of children residing in respondent's household, it did not specify whether the children of interest would live inside respondent's household, or outside the household, or both. Consequently, represented here are any children self-identified by respondents as *their* children, whether biological or not, in co-residence or not. Figures will not mirror those reported as children living in respondent's household.

1. 44 of the 1,772 survey respondents did not answer this question and are omitted from the table. Another 1,112 had no children and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of children respondents report attending Anchorage School District schools. Higher numbers reflect more of parent respondents' children attending Anchorage School District schools.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table; 12 had no children, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.08c. Number of Children Who Attend Anchorage School District Schools: Detail**

*Question 34c. How many of your children currently attend Anchorage School District schools?*

Row percentages.

	Response category													
			None		One		Two		Three		Four		More than four	
	N	Average	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>616</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>25.8 %</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>34.7 %</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>27.3 %</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>8.8 %</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.1 %</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area:</b>														
Abbott Loop	53	1.40	8	15.1 %	23	43.4 %	19	35.8 %	1	1.9 %	1	1.9 %	1	1.9 %
Airport Heights	7	1.86	1	14.3	2	28.6	1	14.3	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Basher	0	n/a	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	13	1.23	4	30.8	4	30.8	4	30.8	0	0.0	1	7.7	0	0.0
Bear Valley	1	1.00	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	7	1.43	2	28.6 %	1	14.3 %	3	42.9 %	1	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	10	1.50	2	20.0	5	50.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
Chugiak	22	1.09	9	40.9	4	18.2	7	31.8	2	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Downtown	8	1.38	2	25.0	3	37.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
Eagle River	24	1.29	6	25.0	9	37.5	6	25.0	2	8.3	1	4.2	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	30	1.40	6	20.0 %	12	40.0 %	7	23.3 %	4	13.3 %	1	3.3 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	11	1.18	4	36.4	3	27.3	2	18.2	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	21	1.10	7	33.3	7	33.3	5	23.8	2	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fort Richardson	10	1.70	3	30.0	1	10.0	3	30.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	13	1.46	0	0.0	7	53.8	6	46.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hillside East	4	0.50	2	50.0 %	2	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	36	1.19	12	33.3	10	27.8	9	25.0	5	13.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	17	1.59	4	23.5	4	23.5	7	41.2	1	5.9	0	0.0	1	5.9
Mountain View	17	1.29	4	23.5	8	47.1	4	23.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9
North Star	6	1.17	1	16.7	3	50.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Northeast	60	1.20	22	36.7 %	16	26.7 %	16	26.7 %	4	6.7 %	0	0.0 %	2	3.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	16	1.00	7	43.8	6	37.5	2	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.3
Rabbit Creek	17	1.82	2	11.8	4	23.5	7	41.2	3	17.6	1	5.9	0	0.0
Rogers Park	6	1.00	1	16.7	4	66.7	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	29	1.59	8	27.6	8	27.6	6	20.7	4	13.8	2	6.9	1	3.4
Sand Lake	35	1.34	9	25.7 %	11	31.4 %	11	31.4 %	2	5.7 %	2	5.7 %	0	0.0 %
Scenic Foothills	12	1.08	3	25.0	6	50.0	2	16.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Addition	9	1.44	1	11.1	5	55.6	1	11.1	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	11	1.27	3	27.3	3	27.3	4	36.4	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	25	1.12	8	32.0	9	36.0	6	24.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	19	1.74	2	10.5 %	6	31.6 %	6	31.6 %	5	26.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	1	1.00	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	33	1.21	10	30.3	10	30.3	9	27.3	4	12.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain Arm	13	1.31	1	7.7	7	53.8	5	38.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	17	1.12	5	29.4	7	41.2	3	17.6	2	11.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	3	2.00	0	0.0 %	2	66.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	33.3 %	0	0.0 %


Note: Although this question followed one about the number of children residing in respondent's household, it did not specify whether the children of interest would live inside respondent's household, or outside the household, or both. Consequently, represented here are any children self-identified by respondents as *their* children, whether biological or not, in co-residence or not. Figures will not mirror those reported as children living in respondent's household.

- Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of children respondents report attending Anchorage School District schools. Higher numbers reflect more of parent respondents' children attending Anchorage School District schools.
- 44 of the 1,772 survey respondents did not answer this question and are omitted from the table. Another 1,112 had no children and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table; 12 had no children, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.09. Respondent's Primary Employment Status

**Table D.09a. Respondent's Primary Employment Status: Summary**

Question 34. Which of the following best describes your primary employment status? (Please select one.)



**Table D.09b. Respondent's Primary Employment Status: Summary by Community Council**

Question 34. Which of the following best describes your primary employment status?  
(Please select one.)

	N <sup>1</sup>	Self-employed full-time	Retired		N <sup>1</sup>	Self-employed full-time	Retired
Abbott Loop	120	13	13	Mountain View	45	3	6
Airport Heights	49	3	10	North Star	43	2	8
Basher	9	2	5	Northeast	156	15	25
Bayshore/Klatt	40	8	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	27	3	2
Bear Valley	4	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	6	6
Birchwood	14	1	2	Rogers Park	23	3	6
Campbell Park	40	3	6	Russian Jack Park	81	8	9
Chugiak	34	7	3	Sand Lake	99	14	9
Downtown	37	6	5	Scenic Foothills	40	3	3
Eagle River	55	4	10	South Addition	45	7	9
Eagle River Valley	55	4	4	South Fork	21	1	1
Elmendorf	15	0	0	Spenard	122	21	20
Fairview	67	6	16	Taku/Campbell	58	5	10
Fort Richardson	11	0	0	Tudor Area	10	1	5
Government Hill	57	8	10	Turnagain	97	14	14
Hillside East	13	3	1	Turnagain Arm	27	7	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	9	4	University Area	39	2	4
Mid-Hillside	31	7	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	2	3

Note: Averages are omitted from this table as this variable has a nominal scale with no inherent hierarchy or numerical progression. Any values assigned to this variable in the dataset act strictly as labels rather than representing underlying ordering.

1. 53 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table D.09c. Respondent's Primary Employment Status: Detail**

Question 34. Which of the following best describes your primary employment status? (Please select one.)

Row percentages.

	N	Response category																	
		Self-employed full-time		Employed full-time		Homemaker full-time		Student full-time		Employed part-time		Disabled or more		Unemployed looking for work		Unemployed, not looking for work		Retired	
		N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Anchorage total	1,719 <sup>1</sup>	201	11.7 %	958	55.7 %	88	5.1 %	25	1.5 %	129	7.5 %	49	2.9 %	23	1.3 %	9	0.5 %	237	13.8 %
Community Council are:																			
Abbott Loop	120	13	10.8 %	76	63.3 %	8	6.7 %	0	0.0 %	6	5.0 %	1	0.8 %	2	1.7 %	1	0.8 %	13	10.8 %
Airport Heights	49	3	6.1	32	65.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.1	2	4.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	20.4
Basher	9	2	22.2	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	55.6
Bayshore/Klatt	40	8	20.0	20	50.0	4	10.0	0	0.0	2	5.0	1	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	12.5
Bear Valley	4	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	40	3	7.5	26	65.0	0	0.0	3	7.5	2	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	15.0
Chugiak	34	7	20.6	17	50.0	2	5.9	1	2.9	1	2.9	1	2.9	1	2.9	1	2.9	3	8.8
Downtown	37	6	16.2	20	54.1	1	2.7	0	0.0	3	8.1	1	2.7	1	2.7	0	0.0	5	13.5
Eagle River	55	4	7.3	32	58.2	2	3.6	2	3.6	3	5.5	1	1.8	1	1.8	0	0.0	10	18.2
Eagle River Valley	55	4	7.3 %	29	52.7 %	10	18.2 %	1	1.8 %	4	7.3 %	1	1.8 %	2	3.6 %	0	0.0 %	4	7.3 %
Elmendorf	15	0	0.0	8	53.3	4	26.7	0	0.0	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
Fairview	67	6	9.0	34	50.7	1	1.5	0	0.0	4	6.0	6	9.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	23.9
Fort Richardson	11	0	0.0	5	45.5	3	27.3	1	9.1	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	57	8	14.0	27	47.4	1	1.8	1	1.8	7	12.3	2	3.5	0	0.0	1	1.8	10	17.5
Hillside East	13	3	23.1 %	7	53.8 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	9	13.4	38	56.7	4	6.0	0	0.0	11	16.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.5	4	6.0
Mid-Hillside	31	7	22.6	15	48.4	5	16.1	0	0.0	2	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	6.5
Mountain View	45	3	6.7	28	62.2	0	0.0	1	2.2	5	11.1	2	4.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	13.3
North Star	43	2	4.7	27	62.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	9.3	2	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	18.6
Northeast	156	15	9.6 %	80	51.3 %	10	6.4 %	3	1.9 %	12	7.7 %	7	4.5 %	4	2.6 %	0	0.0 %	25	16.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	27	3	11.1	17	63.0	2	7.4	1	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.7	1	3.7	2	7.4
Rabbit Creek	52	6	11.5	28	53.8	7	13.5	0	0.0	4	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9	6	11.5
Rogers Park	23	3	13.0	11	47.8	1	4.3	0	0.0	1	4.3	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	81	8	9.9	48	59.3	1	1.2	1	1.2	7	8.6	6	7.4	1	1.2	0	0.0	9	11.1
Sand Lake	99	14	14.1 %	64	64.6 %	2	2.0 %	0	0.0 %	7	7.1 %	1	1.0 %	2	2.0 %	0	0.0 %	9	9.1 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3	7.5	28	70.0	2	5.0	1	2.5	1	2.5	2	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	7.5
South Addition	45	7	15.6	19	42.2	1	2.2	0	0.0	5	11.1	3	6.7	1	2.2	0	0.0	9	20.0
South Fork	21	1	4.8	16	76.2	1	4.8	0	0.0	2	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
Spenard	122	21	17.2	67	54.9	1	0.8	0	0.0	8	6.6	3	2.5	2	1.6	0	0.0	20	16.4
Taku/Campbell	58	5	8.6 %	27	46.6 %	3	5.2 %	3	5.2 %	5	8.6 %	3	5.2 %	2	3.4 %	0	0.0 %	10	17.2 %
Tudor Area	10	1	10.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	97	14	14.4	50	51.5	6	6.2	1	1.0	6	6.2	1	1.0	3	3.1	2	2.1	14	14.4
Turnagain Arm	27	7	25.9	12	44.4	0	0.0	2	7.4	5	18.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.7
University Area	39	2	5.1	27	69.2	3	7.7	2	5.1	1	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	10.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	2	12.5 %	7	43.8 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	12.5 %	2	12.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	3	18.8 %

Note: Averages are omitted from this table as this variable has a nominal scale with no inherent hierarchy or numerical progression. Any values assigned to this variable in the dataset act strictly as labels rather than representing underlying ordering.

1. 53 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table D.10. Respondent's Type of Housing

**Table D.10a. Respondent's Type of Housing: Summary**

Question 35. Do you own your home or do you rent?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Own	1,347	76.0 %	76.0 %
Rent	365	20.6	96.6
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>96.6 %</b>	
Missing	60	3.4	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table D.10b. Respondent's Type of Housing: Summary by Community Council**

Question 35. Do you own your home or do you rent?

Anchorage average: 1.21

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Own	Rent		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Own	Rent
Abbott Loop	120	1.13	105	15	Mountain View	44	1.32	30	14
Airport Heights	50	1.06	47	3	North Star	43	1.42	25	18
Basher	9	1.00	9	0	Northeast	156	1.27	114	42
Bayshore/Klatt	39	1.08	36	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.25	21	7
Bear Valley	4	1.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	1.02	51	1
Birchwood	14	1.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	1.09	21	2
Campbell Park	39	1.46	21	18	Russian Jack Park	80	1.15	68	12
Chugiak	34	1.15	29	5	Sand Lake	98	1.15	83	15
Downtown	36	1.28	26	10	Scenic Foothills	40	1.03	39	1
Eagle River	53	1.19	43	10	South Addition	46	1.33	31	15
Eagle River Valley	54	1.11	48	6	South Fork	21	1.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	2.00	0	15	Spenard	123	1.29	87	36
Fairview	65	1.49	33	32	Taku/Campbell	58	1.14	50	8
Fort Richardson	11	1.73	3	8	Tudor Area	10	1.00	10	0
Government Hill	56	1.41	33	23	Turnagain	98	1.23	75	23
Hillside East	13	1.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	27	1.22	21	6
Huffman/O'Malley	68	1.03	66	2	University Area	40	1.20	32	8
Mid-Hillside	32	1.06	30	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	13	1.23	10	3

1. 60 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of renting respondents, lower numbers reflect a greater number of respondents who are homeowners. Values are assigned as follows: Own = 1; Rent = 2.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 3 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.



**Table D.10c. Respondent's Type of Housing: Detail***Question 35. Do you own your home or do you rent?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Own		Rent	
	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,712</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>78.7 %</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>21.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	120	1.13	105	87.5 %	15	12.5 %
Airport Heights	50	1.06	47	94.0	3	6.0
Basher	9	1.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	39	1.08	36	92.3	3	7.7
Bear Valley	4	1.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	1.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	39	1.46	21	53.8	18	46.2
Chugiak	34	1.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Downtown	36	1.28	26	72.2	10	27.8
Eagle River	53	1.19	43	81.1	10	18.9
Eagle River Valley	54	1.11	48	88.9 %	6	11.1 %
Elmendorf	15	2.00	0	0.0	15	100.0
Fairview	65	1.49	33	50.8	32	49.2
Fort Richardson	11	1.73	3	27.3	8	72.7
Government Hill	56	1.41	33	58.9	23	41.1
Hillside East	13	1.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	1.03	66	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	32	1.06	30	93.8	2	6.3
Mountain View	44	1.32	30	68.2	14	31.8
North Star	43	1.42	25	58.1	18	41.9
Northeast	156	1.27	114	73.1 %	42	26.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
Rabbit Creek	52	1.02	51	98.1	1	1.9
Rogers Park	23	1.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	80	1.15	68	85.0	12	15.0
Sand Lake	98	1.15	83	84.7 %	15	15.3 %
Scenic Foothills	40	1.03	39	97.5	1	2.5
South Addition	46	1.33	31	67.4	15	32.6
South Fork	21	1.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	123	1.29	87	70.7	36	29.3
Taku/Campbell	58	1.14	50	86.2 %	8	13.8 %
Tudor Area	10	1.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	1.23	75	76.5	23	23.5
Turnagain Arm	27	1.22	21	77.8	6	22.2
University Area	40	1.20	32	80.0	8	20.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	13	1.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of renting respondents, lower numbers reflect a greater number of respondents who are homeowners. Values are assigned as follows:

2. 60 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 3 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.11. Respondent's Intent to Remain a Resident

**Table D.11a. Respondent's Intent to Remain a Resident: Summary**

Question 36a. Do you see yourself leaving Anchorage in the foreseeable future?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	1,040	58.7 %	58.7 %
Yes	661	37.3	96.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,701</b>	<b>96.0 %</b>	
Missing	71	4.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table D.11b. Respondent's Intent to Remain a Resident: Summary by Community Council**

Question 36a. Do you see yourself leaving Anchorage in the foreseeable future?

Anchorage average: 0.39

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	121	0.47	64	57	Mountain View	45	0.38	28	17
Airport Heights	49	0.37	31	18	North Star	41	0.49	21	20
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	156	0.42	91	65
Bayshore/Klatt	39	0.28	28	11	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.36	18	10
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.44	29	23
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.30	16	7
Campbell Park	38	0.58	16	22	Russian Jack Park	77	0.44	43	34
Chugiak	33	0.24	25	8	Sand Lake	97	0.43	55	42
Downtown	37	0.27	27	10	Scenic Foothills	41	0.44	23	18
Eagle River	54	0.35	35	19	South Addition	45	0.36	29	16
Eagle River Valley	55	0.40	33	22	South Fork	20	0.35	13	7
Elmendorf	15	0.73	4	11	Spenard	121	0.46	65	56
Fairview	67	0.31	46	21	Taku/Campbell	57	0.40	34	23
Fort Richardson	10	0.80	2	8	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	56	0.25	42	14	Turnagain	96	0.32	65	31
Hillside East	13	0.31	9	4	Turnagain Arm	27	0.41	16	11
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.38	42	26	University Area	39	0.44	22	17
Mid-Hillside	31	0.23	24	7	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	13	0.08	12	1

1. 31 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of respondents expecting to leave Anchorage. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 3 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.11c. Respondent's Intent to Remain a Resident: Detail**

Question 36a. Do you see yourself leaving Anchorage in the foreseeable future?

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,701<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>1,040</b>	<b>61.1 %</b>	<b>661</b>	<b>38.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	121	0.47	64	52.9 %	57	47.1 %
Airport Heights	49	0.37	31	63.3	18	36.7
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	39	0.28	28	71.8	11	28.2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	38	0.58	16	42.1	22	57.9
Chugiak	33	0.24	25	75.8	8	24.2
Downtown	37	0.27	27	73.0	10	27.0
Eagle River	54	0.35	35	64.8	19	35.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.40	33	60.0 %	22	40.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.73	4	26.7	11	73.3
Fairview	67	0.31	46	68.7	21	31.3
Fort Richardson	10	0.80	2	20.0	8	80.0
Government Hill	56	0.25	42	75.0	14	25.0
Hillside East	13	0.31	9	69.2 %	4	30.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.38	42	61.8	26	38.2
Mid-Hillside	31	0.23	24	77.4	7	22.6
Mountain View	45	0.38	28	62.2	17	37.8
North Star	41	0.49	21	51.2	20	48.8
Northeast	156	0.42	91	58.3 %	65	41.7 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.36	18	64.3	10	35.7
Rabbit Creek	52	0.44	29	55.8	23	44.2
Rogers Park	23	0.30	16	69.6	7	30.4
Russian Jack Park	77	0.44	43	55.8	34	44.2
Sand Lake	97	0.43	55	56.7 %	42	43.3 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.44	23	56.1	18	43.9
South Addition	45	0.36	29	64.4	16	35.6
South Fork	20	0.35	13	65.0	7	35.0
Spenard	121	0.46	65	53.7	56	46.3
Taku/Campbell	57	0.40	34	59.6 %	23	40.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	96	0.32	65	67.7	31	32.3
Turnagain Arm	27	0.41	16	59.3	11	40.7
University Area	39	0.44	22	56.4	17	43.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of respondents expecting to leave Anchorage. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.
2. 71 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 3 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.12. Number of Years to Remain in Anchorage for Respondents who Intend to Leave

**Table D.12a. Number of Years to Remain in Anchorage for Respondents who Intend to Leave: Summary**

Question 12b. If you do see yourself leaving how many more years do you expect to live in Anchorage before you leave? (If you do not expect to leave Anchorage, please leave this question blank.)



**Table D.12b. Number of Years to Remain in Anchorage for Respondents who Intend to Leave:  
Summary by Community Council**

Question 12b. If you do see yourself leaving how many more years do you expect to live in Anchorage before you leave? (If you do not expect to leave Anchorage, please leave this question blank.)

Anchorage average: 5.14 years

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	2 years or less	More than 10 years		N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	2 years or less	More than 10 years
Abbott Loop	40	6.89	13	2	Mountain View	15	4.73	6	0
Airport Heights	15	7.39	5	0	North Star	14	4.64	7	0
Basher	0	n/a	0	0	Northeast	54	4.81	16	4
Bayshore/Klatt	10	5.56	2	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	7	5.21	3	0
Bear Valley	0	n/a	0	0	Rabbit Creek	19	7.55	3	0
Birchwood	2	3.25	1	0	Rogers Park	7	6.50	1	0
Campbell Park	18	4.42	9	0	Russian Jack Park	26	6.24	6	1
Chugiak	7	2.79	4	0	Sand Lake	38	5.92	14	1
Downtown	9	5.39	2	0	Scenic Foothills	17	4.79	3	0
Eagle River	12	4.50	4	1	South Addition	9	5.17	2	0
Eagle River Valley	21	4.30	11	0	South Fork	2	3.00	1	0
Elmendorf	10	2.20	6	0	Spenard	44	4.19	18	1
Fairview	16	4.75	7	2	Taku/Campbell	18	5.86	5	0
Fort Richardson	6	2.33	3	0	Tudor Area	2	2.35	1	0
Government Hill	9	6.44	3	1	Turnagain	19	3.64	8	1
Hillside East	3	2.33	2	0	Turnagain Arm	10	4.11	6	0
Huffman/O'Malley	18	5.61	6	0	University Area	10	2.37	8	0
Mid-Hillside	6	6.92	1	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	1	10.00	0	0

- 218 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table. Another 1,040 respondents do not plan to leave Alaska (see Table D.11) and are omitted from the table.
- Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of years respondents report will elapse before they move away, using only those who provided some number of years and omitting those who did not know when they would leave. Higher numbers reflect greater time expected to elapse before respondents move.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 3 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table; 12 do not plan to leave Alaska, and are omitted from the table.

**Table D.12c. Number of Years to Remain in Anchorage for Respondents who Intend to Leave: Detail**

Question 12b. If you do see yourself leaving how many more years do you expect to live in Anchorage before you leave?  
(If you do not expect to leave Anchorage, please leave this question blank.)

Row percentages.


	N	Average (years) <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			2 years or less		3–5 years		6–10 years		More than 10 years		Don't know	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>514</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>5.14</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>36.4 %</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>34.0 %</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>18.3 %</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>8.2 %</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	40	6.89	13	32.5 %	13	32.5 %	8	20.0 %	4	10.0 %	2	5.0 %
Airport Heights	15	7.39	5	33.3	6	40.0	2	13.3	2	13.3	0	0.0
Basher	0	n/a	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	10	5.56	2	20.0	3	30.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	2	20.0
Bear Valley	0	n/a	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	2	3.25	1	50.0 %	1	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	18	4.42	9	50.0	4	22.2	4	22.2	1	5.6	0	0.0
Chugiak	7	2.79	4	57.1	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Downtown	9	5.39	2	22.2	4	44.4	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eagle River	12	4.50	4	33.3	3	25.0	4	33.3	0	0.0	1	8.3
Eagle River Valley	21	4.30	11	52.4 %	7	33.3 %	2	9.5 %	1	4.8 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	10	2.20	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	16	4.75	7	43.8	3	18.8	3	18.8	1	6.3	2	12.5
Fort Richardson	6	2.33	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	9	6.44	3	33.3	2	22.2	2	22.2	1	11.1	1	11.1
Hillside East	3	2.33	2	66.7 %	1	33.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	18	5.61	6	33.3	7	38.9	2	11.1	3	16.7	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	6	6.92	1	16.7	1	16.7	3	50.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
Mountain View	15	4.73	6	40.0	7	46.7	0	0.0	2	13.3	0	0.0
North Star	14	4.64	7	50.0	4	28.6	2	14.3	1	7.1	0	0.0
Northeast	54	4.81	16	29.6 %	24	44.4 %	6	11.1 %	4	7.4 %	4	7.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	7	5.21	3	42.9	1	14.3	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	19	7.55	3	15.8	5	26.3	8	42.1	3	15.8	0	0.0
Rogers Park	7	6.50	1	14.3	2	28.6	3	42.9	1	14.3	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	26	6.24	6	23.1	8	30.8	7	26.9	4	15.4	1	3.8
Sand Lake	38	5.92	14	36.8 %	12	31.6 %	7	18.4 %	4	10.5 %	1	2.6 %
Scenic Foothills	17	4.79	3	17.6	8	47.1	6	35.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Addition	9	5.17	2	22.2	5	55.6	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	2	3.00	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	44	4.19	18	40.9	13	29.5	10	22.7	2	4.5	1	2.3
Taku/Campbell	18	5.86	5	27.8 %	9	50.0 %	1	5.6 %	3	16.7 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	2	2.35	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	19	3.64	8	42.1	8	42.1	1	5.3	1	5.3	1	5.3
Turnagain Arm	10	4.11	6	60.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
University Area	10	2.37	8	80.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	1	10.00	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %

- Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of years respondents report will elapse before they move away, using only those who provided some number of years and omitting those who did not know when they would leave. Higher numbers reflect greater time expected to elapse before respondents move.
- 218 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table. Another 1,040 respondents do not plan to leave Alaska (see Table D.11) and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 3 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table; 12 do not plan to leave Alaska, and are omitted from the table.

## Table D.13. Respondent's Length of Residency in Anchorage

**Table D.13a. Respondent's Length of Residency in Anchorage: Summary**

*Question 37. How many years have you lived in Anchorage?*



**Table D.13b. Respondent's Length of Residency in Anchorage: Summary by Community Council**

*Question 37. How many years have you lived in Anchorage?*

**Anchorage average: 21.94 years**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	2 years or less	More than 25 years		N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	2 years or less	More than 25 years
Abbott Loop	120	19.48	7	36	Mountain View	44	24.32	0	20
Airport Heights	50	22.04	6	18	North Star	43	21.05	4	13
Basher	9	23.39	0	4	Northeast	157	21.00	12	64
Bayshore/Klatt	39	23.68	0	17	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	20.15	2	10
Bear Valley	4	24.75	0	2	Rabbit Creek	52	26.02	3	24
Birchwood	13	19.62	1	4	Rogers Park	23	33.52	0	16
Campbell Park	39	15.06	7	6	Russian Jack Park	79	18.25	4	21
Chugiak	34	20.24	2	13	Sand Lake	98	22.51	4	44
Downtown	36	24.35	3	17	Scenic Foothills	42	21.33	1	13
Eagle River	54	15.56	8	13	South Addition	44	26.59	3	18
Eagle River Valley	54	17.13	5	16	South Fork	21	20.52	1	8
Elmendorf	15	2.03	12	0	Spenard	121	25.14	7	57
Fairview	66	18.86	8	20	Taku/Campbell	58	26.14	3	29
Fort Richardson	11	5.78	5	0	Tudor Area	10	38.00	0	9
Government Hill	58	26.42	0	29	Turnagain	98	25.47	4	48
Hillside East	13	23.42	1	4	Turnagain Arm	26	14.35	5	4
Huffman/O'Malley	68	25.52	4	34	University Area	39	18.69	2	11
Mid-Hillside	32	24.00	0	13	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	28.98	0	8

1. 59 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of years respondents have lived in Anchorage. Higher numbers reflect longer length of residency.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table D.13c. Respondent's Length of Residency in Anchorage: Detail**

*Question 37. How many years have you lived in Anchorage?*

Row percentages.

	Response category															
	Average		2 years or less		3–5 years		6–10 years		11–15 years		16–20 years		21–25 years		More than 25 years	
	N	(years) <sup>1</sup>	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,713</b>	<b><sup>2</sup> 21.94</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>7.2 %</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>8.8 %</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>13.9 %</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>9.3 %</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>11.1 %</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>11.0 %</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>38.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council are:</b>																
Abbott Loop	120	19.48	7	5.8 %	17	14.2 %	14	11.7 %	12	10.0 %	18	15.0 %	16	13.3 %	36	30.0 %
Airport Heights	50	22.04	6	12.0	4	8.0	6	12.0	3	6.0	4	8.0	9	18.0	18	36.0
Basher	9	23.39	0	0.0	2	22.2	1	11.1	1	11.1	0	0.0	1	11.1	4	44.4
Bayshore/Klatt	39	23.68	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	17.9	4	10.3	6	15.4	5	12.8	17	43.6
Bear Valley	4	24.75	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	13	19.62	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	2	15.4 %	3	23.1 %	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	4	30.8 %
Campbell Park	39	15.06	7	17.9	4	10.3	4	10.3	9	23.1	7	17.9	2	5.1	6	15.4
Chugiak	34	20.24	2	5.9	3	8.8	5	14.7	5	14.7	3	8.8	3	8.8	13	38.2
Downtown	36	24.35	3	8.3	5	13.9	3	8.3	3	8.3	1	2.8	4	11.1	17	47.2
Eagle River	54	15.56	8	14.8	7	13.0	8	14.8	5	9.3	8	14.8	5	9.3	13	24.1
Eagle River Valley	54	17.13	5	9.3 %	5	9.3 %	15	27.8 %	3	5.6 %	5	9.3 %	5	9.3 %	16	29.6 %
Elmendorf	15	2.03	12	80.0	2	13.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	66	18.86	8	12.1	7	10.6	11	16.7	7	10.6	8	12.1	5	7.6	20	30.3
Fort Richardson	11	5.78	5	45.5	3	27.3	1	9.1	0	0.0	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	58	26.42	0	0.0	5	8.6	9	15.5	2	3.4	7	12.1	6	10.3	29	50.0
Hillside East	13	23.42	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	4	30.8 %	2	15.4 %	4	30.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	25.52	4	5.9	3	4.4	7	10.3	3	4.4	6	8.8	11	16.2	34	50.0
Mid-Hillside	32	24.00	0	0.0	3	9.4	3	9.4	1	3.1	4	12.5	8	25.0	13	40.6
Mountain View	44	24.32	0	0.0	3	6.8	8	18.2	6	13.6	2	4.5	5	11.4	20	45.5
North Star	43	21.05	4	9.3	2	4.7	7	16.3	5	11.6	6	14.0	6	14.0	13	30.2
Northeast	157	21.00	12	7.6 %	12	7.6 %	29	18.5 %	13	8.3 %	14	8.9 %	13	8.3 %	64	40.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	20.15	2	7.1	2	7.1	3	10.7	3	10.7	5	17.9	3	10.7	10	35.7
Rabbit Creek	52	26.02	3	5.8	1	1.9	5	9.6	5	9.6	7	13.5	7	13.5	24	46.2
Rogers Park	23	33.52	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	13.0	1	4.3	2	8.7	1	4.3	16	69.6
Russian Jack Park	79	18.25	4	5.1	9	11.4	17	21.5	10	12.7	8	10.1	10	12.7	21	26.6
Sand Lake	98	22.51	4	4.1 %	10	10.2 %	12	12.2 %	8	8.2 %	14	14.3 %	6	6.1 %	44	44.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	21.33	1	2.4	4	9.5	7	16.7	5	11.9	4	9.5	8	19.0	13	31.0
South Addition	44	26.59	3	6.8	3	6.8	6	13.6	3	6.8	6	13.6	5	11.4	18	40.9
South Fork	21	20.52	1	4.8	3	14.3	3	14.3	3	14.3	2	9.5	1	4.8	8	38.1
Spenard	121	25.14	7	5.8	9	7.4	12	9.9	14	11.6	11	9.1	11	9.1	57	47.1
Taku/Campbell	58	26.14	3	5.2 %	6	10.3 %	5	8.6 %	3	5.2 %	6	10.3 %	6	10.3 %	29	50.0 %
Tudor Area	10	38.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	9	90.0
Turnagain	98	25.47	4	4.1	9	9.2	10	10.2	10	10.2	5	5.1	12	12.2	48	49.0
Turnagain Arm	26	14.35	5	19.2	1	3.8	5	19.2	6	23.1	2	7.7	3	11.5	4	15.4
University Area	39	18.69	2	5.1	4	10.3	8	20.5	2	5.1	4	10.3	8	20.5	11	28.2
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	28.98	0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	5	33.3 %	1	6.7 %	8	53.3 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of years respondents have lived in Anchorage. Higher numbers reflect longer length of residency.

2. 59 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

# Table D.14. Survey Participation by Community Council Area

## Table D.14. Survey Participation by Community Council Area

Figures are based on the number of surveys sent out and do not account for all mail returned due to recipients having moved. Please see text regarding survey sampling for discussion of the varying numbers of surveys mailed to each community council area.

	Surveys mailed out	Surveys returned	Response rate		Surveys mailed out	Surveys returned	Response rate
Abbott Loop	219	124	56.6 %	Mountain View	72	48	66.7 %
Airport Heights	134	51	38.1	North Star	76	43	56.6
Basher	9	9	100.0	Northeast	322	160	49.7
Bayshore/Klatt	73	41	56.2	Old Seward/Oceanview	42	28	66.7
Bear Valley	4	4	100.0	Rabbit Creek	70	52	74.3
Birchwood	23	14	60.9	Rogers Park	39	23	59.0
Campbell Park	92	42	45.7	Russian Jack Park	170	83	48.8
Chugiak	65	34	52.3	Sand Lake	176	103	58.5
Downtown	76	38	50.0	Scenic Foothills	76	42	55.3
Eagle River	59	58	98.3	South Addition	70	47	67.1
Eagle River Valley	61	55	90.2	South Fork	31	21	67.7
Elmendorf	44	15	34.1	Spenard	236	125	53.0
Fairview	136	70	51.5	Taku/Campbell	118	58	49.2
Fort Richardson	41	11	26.8	Tudor Area	15	10	66.7
Government Hill	87	59	67.8	Turnagain	155	101	65.2
Hillside East	19	13	68.4	Turnagain Arm	46	28	60.9
Huffman/O'Malley	97	69	71.1	University Area	78	43	55.1
Mid-Hillside	51	34	66.7	Unknown*	—	16	—
				<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>3,082</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>57.5 %</b>

\* 16 questionnaires were returned with the questionnaire identifier removed, making it impossible to geocode the questionnaire for community council area.




## **Part 1. Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods**

## Table 1.01. Attitude Towards Neighborhood

**Table 1.01a. Attitude Towards Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 1a. On the whole, do you like or dislike the neighborhood as a place to live?



**Table 1.01b. Attitude Towards Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 1a. On the whole, do you like or dislike the neighborhood as a place to live?

Anchorage average: 4.37

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly dislike	Strongly like		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly dislike	Strongly like
Abbott Loop	123	4.33	0	59	Mountain View	47	3.94	2	12
Airport Heights	50	4.36	0	26	North Star	42	4.00	1	15
Basher	9	4.67	0	6	Northeast	158	4.11	2	58
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.78	0	32	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.68	0	19
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	2	Rabbit Creek	52	4.83	0	43
Birchwood	14	4.00	1	7	Rogers Park	23	4.83	0	19
Campbell Park	42	4.21	1	18	Russian Jack Park	83	3.99	1	25
Chugiak	34	4.56	0	19	Sand Lake	102	4.32	3	54
Downtown	37	4.57	1	26	Scenic Foothills	42	4.36	1	23
Eagle River	56	4.54	0	32	South Addition	46	4.50	0	27
Eagle River Valley	54	4.52	0	36	South Fork	21	4.71	0	15
Elmendorf	15	4.53	0	8	Spenard	123	4.28	2	54
Fairview	67	4.21	1	29	Taku/Campbell	58	4.21	1	25
Fort Richardson	11	4.45	0	5	Tudor Area	10	4.50	0	5
Government Hill	58	4.55	0	38	Turnagain	100	4.45	1	57
Hillside East	13	4.54	0	9	Turnagain Arm	28	4.39	0	15
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.72	0	50	University Area	41	4.39	0	23
Mid-Hillside	33	4.64	0	21	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	4.31	0	9

- 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater neighborhood affinity while numbers below 3.00 indicate less neighborhood affinity. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dislike = 1; Dislike = 2; No opinion = 3; Like = 4; Strongly like = 5.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.01c. Attitude Towards Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 1a. On the whole, do you like or dislike the neighborhood as a place to live?

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly dislike		Dislike		No opinion		Like		Strongly like	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,750</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>4.37</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.0 %</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>5.8 %</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.7 %</b>	<b>697</b>	<b>39.8 %</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>52.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	4.33	0	0.0 %	9	7.3 %	0	0.0 %	55	44.7 %	59	48.0 %
Airport Heights	50	4.36	0	0.0	4	8.0	0	0.0	20	40.0	26	52.0
Basher	9	4.67	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	33.3	6	66.7
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.78	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	22.0	32	78.0
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	4.00	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	4	28.6 %	7	50.0 %
Campbell Park	42	4.21	1	2.4	3	7.1	0	0.0	20	47.6	18	42.9
Chugiak	34	4.56	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	44.1	19	55.9
Downtown	37	4.57	1	2.7	1	2.7	0	0.0	9	24.3	26	70.3
Eagle River	56	4.54	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.6	22	39.3	32	57.1
Eagle River Valley	54	4.52	0	0.0 %	3	5.6 %	2	3.7 %	13	24.1 %	36	66.7 %
Elmendorf	15	4.53	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	46.7	8	53.3
Fairview	67	4.21	1	1.5	6	9.0	0	0.0	31	46.3	29	43.3
Fort Richardson	11	4.45	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	54.5	5	45.5
Government Hill	58	4.55	0	0.0	3	5.2	0	0.0	17	29.3	38	65.5
Hillside East	13	4.54	0	0.0 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	9	69.2 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.72	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	27.5	50	72.5
Mid-Hillside	33	4.64	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	36.4	21	63.6
Mountain View	47	3.94	2	4.3	4	8.5	1	2.1	28	59.6	12	25.5
North Star	42	4.00	1	2.4	6	14.3	0	0.0	20	47.6	15	35.7
Northeast	158	4.11	2	1.3 %	17	10.8 %	0	0.0 %	81	51.3 %	58	36.7 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.68	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	32.1	19	67.9
Rabbit Creek	52	4.83	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	17.3	43	82.7
Rogers Park	23	4.83	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	17.4	19	82.6
Russian Jack Park	83	3.99	1	1.2	11	13.3	1	1.2	45	54.2	25	30.1
Sand Lake	102	4.32	3	2.9 %	6	5.9 %	0	0.0 %	39	38.2 %	54	52.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	4.36	1	2.4	2	4.8	1	2.4	15	35.7	23	54.8
South Addition	46	4.50	0	0.0	2	4.3	0	0.0	17	37.0	27	58.7
South Fork	21	4.71	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	28.6	15	71.4
Spenard	123	4.28	2	1.6	6	4.9	2	1.6	59	48.0	54	43.9
Taku/Campbell	58	4.21	1	1.7 %	5	8.6 %	0	0.0 %	27	46.6 %	25	43.1 %
Tudor Area	10	4.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	50.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	100	4.45	1	1.0	4	4.0	1	1.0	37	37.0	57	57.0
Turnagain Arm	28	4.39	0	0.0	1	3.6	2	7.1	10	35.7	15	53.6
University Area	41	4.39	0	0.0	3	7.3	1	2.4	14	34.1	23	56.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	4.31	0	0.0 %	2	12.5 %	0	0.0 %	5	31.3 %	9	56.3 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater neighborhood affinity while numbers below 3.00 indicate less neighborhood affinity. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dislike=1; Dislike=2; No opinion=3; Like=4; Strongly like=5.
- 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.02. Opinion of Neighborhood

### Table 1.02a. Opinion of Neighborhood: Summary

Question 1b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with this statement: **Personally, I would rate my neighborhood as an excellent place to live.**



### Table 1.02b. Opinion of Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 1b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with this statement: **Personally, I would rate my neighborhood as an excellent place to live.**

Anchorage average: 4.08

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	121	3.98	1	36	Mountain View	47	3.32	3	9
Airport Heights	50	3.82	1	18	North Star	42	3.60	3	10
Basher	9	4.89	0	8	Northeast	159	3.66	8	38
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.56	0	27	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.39	0	13
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	2	Rabbit Creek	52	4.83	0	44
Birchwood	14	4.29	0	9	Rogers Park	23	4.52	1	15
Campbell Park	42	3.81	1	13	Russian Jack Park	82	3.33	4	7
Chugiak	34	4.56	0	23	Sand Lake	102	4.21	4	47
Downtown	37	4.27	0	16	Scenic Foothills	42	4.14	1	20
Eagle River	56	4.25	0	22	South Addition	46	4.35	1	28
Eagle River Valley	54	4.48	1	35	South Fork	21	4.76	0	16
Elmendorf	15	4.40	0	8	Spenard	122	3.74	4	31
Fairview	66	3.44	5	12	Taku/Campbell	58	3.86	1	19
Fort Richardson	11	4.45	0	7	Tudor Area	10	4.50	0	5
Government Hill	58	4.33	0	28	Turnagain	100	4.32	0	51
Hillside East	13	4.54	0	9	Turnagain Arm	28	4.54	0	18
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.74	0	51	University Area	41	4.00	0	15
Mid-Hillside	33	4.61	0	20	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.80	1	6

- 27 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate higher evaluation of the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate lower evaluation of the neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.02c. Opinion of Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 1b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with this statement: ***Personally, I would rate my neighborhood as an excellent place to live.***

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,745</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>4.08</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2.3 %</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>12.9 %</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1.8 %</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>40.8 %</b>	<b>736</b>	<b>42.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	121	3.98	1	0.8 %	17	14.0 %	1	0.8 %	66	54.5 %	36	29.8 %
Airport Heights	50	3.82	1	2.0	11	22.0	2	4.0	18	36.0	18	36.0
Basher	9	4.89	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	8	88.9
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.56	0	0.0	2	4.9	0	0.0	12	29.3	27	65.9
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	4.29	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	9	64.3 %
Campbell Park	42	3.81	1	2.4	8	19.0	2	4.8	18	42.9	13	31.0
Chugiak	34	4.56	0	0.0	2	5.9	0	0.0	9	26.5	23	67.6
Downtown	37	4.27	0	0.0	3	8.1	0	0.0	18	48.6	16	43.2
Eagle River	56	4.25	0	0.0	3	5.4	2	3.6	29	51.8	22	39.3
Eagle River Valley	54	4.48	1	1.9 %	2	3.7 %	2	3.7 %	14	25.9 %	35	64.8 %
Elmendorf	15	4.40	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0	6	40.0	8	53.3
Fairview	66	3.44	5	7.6	16	24.2	2	3.0	31	47.0	12	18.2
Fort Richardson	11	4.45	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	3	27.3	7	63.6
Government Hill	58	4.33	0	0.0	4	6.9	1	1.7	25	43.1	28	48.3
Hillside East	13	4.54	0	0.0 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	9	69.2 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.74	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	26.1	51	73.9
Mid-Hillside	33	4.61	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	39.4	20	60.6
Mountain View	47	3.32	3	6.4	15	31.9	2	4.3	18	38.3	9	19.1
North Star	42	3.60	3	7.1	9	21.4	0	0.0	20	47.6	10	23.8
Northeast	159	3.66	8	5.0 %	32	20.1 %	4	2.5 %	77	48.4 %	38	23.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.39	0	0.0	1	3.6	0	0.0	14	50.0	13	46.4
Rabbit Creek	52	4.83	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9	7	13.5	44	84.6
Rogers Park	23	4.52	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	30.4	15	65.2
Russian Jack Park	82	3.33	4	4.9	24	29.3	2	2.4	45	54.9	7	8.5
Sand Lake	102	4.21	4	3.9 %	7	6.9 %	0	0.0 %	44	43.1 %	47	46.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	4.14	1	2.4	5	11.9	1	2.4	15	35.7	20	47.6
South Addition	46	4.35	1	2.2	4	8.7	1	2.2	12	26.1	28	60.9
South Fork	21	4.76	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	23.8	16	76.2
Spenard	122	3.74	4	3.3	24	19.7	3	2.5	60	49.2	31	25.4
Taku/Campbell	58	3.86	1	1.7 %	12	20.7 %	0	0.0 %	26	44.8 %	19	32.8 %
Tudor Area	10	4.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	50.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	100	4.32	0	0.0	9	9.0	1	1.0	39	39.0	51	51.0
Turnagain Arm	28	4.54	0	0.0	1	3.6	1	3.6	8	28.6	18	64.3
University Area	41	4.00	0	0.0	7	17.1	1	2.4	18	43.9	15	36.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.80	1	6.7 %	2	13.3 %	2	13.3 %	4	26.7 %	6	40.0 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate higher evaluation of the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate lower evaluation of the neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
- 27 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.03. Missing the Neighborhood if Moved Away

### Table 1.03a. Missing the Neighborhood if Moved Away: Summary

Question 1c. Suppose that for some reason, you HAD to move away from this neighborhood. Would you miss the neighborhood very much, somewhat, not much, or not at all?



### Table 1.03b. Missing the Neighborhood if Moved Away: Summary by Community Council

Question 1c. Suppose that for some reason, you HAD to move away from this neighborhood. Would you miss the neighborhood very much, somewhat, not much, or not at all?

Anchorage average: 3.87

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	Very much		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	Very much
Abbott Loop	123	3.85	7	38	Mountain View	47	3.38	6	5
Airport Heights	50	3.76	2	16	North Star	43	3.51	5	8
Basher	9	4.67	0	6	Northeast	158	3.38	19	33
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.51	1	28	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.96	1	10
Bear Valley	4	4.75	0	3	Rabbit Creek	52	4.58	0	32
Birchwood	14	4.36	0	9	Rogers Park	23	4.48	0	11
Campbell Park	42	3.33	8	10	Russian Jack Park	82	3.05	18	14
Chugiak	34	4.32	0	15	Sand Lake	102	3.77	11	30
Downtown	37	4.27	2	18	Scenic Foothills	42	3.69	6	17
Eagle River	54	4.02	2	21	South Addition	45	4.31	2	24
Eagle River Valley	54	4.24	0	29	South Fork	21	4.67	0	14
Elmendorf	15	3.87	1	5	Spenard	123	3.62	9	36
Fairview	66	3.39	12	16	Taku/Campbell	58	3.76	7	19
Fort Richardson	11	4.09	0	3	Tudor Area	10	4.80	0	8
Government Hill	58	4.26	2	29	Turnagain	99	4.03	3	44
Hillside East	12	4.58	0	9	Turnagain Arm	28	4.46	0	19
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.45	1	40	University Area	41	3.80	1	13
Mid-Hillside	33	4.21	0	13	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.27	3	4

- 29 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher values reflect greater neighborhood attachment. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all = 0; Not much = 1; Somewhat = 2; Very much = 3.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.03c. Missing the Neighborhood if Moved Away: Detail**

Question 1c. Suppose that for some reason, you HAD to move away from this neighborhood. Would you miss the neighborhood very much, somewhat, not much, or not at all?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Not at all		Not much		No opinion		Somewhat		Very much	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,743</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.87</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>7.4 %</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>13.8 %</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0 %</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>41.5 %</b>	<b>649</b>	<b>37.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.85	7	5.7 %	18	14.6 %	0	0.0 %	60	48.8 %	38	30.9 %
Airport Heights	50	3.76	2	4.0	11	22.0	0	0.0	21	42.0	16	32.0
Basher	9	4.67	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	33.3	6	66.7
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.51	1	2.4	2	4.9	0	0.0	10	24.4	28	68.3
Bear Valley	4	4.75	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	14	4.36	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	9	64.3 %
Campbell Park	42	3.33	8	19.0	7	16.7	0	0.0	17	40.5	10	23.8
Chugiak	34	4.32	0	0.0	2	5.9	0	0.0	17	50.0	15	44.1
Downtown	37	4.27	2	5.4	1	2.7	0	0.0	16	43.2	18	48.6
Eagle River	54	4.02	2	3.7	7	13.0	0	0.0	24	44.4	21	38.9
Eagle River Valley	54	4.24	0	0.0 %	8	14.8 %	0	0.0 %	17	31.5 %	29	53.7 %
Elmendorf	15	3.87	1	6.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	7	46.7	5	33.3
Fairview	66	3.39	12	18.2	10	15.2	0	0.0	28	42.4	16	24.2
Fort Richardson	11	4.09	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	7	63.6	3	27.3
Government Hill	58	4.26	2	3.4	4	6.9	0	0.0	23	39.7	29	50.0
Hillside East	12	4.58	0	0.0 %	1	8.3 %	0	0.0 %	2	16.7 %	9	75.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.45	1	1.4	3	4.3	0	0.0	25	36.2	40	58.0
Mid-Hillside	33	4.21	0	0.0	3	9.1	0	0.0	17	51.5	13	39.4
Mountain View	47	3.38	6	12.8	8	17.0	0	0.0	28	59.6	5	10.6
North Star	43	3.51	5	11.6	7	16.3	0	0.0	23	53.5	8	18.6
Northeast	158	3.38	19	12.0 %	37	23.4 %	0	0.0 %	69	43.7 %	33	20.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.96	1	3.6	4	14.3	0	0.0	13	46.4	10	35.7
Rabbit Creek	52	4.58	0	0.0	1	1.9	0	0.0	19	36.5	32	61.5
Rogers Park	23	4.48	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	52.2	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	82	3.05	18	22.0	19	23.2	0	0.0	31	37.8	14	17.1
Sand Lake	102	3.77	11	10.8 %	10	9.8 %	0	0.0 %	51	50.0 %	30	29.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.69	6	14.3	6	14.3	0	0.0	13	31.0	17	40.5
South Addition	45	4.31	2	4.4	2	4.4	0	0.0	17	37.8	24	53.3
South Fork	21	4.67	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	33.3	14	66.7
Spenard	123	3.62	9	7.3	28	22.8	0	0.0	50	40.7	36	29.3
Taku/Campbell	58	3.76	7	12.1 %	6	10.3 %	0	0.0 %	26	44.8 %	19	32.8 %
Tudor Area	10	4.80	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	20.0	8	80.0
Turnagain	99	4.03	3	3.0	16	16.2	0	0.0	36	36.4	44	44.4
Turnagain Arm	28	4.46	0	0.0	3	10.7	0	0.0	6	21.4	19	67.9
University Area	41	3.80	1	2.4	9	22.0	0	0.0	18	43.9	13	31.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.27	3	20.0 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %	5	33.3 %	4	26.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher values reflect greater neighborhood attachment. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all = 0; Not much = 1; Somewhat = 2; Very much = 3.


2. 29 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.04. Trustworthiness of Neighbors

**Table 1.04a. Trustworthiness of Neighbors: Summary**

Question 2a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood can be trusted.**



**Table 1.04b. Trustworthiness of Neighbors: Summary by Community Council**

Question 2a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood can be trusted.**

Anchorage average: 3.74

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	122	3.67	0	17	Mountain View	46	2.96	3	3
Airport Heights	50	3.52	1	7	North Star	43	3.35	3	4
Basher	9	4.33	0	3	Northeast	157	3.59	12	23
Bayshore/Klatt	40	4.23	0	13	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.75	0	2
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	52	4.33	0	21
Birchwood	14	3.86	0	1	Rogers Park	23	3.83	0	4
Campbell Park	41	3.49	2	9	Russian Jack Park	82	3.17	5	7
Chugiak	34	4.21	0	15	Sand Lake	100	3.82	4	23
Downtown	37	3.86	1	10	Scenic Foothills	42	3.64	0	8
Eagle River	57	3.91	0	13	South Addition	45	3.96	1	13
Eagle River Valley	54	4.20	0	19	South Fork	21	4.14	0	7
Elmendorf	14	4.07	0	5	Spenard	122	3.52	6	16
Fairview	66	3.30	5	7	Taku/Campbell	56	3.79	0	16
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	3	Tudor Area	10	3.90	0	0
Government Hill	58	3.83	0	11	Turnagain	100	3.82	0	23
Hillside East	13	3.92	0	3	Turnagain Arm	28	3.93	2	10
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.26	0	29	University Area	40	3.83	1	9
Mid-Hillside	32	4.00	0	6	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.60	0	3

- 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater trust in neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate less trust in neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 1.04c. Trustworthiness of Neighbors: Detail**

Question 2a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood can be trusted.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,735<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>3.74</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>2.7 %</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>15.1 %</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>8.4 %</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>52.9 %</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>21.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	3.67	0	0.0 %	24	19.7 %	9	7.4 %	72	59.0 %	17	13.9 %
Airport Heights	50	3.52	1	2.0	11	22.0	6	12.0	25	50.0	7	14.0
Basher	9	4.33	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	40	4.23	0	0.0	1	2.5	2	5.0	24	60.0	13	32.5
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	3.86	0	0.0 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	11	78.6 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	41	3.49	2	4.9	9	22.0	6	14.6	15	36.6	9	22.0
Chugiak	34	4.21	0	0.0	3	8.8	2	5.9	14	41.2	15	44.1
Downtown	37	3.86	1	2.7	4	10.8	4	10.8	18	48.6	10	27.0
Eagle River	57	3.91	0	0.0	7	12.3	4	7.0	33	57.9	13	22.8
Eagle River Valley	54	4.20	0	0.0 %	3	5.6 %	2	3.7 %	30	55.6 %	19	35.2 %
Elmendorf	14	4.07	0	0.0	2	14.3	0	0.0	7	50.0	5	35.7
Fairview	66	3.30	5	7.6	13	19.7	12	18.2	29	43.9	7	10.6
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	9.1	6	54.5	3	27.3
Government Hill	58	3.83	0	0.0	10	17.2	1	1.7	36	62.1	11	19.0
Hillside East	13	3.92	0	0.0 %	1	7.7 %	2	15.4 %	7	53.8 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.26	0	0.0	5	7.2	1	1.4	34	49.3	29	42.0
Mid-Hillside	32	4.00	0	0.0	1	3.1	4	12.5	21	65.6	6	18.8
Mountain View	46	2.96	3	6.5	17	37.0	8	17.4	15	32.6	3	6.5
North Star	43	3.35	3	7.0	8	18.6	7	16.3	21	48.8	4	9.3
Northeast	157	3.59	12	7.6 %	18	11.5 %	15	9.6 %	89	56.7 %	23	14.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.75	0	0.0	3	10.7	3	10.7	20	71.4	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	4.33	0	0.0	1	1.9	2	3.8	28	53.8	21	40.4
Rogers Park	23	3.83	0	0.0	4	17.4	0	0.0	15	65.2	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	82	3.17	5	6.1	26	31.7	8	9.8	36	43.9	7	8.5
Sand Lake	100	3.82	4	4.0 %	12	12.0 %	5	5.0 %	56	56.0 %	23	23.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.64	0	0.0	10	23.8	3	7.1	21	50.0	8	19.0
South Addition	45	3.96	1	2.2	5	11.1	2	4.4	24	53.3	13	28.9
South Fork	21	4.14	0	0.0	1	4.8	2	9.5	11	52.4	7	33.3
Spenard	122	3.52	6	4.9	23	18.9	11	9.0	66	54.1	16	13.1
Taku/Campbell	56	3.79	0	0.0 %	11	19.6 %	6	10.7 %	23	41.1 %	16	28.6 %
Tudor Area	10	3.90	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	100	3.82	0	0.0	15	15.0	11	11.0	51	51.0	23	23.0
Turnagain Arm	28	3.93	2	7.1	3	10.7	0	0.0	13	46.4	10	35.7
University Area	40	3.83	1	2.5	5	12.5	3	7.5	22	55.0	9	22.5
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.60	0	0.0 %	4	26.7 %	1	6.7 %	7	46.7 %	3	20.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater trust in neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate less trust in neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.

2. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

# Table 1.05. Neighbors Getting Along

## Table 1.05a. Neighbors Getting Along: Summary

Question 2b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood generally do not get along with each other.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Strongly disagree	345	19.5 %	19.5 %
Disagree	1,006	56.8	76.2
Agree	120	6.8	83.0
Strongly agree	112	6.3	89.3
No opinion	156	8.8	98.1
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,739</b>	<b>98.1 %</b>	
Missing	33	1.9	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



## Table 1.05b. Neighbors Getting Along: Summary by Community Council

Question 2b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood generally do not get along with each other.**

Anchorage average: 2.22

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	122	2.30	19	10	Mountain View	47	2.55	8	2
Airport Heights	50	2.46	7	4	North Star	42	2.60	4	4
Basher	9	2.56	1	2	Northeast	159	2.34	25	11
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.07	14	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.11	7	2
Bear Valley	4	2.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	1.81	17	2
Birchwood	14	2.21	3	1	Rogers Park	23	1.87	6	1
Campbell Park	41	2.07	9	1	Russian Jack Park	82	2.54	11	6
Chugiak	32	2.06	8	1	Sand Lake	100	2.19	19	8
Downtown	37	2.38	7	4	Scenic Foothills	42	2.26	12	4
Eagle River	57	2.16	10	4	South Addition	46	2.13	8	1
Eagle River Valley	54	1.93	17	1	South Fork	21	1.86	7	1
Elmendorf	15	2.27	2	2	Spenard	121	2.24	17	3
Fairview	66	2.39	6	2	Taku/Campbell	57	2.19	14	5
Fort Richardson	11	2.55	1	2	Tudor Area	10	1.80	3	0
Government Hill	58	2.22	10	2	Turnagain	99	2.13	21	6
Hillside East	13	2.46	3	2	Turnagain Arm	28	2.25	7	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.04	20	6	University Area	41	2.00	10	1
Mid-Hillside	33	1.91	10	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.53	2	2

- 33 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate *less* cohesion among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate *greater* cohesion among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.05c. Neighbors Getting Along: Detail**

Question 2b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood generally do not get along with each other.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,739<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>2.22</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>19.8 %</b>	<b>1,006</b>	<b>57.8 %</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>9.0 %</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>6.9 %</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	2.30	19	15.6 %	76	62.3 %	9	7.4 %	8	6.6 %	10	8.2 %
Airport Heights	50	2.46	7	14.0	25	50.0	10	20.0	4	8.0	4	8.0
Basher	9	2.56	1	11.1	6	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.07	14	34.1	19	46.3	3	7.3	1	2.4	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	2.00	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.21	3	21.4 %	8	57.1 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	41	2.07	9	22.0	23	56.1	7	17.1	1	2.4	1	2.4
Chugiak	32	2.06	8	25.0	18	56.3	3	9.4	2	6.3	1	3.1
Downtown	37	2.38	7	18.9	20	54.1	3	8.1	3	8.1	4	10.8
Eagle River	57	2.16	10	17.5	37	64.9	5	8.8	1	1.8	4	7.0
Eagle River Valley	54	1.93	17	31.5 %	28	51.9 %	6	11.1 %	2	3.7 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	15	2.27	2	13.3	11	73.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	13.3
Fairview	66	2.39	6	9.1	40	60.6	10	15.2	8	12.1	2	3.0
Fort Richardson	11	2.55	1	9.1	7	63.6	1	9.1	0	0.0	2	18.2
Government Hill	58	2.22	10	17.2	37	63.8	1	1.7	8	13.8	2	3.4
Hillside East	13	2.46	3	23.1 %	6	46.2 %	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.04	20	29.0	40	58.0	1	1.4	2	2.9	6	8.7
Mid-Hillside	33	1.91	10	30.3	19	57.6	2	6.1	1	3.0	1	3.0
Mountain View	47	2.55	8	17.0	20	42.6	6	12.8	11	23.4	2	4.3
North Star	42	2.60	4	9.5	23	54.8	5	11.9	6	14.3	4	9.5
Northeast	159	2.34	25	15.7 %	89	56.0 %	22	13.8 %	12	7.5 %	11	6.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.11	7	25.0	16	57.1	2	7.1	1	3.6	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	1.81	17	32.7	32	61.5	1	1.9	0	0.0	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	1.87	6	26.1	16	69.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	82	2.54	11	13.4	40	48.8	13	15.9	12	14.6	6	7.3
Sand Lake	100	2.19	19	19.0 %	63	63.0 %	6	6.0 %	4	4.0 %	8	8.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	2.26	12	28.6	19	45.2	3	7.1	4	9.5	4	9.5
South Addition	46	2.13	8	17.4	30	65.2	3	6.5	4	8.7	1	2.2
South Fork	21	1.86	7	33.3	12	57.1	1	4.8	0	0.0	1	4.8
Spenard	121	2.24	17	14.0	77	63.6	11	9.1	13	10.7	3	2.5
Taku/Campbell	57	2.19	14	24.6 %	31	54.4 %	4	7.0 %	3	5.3 %	5	8.8 %
Tudor Area	10	1.80	3	30.0	6	60.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	99	2.13	21	21.2	59	59.6	10	10.1	3	3.0	6	6.1
Turnagain Arm	28	2.25	7	25.0	16	57.1	0	0.0	1	3.6	4	14.3
University Area	41	2.00	10	24.4	25	61.0	3	7.3	2	4.9	1	2.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.53	2	13.3 %	8	53.3 %	2	13.3 %	1	6.7 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate less cohesion among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 greater cohesion among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.

2. 33 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.06. Shared Values Among Neighbors

**Table 1.06a. Shared Values Among Neighbors: Summary**

Question 2c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood do not share the same values.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Strongly disagree	163	9.2 %	9.2 %
Disagree	823	46.4	55.6
Agree	326	18.4	74.0
Strongly agree	133	7.5	81.5
No opinion	280	15.8	97.3
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,725</b>	<b>97.3 %</b>	
Missing	47	2.7	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.06b. Shared Values Among Neighbors: Summary by Community Council**

Question 2c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood do not share the same values.**

Anchorage average: 2.68

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	121	2.72	8	10	Mountain View	47	3.34	2	7
Airport Heights	50	2.72	3	4	North Star	41	2.93	2	3
Basher	9	2.89	0	2	Northeast	157	2.86	7	14
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.32	9	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.71	0	3
Bear Valley	4	2.25	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	2.25	10	3
Birchwood	14	2.14	3	1	Rogers Park	23	2.39	2	1
Campbell Park	41	2.71	5	3	Russian Jack Park	80	3.18	2	5
Chugiak	33	2.52	2	2	Sand Lake	96	2.53	11	5
Downtown	37	2.73	3	3	Scenic Foothills	42	2.83	9	6
Eagle River	56	2.61	3	5	South Addition	46	2.41	4	2
Eagle River Valley	53	2.28	9	2	South Fork	21	2.43	1	1
Elmendorf	15	2.40	3	2	Spenard	122	2.98	7	11
Fairview	66	2.89	5	0	Taku/Campbell	56	2.64	5	4
Fort Richardson	11	3.27	1	3	Tudor Area	10	2.40	0	0
Government Hill	58	2.59	5	6	Turnagain	98	2.39	14	4
Hillside East	13	2.38	3	1	Turnagain Arm	28	2.86	3	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.35	11	7	University Area	41	2.66	3	2
Mid-Hillside	33	2.42	5	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	2.64	3	1

1. 47 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate *less* cohesion among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate *greater* cohesion among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 1.06c. Shared Values Among Neighbors: Detail**

Question 2c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood do not share the same values.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,725</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.68</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>9.4 %</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>47.7 %</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>16.2 %</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>18.9 %</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>7.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	121	2.72	8	6.6 %	62	51.2 %	17	14.0 %	24	19.8 %	10	8.3 %
Airport Heights	50	2.72	3	6.0	24	48.0	11	22.0	8	16.0	4	8.0
Basher	9	2.89	0	0.0	6	66.7	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.32	9	22.0	18	43.9	9	22.0	2	4.9	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	2.25	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.14	3	21.4 %	8	57.1 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	41	2.71	5	12.2	16	39.0	9	22.0	8	19.5	3	7.3
Chugiak	33	2.52	2	6.1	21	63.6	3	9.1	5	15.2	2	6.1
Downtown	37	2.73	3	8.1	17	45.9	7	18.9	7	18.9	3	8.1
Eagle River	56	2.61	3	5.4	33	58.9	8	14.3	7	12.5	5	8.9
Eagle River Valley	53	2.28	9	17.0 %	28	52.8 %	10	18.9 %	4	7.5 %	2	3.8 %
Elmendorf	15	2.40	3	20.0	8	53.3	1	6.7	1	6.7	2	13.3
Fairview	66	2.89	5	7.6	22	33.3	14	21.2	25	37.9	0	0.0
Fort Richardson	11	3.27	1	9.1	4	36.4	0	0.0	3	27.3	3	27.3
Government Hill	58	2.59	5	8.6	33	56.9	7	12.1	7	12.1	6	10.3
Hillside East	13	2.38	3	23.1 %	6	46.2 %	1	7.7 %	2	15.4 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.35	11	15.9	41	59.4	6	8.7	4	5.8	7	10.1
Mid-Hillside	33	2.42	5	15.2	18	54.5	3	9.1	5	15.2	2	6.1
Mountain View	47	3.34	2	4.3	11	23.4	10	21.3	17	36.2	7	14.9
North Star	41	2.93	2	4.9	17	41.5	7	17.1	12	29.3	3	7.3
Northeast	157	2.86	7	4.5 %	70	44.6 %	32	20.4 %	34	21.7 %	14	8.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.71	0	0.0	17	60.7	5	17.9	3	10.7	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	51	2.25	10	19.6	29	56.9	4	7.8	5	9.8	3	5.9
Rogers Park	23	2.39	2	8.7	15	65.2	2	8.7	3	13.0	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	80	3.18	2	2.5	25	31.3	15	18.8	33	41.3	5	6.3
Sand Lake	96	2.53	11	11.5 %	49	51.0 %	15	15.6 %	16	16.7 %	5	5.2 %
Scenic Foothills	42	2.83	9	21.4	10	23.8	8	19.0	9	21.4	6	14.3
South Addition	46	2.41	4	8.7	28	60.9	7	15.2	5	10.9	2	4.3
South Fork	21	2.43	1	4.8	15	71.4	1	4.8	3	14.3	1	4.8
Spenard	122	2.98	7	5.7	46	37.7	23	18.9	35	28.7	11	9.0
Taku/Campbell	56	2.64	5	8.9 %	29	51.8 %	7	12.5 %	11	19.6 %	4	7.1 %
Tudor Area	10	2.40	0	0.0	7	70.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	2.39	14	14.3	50	51.0	20	20.4	10	10.2	4	4.1
Turnagain Arm	28	2.86	3	10.7	12	42.9	4	14.3	4	14.3	5	17.9
University Area	41	2.66	3	7.3	20	48.8	8	19.5	8	19.5	2	4.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	2.64	3	21.4 %	5	35.7 %	1	7.1 %	4	28.6 %	1	7.1 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate *less* cohesion among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 *greater* cohesion among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.


2. 47 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

# Table 1.07. Willingness of Neighbors to Help One Another

## Table 1.07a. Willingness of Neighbors to Help One Another: Summary

Question 2d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. —  
**People in my neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors.**



## Table 1.07b. Willingness of Neighbors to Help One Another: Summary by Community Council

Question 2d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. —  
**People in my neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors.**

Anchorage average: 3.84

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	120	3.75	3	21	Mountain View	46	3.39	2	5
Airport Heights	49	3.76	0	11	North Star	42	3.50	1	4
Basher	9	4.22	0	2	Northeast	158	3.63	2	22
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.10	0	13	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.89	0	8
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	2	Rabbit Creek	52	4.33	0	21
Birchwood	14	4.14	0	4	Rogers Park	23	4.13	0	5
Campbell Park	42	3.83	0	10	Russian Jack Park	82	3.50	2	12
Chugiak	33	4.18	0	14	Sand Lake	99	3.78	5	20
Downtown	37	3.92	1	10	Scenic Foothills	41	3.83	1	12
Eagle River	57	3.81	1	9	South Addition	46	3.89	2	12
Eagle River Valley	54	4.07	1	14	South Fork	21	4.48	0	10
Elmendorf	15	4.40	0	6	Spenard	121	3.65	3	18
Fairview	67	3.48	4	9	Taku/Campbell	56	3.96	0	11
Fort Richardson	11	4.27	0	5	Tudor Area	10	4.10	0	2
Government Hill	58	3.93	1	13	Turnagain	100	3.86	0	21
Hillside East	13	3.69	0	1	Turnagain Arm	26	4.15	1	11
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.16	0	26	University Area	41	3.85	0	9
Mid-Hillside	33	4.15	0	8	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.53	0	2

- 39 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater helpfulness among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate less helpfulness among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.07c. Willingness of Neighbors to Help One Another: Detail**

Question 2d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **People in my neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,733</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.84</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1.7 %</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>12.3 %</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>8.5 %</b>	<b>959</b>	<b>55.3 %</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>22.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	120	3.75	3	2.5 %	17	14.2 %	8	6.7 %	71	59.2 %	21	17.5 %
Airport Heights	49	3.76	0	0.0	7	14.3	9	18.4	22	44.9	11	22.4
Basher	9	4.22	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.10	0	0.0	2	4.9	5	12.2	21	51.2	13	31.7
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	4.14	0	0.0 %	1	7.1 %	0	0.0 %	9	64.3 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	42	3.83	0	0.0	5	11.9	7	16.7	20	47.6	10	23.8
Chugiak	33	4.18	0	0.0	4	12.1	0	0.0	15	45.5	14	42.4
Downtown	37	3.92	1	2.7	4	10.8	2	5.4	20	54.1	10	27.0
Eagle River	57	3.81	1	1.8	7	12.3	3	5.3	37	64.9	9	15.8
Eagle River Valley	54	4.07	1	1.9 %	3	5.6 %	1	1.9 %	35	64.8 %	14	25.9 %
Elmendorf	15	4.40	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	60.0	6	40.0
Fairview	67	3.48	4	6.0	12	17.9	8	11.9	34	50.7	9	13.4
Fort Richardson	11	4.27	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	5	45.5	5	45.5
Government Hill	58	3.93	1	1.7	6	10.3	2	3.4	36	62.1	13	22.4
Hillside East	13	3.69	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	1	7.7 %	9	69.2 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.16	0	0.0	6	8.7	3	4.3	34	49.3	26	37.7
Mid-Hillside	33	4.15	0	0.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	23	69.7	8	24.2
Mountain View	46	3.39	2	4.3	9	19.6	9	19.6	21	45.7	5	10.9
North Star	42	3.50	1	2.4	8	19.0	6	14.3	23	54.8	4	9.5
Northeast	158	3.63	2	1.3 %	29	18.4 %	16	10.1 %	89	56.3 %	22	13.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.89	0	0.0	4	14.3	3	10.7	13	46.4	8	28.6
Rabbit Creek	52	4.33	0	0.0	2	3.8	0	0.0	29	55.8	21	40.4
Rogers Park	23	4.13	0	0.0	1	4.3	0	0.0	17	73.9	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	82	3.50	2	2.4	18	22.0	11	13.4	39	47.6	12	14.6
Sand Lake	99	3.78	5	5.1 %	10	10.1 %	7	7.1 %	57	57.6 %	20	20.2 %
Scenic Foothills	41	3.83	1	2.4	6	14.6	4	9.8	18	43.9	12	29.3
South Addition	46	3.89	2	4.3	3	6.5	5	10.9	24	52.2	12	26.1
South Fork	21	4.48	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	52.4	10	47.6
Spenard	121	3.65	3	2.5	18	14.9	15	12.4	67	55.4	18	14.9
Taku/Campbell	56	3.96	0	0.0 %	5	8.9 %	3	5.4 %	37	66.1 %	11	19.6 %
Tudor Area	10	4.10	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	7	70.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	100	3.86	0	0.0	12	12.0	11	11.0	56	56.0	21	21.0
Turnagain Arm	26	4.15	1	3.8	2	7.7	0	0.0	12	46.2	11	42.3
University Area	41	3.85	0	0.0	6	14.6	3	7.3	23	56.1	9	22.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.53	0	0.0 %	3	20.0 %	3	20.0 %	7	46.7 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater helpfulness among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate less helpfulness among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 39 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.08. Close-knittedness of Neighborhood

### Table 1.08a. Close-knittedness of Neighborhood: Summary

Question 2e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *Mine is a close-knit neighborhood.*



### Table 1.08b. Close-knittedness of Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 2e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *Mine is a close-knit neighborhood.*

Anchorage average: 3.02

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.05	9	12	Mountain View	46	2.39	13	3
Airport Heights	50	2.90	4	5	North Star	42	2.64	7	1
Basher	9	3.00	0	1	Northeast	157	2.69	21	6
Bayshore/Klatt	39	3.36	0	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	27	2.70	3	1
Bear Valley	4	2.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	3.21	2	8
Birchwood	14	3.43	1	2	Rogers Park	23	3.26	1	3
Campbell Park	41	2.83	4	3	Russian Jack Park	81	2.63	11	8
Chugiak	34	3.56	2	7	Sand Lake	99	3.08	9	13
Downtown	37	3.11	5	5	Scenic Foothills	40	3.10	6	7
Eagle River	57	3.12	3	6	South Addition	46	3.15	2	8
Eagle River Valley	52	3.31	6	7	South Fork	21	3.48	3	6
Elmendorf	15	3.27	1	3	Spenard	122	2.75	11	8
Fairview	66	2.74	12	4	Taku/Campbell	57	3.23	3	10
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	0	2	Tudor Area	10	3.30	0	0
Government Hill	58	3.40	4	7	Turnagain	96	3.14	2	13
Hillside East	13	2.54	4	1	Turnagain Arm	27	3.85	0	9
Huffman/O'Malley	67	3.28	4	6	University Area	41	3.15	2	3
Mid-Hillside	32	3.25	1	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.67	2	2

1. 48 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater cohesion among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate less cohesion among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 1.08c. Close-knittedness of Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 2e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *Mine is a close-knit neighborhood.*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,724</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.02</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>9.2 %</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>34.6 %</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>12.4 %</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>33.1 %</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>10.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.05	9	7.3 %	45	36.6 %	12	9.8 %	45	36.6 %	12	9.8 %
Airport Heights	50	2.90	4	8.0	21	42.0	6	12.0	14	28.0	5	10.0
Basher	9	3.00	0	0.0	4	44.4	2	22.2	2	22.2	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	39	3.36	0	0.0	12	30.8	5	12.8	18	46.2	4	10.3
Bear Valley	4	2.00	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.43	1	7.1 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	41	2.83	4	9.8	16	39.0	7	17.1	11	26.8	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	3.56	2	5.9	7	20.6	2	5.9	16	47.1	7	20.6
Downtown	37	3.11	5	13.5	10	27.0	3	8.1	14	37.8	5	13.5
Eagle River	57	3.12	3	5.3	20	35.1	7	12.3	21	36.8	6	10.5
Eagle River Valley	52	3.31	6	11.5 %	10	19.2 %	5	9.6 %	24	46.2 %	7	13.5 %
Elmendorf	15	3.27	1	6.7	5	33.3	1	6.7	5	33.3	3	20.0
Fairview	66	2.74	12	18.2	21	31.8	9	13.6	20	30.3	4	6.1
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	0	0.0	4	36.4	1	9.1	4	36.4	2	18.2
Government Hill	58	3.40	4	6.9	12	20.7	6	10.3	29	50.0	7	12.1
Hillside East	13	2.54	4	30.8 %	4	30.8 %	0	0.0 %	4	30.8 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	3.28	4	6.0	19	28.4	4	6.0	34	50.7	6	9.0
Mid-Hillside	32	3.25	1	3.1	10	31.3	3	9.4	16	50.0	2	6.3
Mountain View	46	2.39	13	28.3	15	32.6	8	17.4	7	15.2	3	6.5
North Star	42	2.64	7	16.7	15	35.7	7	16.7	12	28.6	1	2.4
Northeast	157	2.69	21	13.4 %	62	39.5 %	24	15.3 %	44	28.0 %	6	3.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	27	2.70	3	11.1	12	44.4	3	11.1	8	29.6	1	3.7
Rabbit Creek	52	3.21	2	3.8	19	36.5	5	9.6	18	34.6	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	3.26	1	4.3	8	34.8	1	4.3	10	43.5	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	81	2.63	11	13.6	35	43.2	16	19.8	11	13.6	8	9.9
Sand Lake	99	3.08	9	9.1 %	31	31.3 %	15	15.2 %	31	31.3 %	13	13.1 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3.10	6	15.0	10	25.0	5	12.5	12	30.0	7	17.5
South Addition	46	3.15	2	4.3	19	41.3	3	6.5	14	30.4	8	17.4
South Fork	21	3.48	3	14.3	3	14.3	2	9.5	7	33.3	6	28.6
Spenard	122	2.75	11	9.0	56	45.9	16	13.1	31	25.4	8	6.6
Taku/Campbell	57	3.23	3	5.3 %	21	36.8 %	3	5.3 %	20	35.1 %	10	17.5 %
Tudor Area	10	3.30	0	0.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	6	60.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	3.14	2	2.1	35	36.5	20	20.8	26	27.1	13	13.5
Turnagain Arm	27	3.85	0	0.0	5	18.5	3	11.1	10	37.0	9	33.3
University Area	41	3.15	2	4.9	13	31.7	6	14.6	17	41.5	3	7.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.67	2	13.3 %	7	46.7 %	2	13.3 %	2	13.3 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater cohesion among neighbors while numbers below 3.00 indicate less cohesion among neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.

2. 48 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.09. Racism as a Problem in Anchorage

### Table 1.09a. Racism as a Problem in Anchorage: Summary

Question 3. In your opinion, during the last year, have you felt that racism is a problem in Anchorage? (Racism is when you are treated unfairly because of your race, whether you think the person or people treating you unfairly are aware they are doing it or not.)

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	1,039	58.6 %	58.6 %
Yes	641	36.2	94.8
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,680</b>	<b>94.8 %</b>	
Missing	92	5.2	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.09b. Racism as a Problem in Anchorage: Summary by Community Council

Question 3. In your opinion, during the last year, have you felt that racism is a problem in Anchorage? (Racism is when you are treated unfairly because of your race, whether you think the person or people treating you unfairly are aware they are doing it or not.)

Anchorage average: 0.38

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	120	0.39	73	47	Mountain View	44	0.59	18	26
Airport Heights	48	0.48	25	23	North Star	41	0.37	26	15
Basher	9	0.56	4	5	Northeast	153	0.42	88	65
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.25	30	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.54	13	15
Bear Valley	3	0.67	1	2	Rabbit Creek	48	0.33	32	16
Birchwood	13	0.23	10	3	Rogers Park	22	0.45	12	10
Campbell Park	41	0.39	25	16	Russian Jack Park	82	0.46	44	38
Chugiak	33	0.24	25	8	Sand Lake	96	0.36	61	35
Downtown	36	0.31	25	11	Scenic Foothills	41	0.37	26	15
Eagle River	55	0.22	43	12	South Addition	42	0.45	23	19
Eagle River Valley	53	0.34	35	18	South Fork	21	0.19	17	4
Elmendorf	14	0.29	10	4	Spenard	119	0.33	80	39
Fairview	62	0.48	32	30	Taku/Campbell	54	0.44	30	24
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.50	5	5
Government Hill	54	0.39	33	21	Turnagain	95	0.38	59	36
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	5	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	5
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.40	40	27	University Area	39	0.41	23	16
Mid-Hillside	33	0.33	22	11	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	12	0.25	9	3

1. 92 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers indicate respondents believe racism is a greater problem while lower numbers reflect it is judged less of a problem. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 4 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 1.09c. Racism as a Problem in Anchorage: Detail**

Question 3. In your opinion, during the last year, have you felt that racism is a problem in Anchorage? (Racism is when you are treated unfairly because of your race, whether you think the person or people treating you unfairly are aware they are doing it or not.)

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,680<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>1,039</b>	<b>61.8 %</b>	<b>641</b>	<b>38.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	120	0.39	73	60.8 %	47	39.2 %
Airport Heights	48	0.48	25	52.1	23	47.9
Basher	9	0.56	4	44.4	5	55.6
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.25	30	75.0	10	25.0
Bear Valley	3	0.67	1	33.3	2	66.7
Birchwood	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Campbell Park	41	0.39	25	61.0	16	39.0
Chugiak	33	0.24	25	75.8	8	24.2
Downtown	36	0.31	25	69.4	11	30.6
Eagle River	55	0.22	43	78.2	12	21.8
Eagle River Valley	53	0.34	35	66.0 %	18	34.0 %
Elmendorf	14	0.29	10	71.4	4	28.6
Fairview	62	0.48	32	51.6	30	48.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	54	0.39	33	61.1	21	38.9
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	61.5 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.40	40	59.7	27	40.3
Mid-Hillside	33	0.33	22	66.7	11	33.3
Mountain View	44	0.59	18	40.9	26	59.1
North Star	41	0.37	26	63.4	15	36.6
Northeast	153	0.42	88	57.5 %	65	42.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.54	13	46.4	15	53.6
Rabbit Creek	48	0.33	32	66.7	16	33.3
Rogers Park	22	0.45	12	54.5	10	45.5
Russian Jack Park	82	0.46	44	53.7	38	46.3
Sand Lake	96	0.36	61	63.5 %	35	36.5 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.37	26	63.4	15	36.6
South Addition	42	0.45	23	54.8	19	45.2
South Fork	21	0.19	17	81.0	4	19.0
Spenard	119	0.33	80	67.2	39	32.8
Taku/Campbell	54	0.44	30	55.6 %	24	44.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.50	5	50.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	95	0.38	59	62.1	36	37.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
University Area	39	0.41	23	59.0	16	41.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	12	0.25	9	75.0 %	3	25.0 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers indicate respondents believe racism is a greater problem while lower numbers reflect it is judged less of a problem. Values are assigned as follows: No=0;
- 92 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 4 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 1.10. Experience of Racism Within Past Year

### Table 1.10a. Experience of Racism Within Past Year: Summary

Question 4a. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **No, I have not experienced racism in the past year.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,227	69.2 %	69.2 %
Racism experienced	495	27.9	97.2
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,722</b>	<b>97.2 %</b>	
Missing	50	2.8	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.10b. Experience of Racism Within Past Year: Summary by Community Council

Question 4a. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **No, I have not experienced racism in the past year.**

Anchorage average: 0.71

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.72	88	34	Mountain View	47	0.55	26	21
Airport Heights	50	0.68	34	16	North Star	43	0.63	27	16
Basher	9	1.00	9	0	Northeast	157	0.64	101	56
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.85	34	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.82	23	5
Bear Valley	3	0.33	1	2	Rabbit Creek	51	0.82	42	9
Birchwood	14	0.86	12	2	Rogers Park	22	0.82	18	4
Campbell Park	41	0.76	31	10	Russian Jack Park	82	0.54	44	38
Chugiak	33	0.85	28	5	Sand Lake	99	0.75	74	25
Downtown	36	0.69	25	11	Scenic Foothills	42	0.67	28	14
Eagle River	52	0.75	39	13	South Addition	45	0.69	31	14
Eagle River Valley	53	0.70	37	16	South Fork	20	0.90	18	2
Elmendorf	15	0.73	11	4	Spenard	121	0.67	81	40
Fairview	68	0.66	45	23	Taku/Campbell	56	0.73	41	15
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	5	6	Tudor Area	10	0.90	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.75	44	15	Turnagain	96	0.72	69	27
Hillside East	13	0.77	10	3	Turnagain Arm	27	0.85	23	4
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.79	53	14	University Area	42	0.64	27	15
Mid-Hillside	33	0.79	26	7	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.87	13	2

1. 50 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers indicate fewer respondents reported experiencing racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 1; Racism experienced = 0.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.10c. Experience of Racism Within Past Year: Detail**

Question 4a. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **No, I have not experienced racism in the past year.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,722<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>1,227</b>	<b>71.3 %</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>28.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.72	88	72.1 %	34	27.9 %
Airport Heights	50	0.68	34	68.0	16	32.0
Basher	9	1.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.85	34	85.0	6	15.0
Bear Valley	3	0.33	1	33.3	2	66.7
Birchwood	14	0.86	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	41	0.76	31	75.6	10	24.4
Chugiak	33	0.85	28	84.8	5	15.2
Downtown	36	0.69	25	69.4	11	30.6
Eagle River	52	0.75	39	75.0	13	25.0
Eagle River Valley	53	0.70	37	69.8 %	16	30.2 %
Elmendorf	15	0.73	11	73.3	4	26.7
Fairview	68	0.66	45	66.2	23	33.8
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	5	45.5	6	54.5
Government Hill	59	0.75	44	74.6	15	25.4
Hillside East	13	0.77	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.79	53	79.1	14	20.9
Mid-Hillside	33	0.79	26	78.8	7	21.2
Mountain View	47	0.55	26	55.3	21	44.7
North Star	43	0.63	27	62.8	16	37.2
Northeast	157	0.64	101	64.3 %	56	35.7 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.82	23	82.1	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	51	0.82	42	82.4	9	17.6
Rogers Park	22	0.82	18	81.8	4	18.2
Russian Jack Park	82	0.54	44	53.7	38	46.3
Sand Lake	99	0.75	74	74.7 %	25	25.3 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.67	28	66.7	14	33.3
South Addition	45	0.69	31	68.9	14	31.1
South Fork	20	0.90	18	90.0	2	10.0
Spenard	121	0.67	81	66.9	40	33.1
Taku/Campbell	56	0.73	41	73.2 %	15	26.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.90	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	96	0.72	69	71.9	27	28.1
Turnagain Arm	27	0.85	23	85.2	4	14.8
University Area	42	0.64	27	64.3	15	35.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.87	13	86.7 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers indicate fewer respondents reported experiencing racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 1; Racism experienced = 0.

2. 50 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.11. Experience of Racism While Shopping

### Table 1.11a. Experience of Racism While Shopping: Summary

Question 4b. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while shopping.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,514	85.4 %	85.4 %
Racism experienced	214	12.1	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.11b. Experience of Racism While Shopping: Summary by Community Council

Question 4b. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while shopping.**

Anchorage average: 0.12

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.11	109	13	Mountain View	48	0.21	38	10
Airport Heights	50	0.14	43	7	North Star	43	0.21	34	9
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.14	136	23
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.08	37	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	3	0.33	2	1	Rabbit Creek	51	0.04	49	2
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.14	19	3
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	82	0.26	61	21
Chugiak	33	0.06	31	2	Sand Lake	99	0.10	89	10
Downtown	36	0.11	32	4	Scenic Foothills	42	0.12	37	5
Eagle River	52	0.12	46	6	South Addition	45	0.09	41	4
Eagle River Valley	53	0.11	47	6	South Fork	20	0.05	19	1
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	122	0.16	103	19
Fairview	68	0.16	57	11	Taku/Campbell	56	0.11	50	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	5	6	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	7	Turnagain	96	0.11	85	11
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.06	63	4	University Area	42	0.12	37	5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.03	32	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.11c. Experience of Racism While Shopping: Detail**

Question 4b. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — ***I have experienced racism while shopping.***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>1,514</b>	<b>87.6 %</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>12.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.11	109	89.3 %	13	10.7 %
Airport Heights	50	0.14	43	86.0	7	14.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.08	37	92.5	3	7.5
Bear Valley	3	0.33	2	66.7	1	33.3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	33	0.06	31	93.9	2	6.1
Downtown	36	0.11	32	88.9	4	11.1
Eagle River	52	0.12	46	88.5	6	11.5
Eagle River Valley	53	0.11	47	88.7 %	6	11.3 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	68	0.16	57	83.8	11	16.2
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	5	45.5	6	54.5
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	88.1	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.06	63	94.0	4	6.0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.03	32	97.0	1	3.0
Mountain View	48	0.21	38	79.2	10	20.8
North Star	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Northeast	159	0.14	136	85.5 %	23	14.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	51	0.04	49	96.1	2	3.9
Rogers Park	22	0.14	19	86.4	3	13.6
Russian Jack Park	82	0.26	61	74.4	21	25.6
Sand Lake	99	0.10	89	89.9 %	10	10.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
South Addition	45	0.09	41	91.1	4	8.9
South Fork	20	0.05	19	95.0	1	5.0
Spenard	122	0.16	103	84.4	19	15.6
Taku/Campbell	56	0.11	50	89.3 %	6	10.7 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	96	0.11	85	88.5	11	11.5
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area

## Table 1.12. Experience of Racism While at School

### Table 1.12a. Experience of Racism While at School: Summary

Question 4c. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism at school.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %	
No racism	1,660	93.7 %	93.7 %	No racism
Racism experienced	68	3.8	97.5	Racism experienced
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>		
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>		



### Table 1.12b. Experience of Racism While at School: Summary by Community Council

Question 4c. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism at school.**

Anchorage average: 0.04

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.04	117	5	Mountain View	48	0.06	45	3
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	1	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.05	151	8
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.03	39	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	4
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	1
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	1	Russian Jack Park	82	0.04	79	3
Chugiak	33	0.03	32	1	Sand Lake	99	0.04	95	4
Downtown	36	0.03	35	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	52	0.04	50	2	South Addition	45	0.07	42	3
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	4	South Fork	20	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.02	119	3
Fairview	68	0.07	63	5	Taku/Campbell	56	0.04	54	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	2	Turnagain	96	0.03	93	3
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.04	64	3	University Area	42	0.02	41	1
Mid-Hillside	33	0.03	32	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.12c. Experience of Racism While at School: Detail**

Question 4c. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism at school.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>1,660</b>	<b>96.1 %</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.04	117	95.9 %	5	4.1 %
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	98.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.03	39	97.5	1	2.5
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Chugiak	33	0.03	32	97.0	1	3.0
Downtown	36	0.03	35	97.2	1	2.8
Eagle River	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	92.5 %	4	7.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.07	63	92.6	5	7.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	96.6	2	3.4
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.04	64	95.5	3	4.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.03	32	97.0	1	3.0
Mountain View	48	0.06	45	93.8	3	6.3
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	159	0.05	151	95.0 %	8	5.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	95.5	1	4.5
Russian Jack Park	82	0.04	79	96.3	3	3.7
Sand Lake	99	0.04	95	96.0 %	4	4.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	45	0.07	42	93.3	3	6.7
South Fork	20	0.00	20	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	122	0.02	119	97.5	3	2.5
Taku/Campbell	56	0.04	54	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	0.03	93	96.9	3	3.1
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area

## Table 1.13. Experience of Racism While at Work

**Table 1.13a. Experience of Racism While at Work: Summary**

Question 4d. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — ***I have experienced racism while at work.***

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,530	86.3 %	86.3 %
Racism experienced	198	11.2	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.13b. Experience of Racism While at Work: Summary by Community Council**

Question 4d. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — ***I have experienced racism while at work.***

Anchorage average: 0.11

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.10	110	12	Mountain View	48	0.17	40	8
Airport Heights	50	0.12	44	6	North Star	43	0.12	38	5
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.14	136	23
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.10	36	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.11	25	3
Bear Valley	3	0.33	2	1	Rabbit Creek	51	0.10	46	5
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	22	0.00	22	0
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	82	0.20	66	16
Chugiak	33	0.06	31	2	Sand Lake	99	0.10	89	10
Downtown	36	0.08	33	3	Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	7
Eagle River	52	0.08	48	4	South Addition	45	0.11	40	5
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	4	South Fork	20	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	3	Spenard	122	0.16	102	20
Fairview	68	0.22	53	15	Taku/Campbell	56	0.07	52	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	96	0.13	84	12
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.07	62	5	University Area	42	0.12	37	5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.09	30	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.13c. Experience of Racism While at Work: Detail**

Question 4d. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while at work.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>1,530</b>	<b>88.5 %</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>11.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.10	110	90.2 %	12	9.8 %
Airport Heights	50	0.12	44	88.0	6	12.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.10	36	90.0	4	10.0
Bear Valley	3	0.33	2	66.7	1	33.3
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	33	0.06	31	93.9	2	6.1
Downtown	36	0.08	33	91.7	3	8.3
Eagle River	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	92.5 %	4	7.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	68	0.22	53	77.9	15	22.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.07	62	92.5	5	7.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.09	30	90.9	3	9.1
Mountain View	48	0.17	40	83.3	8	16.7
North Star	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Northeast	159	0.14	136	85.5 %	23	14.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.11	25	89.3	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Rogers Park	22	0.00	22	100.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	82	0.20	66	80.5	16	19.5
Sand Lake	99	0.10	89	89.9 %	10	10.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
South Addition	45	0.11	40	88.9	5	11.1
South Fork	20	0.00	20	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	122	0.16	102	83.6	20	16.4
Taku/Campbell	56	0.07	52	92.9 %	4	7.1 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	96	0.13	84	87.5	12	12.5
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area

## Table 1.14. Experience of Racism While Renting or Attempting to Rent Housing

**Table 1.14a. Experience of Racism While Renting or Attempting to Rent Housing: Summary**

Question 4e. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while renting or attempting to rent housing.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,678	94.7 %	94.7 %
Racism experienced	50	2.8	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.14b. Experience of Racism While Renting or Attempting to Rent Housing: Summary by Community Council**

Question 4e. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while renting or attempting to rent housing.**

Anchorage average: 0.03

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.02	119	3	Mountain View	48	0.02	47	1
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	2	North Star	43	0.07	40	3
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.04	152	7
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.00	40	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	4
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	22	0.00	22	0
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	82	0.05	78	4
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	0	Sand Lake	99	0.01	98	1
Downtown	36	0.03	35	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	52	0.00	52	0	South Addition	45	0.04	43	2
Eagle River Valley	53	0.02	52	1	South Fork	20	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.02	120	2
Fairview	68	0.07	63	5	Taku/Campbell	56	0.02	55	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	3	Turnagain	96	0.04	92	4
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	1	University Area	42	0.00	42	0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism=0; Racism experienced=1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.14c. Experience of Racism While Renting or Attempting to Rent Housing: Detail**

Question 4e. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — ***I have experienced racism while renting or attempting to rent housing.***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>1,678</b>	<b>97.1 %</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>2.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.02	119	97.5 %	3	2.5 %
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	96.0	2	4.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.00	40	100.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	36	0.03	35	97.2	1	2.8
Eagle River	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	53	0.02	52	98.1 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.07	63	92.6	5	7.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	94.9	3	5.1
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	98.5	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.02	47	97.9	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	159	0.04	152	95.6 %	7	4.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Rogers Park	22	0.00	22	100.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	82	0.05	78	95.1	4	4.9
Sand Lake	99	0.01	98	99.0 %	1	1.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	45	0.04	43	95.6	2	4.4
South Fork	20	0.00	20	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	122	0.02	120	98.4	2	1.6
Taku/Campbell	56	0.02	55	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	0.04	92	95.8	4	4.2
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.


2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.15. Experience of Racism While Buying or Attempting to Buy Housing**

**Table 1.15a. Experience of Racism While Buying or Attempting to Buy Housing: Summary**

Question 4f. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while buying or attempting to buy housing.**



**Table 1.15b. Experience of Racism While Buying or Attempting to Buy Housing: Summary by Community Council**

Question 4f. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while buying or attempting to buy housing.**

Anchorage average: 0.03

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.01	121	1	Mountain View	48	0.02	47	1
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	2	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.03	154	5
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.03	39	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	4
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.09	20	2
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	82	0.04	79	3
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	0	Sand Lake	99	0.00	99	0
Downtown	36	0.00	36	0	Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	1
Eagle River	52	0.00	52	0	South Addition	45	0.04	43	2
Eagle River Valley	53	0.02	52	1	South Fork	20	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.03	118	4
Fairview	68	0.04	65	3	Taku/Campbell	56	0.02	55	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	96	0.03	93	3
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	1	University Area	42	0.00	42	0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism=0; Racism experienced=1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.15c. Experience of Racism While Buying or Attempting to Buy Housing: Detail**

Question 4f. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism while buying or attempting to buy housing.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>1,683</b>	<b>97.4 %</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>2.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.01	121	99.2 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	96.0	2	4.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.03	39	97.5	1	2.5
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	36	0.00	36	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	53	0.02	52	98.1 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.04	65	95.6	3	4.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	98.5	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.02	47	97.9	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	159	0.03	154	96.9 %	5	3.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Rogers Park	22	0.09	20	90.9	2	9.1
Russian Jack Park	82	0.04	79	96.3	3	3.7
Sand Lake	99	0.00	99	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
South Addition	45	0.04	43	95.6	2	4.4
South Fork	20	0.00	20	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	122	0.03	118	96.7	4	3.3
Taku/Campbell	56	0.02	55	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	0.03	93	96.9	3	3.1
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.16. Experience of Racism from Police

### Table 1.16a. Experience of Racism from Police: Summary

Question 4g. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from police.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,635	92.3 %	92.3 %
Racism experienced	93	5.2	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.16b. Experience of Racism from Police: Summary by Community Council

Question 4g. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from police.**

Anchorage average: 0.05

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.06	115	7	Mountain View	48	0.10	43	5
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	2	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.07	148	11
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.05	38	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.04	49	2
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	1
Campbell Park	42	0.00	42	0	Russian Jack Park	82	0.12	72	10
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	0	Sand Lake	99	0.03	96	3
Downtown	36	0.03	35	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	52	0.06	49	3	South Addition	45	0.16	38	7
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	4	South Fork	20	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.04	117	5
Fairview	68	0.07	63	5	Taku/Campbell	56	0.04	54	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	96	0.07	89	7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	1	University Area	42	0.02	41	1
Mid-Hillside	33	0.09	30	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.16c. Experience of Racism from Police: Detail**

Question 4g. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — ***I have experienced racism from police.***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>1,635</b>	<b>94.6 %</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>5.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.06	115	94.3 %	7	5.7 %
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	96.0	2	4.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.05	38	95.0	2	5.0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	36	0.03	35	97.2	1	2.8
Eagle River	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	92.5 %	4	7.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.07	63	92.6	5	7.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	98.5	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.09	30	90.9	3	9.1
Mountain View	48	0.10	43	89.6	5	10.4
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	159	0.07	148	93.1 %	11	6.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	0.04	49	96.1	2	3.9
Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	95.5	1	4.5
Russian Jack Park	82	0.12	72	87.8	10	12.2
Sand Lake	99	0.03	96	97.0 %	3	3.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	45	0.16	38	84.4	7	15.6
South Fork	20	0.00	20	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	122	0.04	117	95.9	5	4.1
Taku/Campbell	56	0.04	54	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	0.07	89	92.7	7	7.3
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.


2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area

**Table 1.17. Experience of Racism from a Judge, Lawyer, or Other Member of the Justice System**

**Table 1.17a. Experience of Racism from a Judge, Lawyer, or Other Member of the Justice System: Summary**

Question 4h. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from a judge, lawyer, or other member of the justice system.**



**Table 1.17b. Experience of Racism from a Judge, Lawyer, or Other Member of the Justice System: Summary by Community Council**

Question 4h. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from a judge, lawyer, or other member of the justice system.**

Anchorage average: 0.03

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.02	119	3	Mountain View	48	0.02	47	1
Airport Heights	50	0.06	47	3	North Star	43	0.00	43	0
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.04	152	7
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.00	40	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	4
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	22	0.09	20	2
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	1	Russian Jack Park	82	0.05	78	4
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	0	Sand Lake	99	0.03	96	3
Downtown	36	0.03	35	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	52	0.02	51	1	South Addition	45	0.07	42	3
Eagle River Valley	53	0.09	48	5	South Fork	20	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.02	120	2
Fairview	68	0.06	64	4	Taku/Campbell	56	0.04	54	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	1	Turnagain	96	0.03	93	3
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	27	0.07	25	2
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.04	64	3	University Area	42	0.05	40	2
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.17c. Experience of Racism from a Judge, Lawyer, or Other Member of the Justice System: Detail**

Question 4h. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — ***I have experienced racism from a judge, lawyer, or other member of the justice system.***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>1,670</b>	<b>96.6 %</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>3.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.02	119	97.5 %	3	2.5 %
Airport Heights	50	0.06	47	94.0	3	6.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.00	40	100.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	36	0.03	35	97.2	1	2.8
Eagle River	52	0.02	51	98.1	1	1.9
Eagle River Valley	53	0.09	48	90.6 %	5	9.4 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.06	64	94.1	4	5.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	98.3	1	1.7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.04	64	95.5	3	4.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.02	47	97.9	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.00	43	100.0	0	0.0
Northeast	159	0.04	152	95.6 %	7	4.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Rogers Park	22	0.09	20	90.9	2	9.1
Russian Jack Park	82	0.05	78	95.1	4	4.9
Sand Lake	99	0.03	96	97.0 %	3	3.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	45	0.07	42	93.3	3	6.7
South Fork	20	0.00	20	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	122	0.02	120	98.4	2	1.6
Taku/Campbell	56	0.04	54	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	0.03	93	96.9	3	3.1
Turnagain Arm	27	0.07	25	92.6	2	7.4
University Area	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.
- 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.18. Experience of Racism from Government Officials

### Table 1.18a. Experience of Racism from Government Officials: Summary

Question 4i. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from members of local and/or state government.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,657	93.5 %	93.5 %
Racism experienced	71	4.0	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.18b. Experience of Racism from Government Officials: Summary by Community Council

Question 4i. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from members of local and/or state government.**

Anchorage average: 0.04

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.02	119	3	Mountain View	48	0.04	46	2
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	1	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.04	153	6
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.05	38	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.09	20	2
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	1	Russian Jack Park	82	0.12	72	10
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	0	Sand Lake	99	0.04	95	4
Downtown	36	0.03	35	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	52	0.00	52	0	South Addition	45	0.07	42	3
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	4	South Fork	20	0.05	19	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.04	117	5
Fairview	68	0.07	63	5	Taku/Campbell	56	0.00	56	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	2	Turnagain	96	0.06	90	6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	1
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	1	University Area	42	0.07	39	3
Mid-Hillside	33	0.03	32	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.18c. Experience of Racism from Government Officials:  
Detail**

Question 4i. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **I have experienced racism from members of local and/or state government.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>1,657</b>	<b>95.9 %</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>4.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.02	119	97.5 %	3	2.5 %
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	98.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.05	38	95.0	2	5.0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Chugiak	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	36	0.03	35	97.2	1	2.8
Eagle River	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	53	0.08	49	92.5 %	4	7.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.07	63	92.6	5	7.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	96.6	2	3.4
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.01	66	98.5	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.03	32	97.0	1	3.0
Mountain View	48	0.04	46	95.8	2	4.2
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	159	0.04	153	96.2 %	6	3.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Rogers Park	22	0.09	20	90.9	2	9.1
Russian Jack Park	82	0.12	72	87.8	10	12.2
Sand Lake	99	0.04	95	96.0 %	4	4.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	45	0.07	42	93.3	3	6.7
South Fork	20	0.05	19	95.0	1	5.0
Spenard	122	0.04	117	95.9	5	4.1
Taku/Campbell	56	0.00	56	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	96	0.06	90	93.8	6	6.3
Turnagain Arm	27	0.04	26	96.3	1	3.7
University Area	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.19. Experience of Racism in Other Situations

### Table 1.19a. Summary. Experience of Racism in Other Situations: Summary

Question 4j. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **Other (please explain)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No racism	1,587	89.6 %	89.6 %
Racism experienced	141	8.0	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	44	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.19b. Summary. Experience of Racism in Other Situations: Summary by Community Council

Question 4j. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **Other (please explain)**

Anchorage average: 0.08

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No racism	Racism experienced
Abbott Loop	122	0.08	112	10	Mountain View	48	0.15	41	7
Airport Heights	50	0.10	45	5	North Star	43	0.07	40	3
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.08	146	13
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.03	39	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	1
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	82	0.12	72	10
Chugiak	33	0.06	31	2	Sand Lake	99	0.08	91	8
Downtown	36	0.11	32	4	Scenic Foothills	42	0.12	37	5
Eagle River	52	0.04	50	2	South Addition	45	0.18	37	8
Eagle River Valley	53	0.13	46	7	South Fork	20	0.05	19	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	122	0.07	114	8
Fairview	68	0.06	64	4	Taku/Campbell	56	0.11	50	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	96	0.08	88	8
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	2	Turnagain Arm	27	0.11	24	3
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.04	64	3	University Area	42	0.07	39	3
Mid-Hillside	33	0.09	30	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.19c. Summary. Experience of Racism in Other Situations:  
Detail**

Question 4j. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year? Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list. — **Other (please explain)**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No racism		Racism experienced	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>1,587</b>	<b>91.8 %</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>8.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.08	112	91.8 %	10	8.2 %
Airport Heights	50	0.10	45	90.0	5	10.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.03	39	97.5	1	2.5
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	33	0.06	31	93.9	2	6.1
Downtown	36	0.11	32	88.9	4	11.1
Eagle River	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Eagle River Valley	53	0.13	46	86.8 %	7	13.2 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.06	64	94.1	4	5.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	0.04	64	95.5	3	4.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.09	30	90.9	3	9.1
Mountain View	48	0.15	41	85.4	7	14.6
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	159	0.08	146	91.8 %	13	8.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	95.5	1	4.5
Russian Jack Park	82	0.12	72	87.8	10	12.2
Sand Lake	99	0.08	91	91.9 %	8	8.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
South Addition	45	0.18	37	82.2	8	17.8
South Fork	20	0.05	19	95.0	1	5.0
Spenard	122	0.07	114	93.4	8	6.6
Taku/Campbell	56	0.11	50	89.3 %	6	10.7 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	96	0.08	88	91.7	8	8.3
Turnagain Arm	27	0.11	24	88.9	3	11.1
University Area	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of racism. Values are assigned as follows: No racism = 0; Racism experienced = 1.
2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area

## Table 1.20. Intervention by Neighbors Against Graffiti Spray-Painting

### Table 1.20a. Intervention by Neighbors Against Graffiti Spray-Painting: Summary

Question 5a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting graffiti on a local building.**



### Table 1.20b. Intervention by Neighbors Against Graffiti Spray-Painting: Summary by Community Council

Question 5a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting graffiti on a local building.**

Anchorage average: 3.93

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	124	3.88	2	39	Mountain View	48	3.17	4	7
Airport Heights	51	3.82	0	15	North Star	42	3.79	4	15
Basher	9	4.22	0	2	Northeast	160	3.70	5	33
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.29	1	20	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.00	0	10
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	2	Rabbit Creek	51	4.16	0	20
Birchwood	14	4.14	0	5	Rogers Park	23	4.04	0	6
Campbell Park	42	3.86	1	11	Russian Jack Park	83	3.59	4	11
Chugiak	34	4.21	0	14	Sand Lake	102	4.02	1	30
Downtown	38	4.08	0	14	Scenic Foothills	42	4.12	0	15
Eagle River	57	4.18	0	20	South Addition	47	3.96	2	15
Eagle River Valley	53	4.34	1	26	South Fork	21	4.29	0	11
Elmendorf	15	4.40	0	7	Spenard	123	3.90	3	31
Fairview	69	3.25	8	8	Taku/Campbell	57	3.91	0	17
Fort Richardson	11	4.09	0	3	Tudor Area	9	4.00	0	1
Government Hill	59	4.08	1	13	Turnagain	101	3.94	0	28
Hillside East	13	4.00	0	4	Turnagain Arm	28	4.36	0	13
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.25	0	28	University Area	42	4.02	0	14
Mid-Hillside	34	4.15	0	10	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.71	0	2

1. 14 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.



**Table 1.20c. Intervention by Neighbors Against Graffiti Spray-Painting: Detail**

Question 5a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting graffiti on a local building.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,758</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.93</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.1 %</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>10.9 %</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>8.4 %</b>	<b>863</b>	<b>49.1 %</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>29.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	124	3.88	2	1.6 %	19	15.3 %	10	8.1 %	54	43.5 %	39	31.5 %
Airport Heights	51	3.82	0	0.0	8	15.7	8	15.7	20	39.2	15	29.4
Basher	9	4.22	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.29	1	2.4	2	4.9	1	2.4	17	41.5	20	48.8
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	4.14	0	0.0 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	3.86	1	2.4	4	9.5	6	14.3	20	47.6	11	26.2
Chugiak	34	4.21	0	0.0	3	8.8	1	2.9	16	47.1	14	41.2
Downtown	38	4.08	0	0.0	4	10.5	3	7.9	17	44.7	14	36.8
Eagle River	57	4.18	0	0.0	3	5.3	4	7.0	30	52.6	20	35.1
Eagle River Valley	53	4.34	1	1.9 %	1	1.9 %	3	5.7 %	22	41.5 %	26	49.1 %
Elmendorf	15	4.40	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.7	7	46.7	7	46.7
Fairview	69	3.25	8	11.6	12	17.4	12	17.4	29	42.0	8	11.6
Fort Richardson	11	4.09	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	7	63.6	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	4.08	1	1.7	1	1.7	3	5.1	41	69.5	13	22.0
Hillside East	13	4.00	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	7	53.8 %	4	30.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.25	0	0.0	3	4.3	5	7.2	33	47.8	28	40.6
Mid-Hillside	34	4.15	0	0.0	2	5.9	1	2.9	21	61.8	10	29.4
Mountain View	48	3.17	4	8.3	14	29.2	7	14.6	16	33.3	7	14.6
North Star	42	3.79	4	9.5	4	9.5	4	9.5	15	35.7	15	35.7
Northeast	160	3.70	5	3.1 %	24	15.0 %	18	11.3 %	80	50.0 %	33	20.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.00	0	0.0	4	14.3	2	7.1	12	42.9	10	35.7
Rabbit Creek	51	4.16	0	0.0	4	7.8	4	7.8	23	45.1	20	39.2
Rogers Park	23	4.04	0	0.0	2	8.7	1	4.3	14	60.9	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	83	3.59	4	4.8	11	13.3	11	13.3	46	55.4	11	13.3
Sand Lake	102	4.02	1	1.0 %	9	8.8 %	7	6.9 %	55	53.9 %	30	29.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	4.12	0	0.0	4	9.5	2	4.8	21	50.0	15	35.7
South Addition	47	3.96	2	4.3	4	8.5	3	6.4	23	48.9	15	31.9
South Fork	21	4.29	0	0.0	2	9.5	1	4.8	7	33.3	11	52.4
Spenard	123	3.90	3	2.4	11	8.9	12	9.8	66	53.7	31	25.2
Taku/Campbell	57	3.91	0	0.0 %	10	17.5 %	2	3.5 %	28	49.1 %	17	29.8 %
Tudor Area	9	4.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	7	77.8	1	11.1
Turnagain	101	3.94	0	0.0	12	11.9	10	9.9	51	50.5	28	27.7
Turnagain Arm	28	4.36	0	0.0	1	3.6	1	3.6	13	46.4	13	46.4
University Area	42	4.02	0	0.0	6	14.3	1	2.4	21	50.0	14	33.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.71	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	0	0.0 %	9	64.3 %	2	14.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 14 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 1.21. Intervention by Neighbors Against Disrespect Shown Toward an Adult**

**Table 1.21a. Intervention by Neighbors Against Disrespect Shown Toward an Adult: Summary**

Question 5b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were showing disrespect toward an adult.**



**Table 1.21b. Intervention by Neighbors Against Disrespect Shown Toward an Adult: Summary by Community Council**

Question 5b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were showing disrespect toward an adult.**

Anchorage average: 3.54

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.50	2	20	Mountain View	47	3.06	5	4
Airport Heights	51	3.27	1	8	North Star	42	3.26	4	6
Basher	9	3.56	0	0	Northeast	160	3.42	7	24
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.93	1	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.64	0	4
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	3.80	0	10
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	3	Rogers Park	23	3.65	0	3
Campbell Park	42	3.55	1	7	Russian Jack Park	82	3.37	6	7
Chugiak	33	3.82	0	6	Sand Lake	102	3.49	3	16
Downtown	38	3.53	1	5	Scenic Foothills	42	3.74	0	10
Eagle River	57	3.53	1	8	South Addition	46	3.72	1	9
Eagle River Valley	53	3.89	0	9	South Fork	21	3.81	0	4
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	3	Spenard	124	3.31	8	12
Fairview	70	3.04	6	6	Taku/Campbell	57	3.51	3	10
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	2	Tudor Area	9	3.44	0	1
Government Hill	59	3.71	2	7	Turnagain	101	3.55	1	17
Hillside East	13	3.62	0	4	Turnagain Arm	28	3.96	0	10
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.90	1	18	University Area	42	3.55	0	5
Mid-Hillside	34	3.71	0	7	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.57	0	3

1. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 1.21c. Intervention by Neighbors Against Disrespect Shown Toward an Adult: Detail**

Question 5b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were showing disrespect toward an adult.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,755</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3.1 %</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>19.8 %</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>	<b>839</b>	<b>47.8 %</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>15.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.50	2	1.6 %	30	24.4 %	15	12.2 %	56	45.5 %	20	16.3 %
Airport Heights	51	3.27	1	2.0	16	31.4	10	19.6	16	31.4	8	15.7
Basher	9	3.56	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2	6	66.7	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.93	1	2.4	3	7.3	4	9.8	23	56.1	10	24.4
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	42	3.55	1	2.4	8	19.0	7	16.7	19	45.2	7	16.7
Chugiak	33	3.82	0	0.0	4	12.1	4	12.1	19	57.6	6	18.2
Downtown	38	3.53	1	2.6	6	15.8	8	21.1	18	47.4	5	13.2
Eagle River	57	3.53	1	1.8	13	22.8	6	10.5	29	50.9	8	14.0
Eagle River Valley	53	3.89	0	0.0 %	6	11.3 %	3	5.7 %	35	66.0 %	9	17.0 %
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	0.0	3	20.0	2	13.3	7	46.7	3	20.0
Fairview	70	3.04	6	8.6	21	30.0	13	18.6	24	34.3	6	8.6
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	8	72.7	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	3.71	2	3.4	6	10.2	6	10.2	38	64.4	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	3.62	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	3	23.1 %	3	23.1 %	4	30.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.90	1	1.4	9	13.0	4	5.8	37	53.6	18	26.1
Mid-Hillside	34	3.71	0	0.0	6	17.6	5	14.7	16	47.1	7	20.6
Mountain View	47	3.06	5	10.6	13	27.7	7	14.9	18	38.3	4	8.5
North Star	42	3.26	4	9.5	9	21.4	7	16.7	16	38.1	6	14.3
Northeast	160	3.42	7	4.4 %	38	23.8 %	20	12.5 %	71	44.4 %	24	15.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.64	0	0.0	6	21.4	2	7.1	16	57.1	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	51	3.80	0	0.0	5	9.8	10	19.6	26	51.0	10	19.6
Rogers Park	23	3.65	0	0.0	5	21.7	1	4.3	14	60.9	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	82	3.37	6	7.3	16	19.5	9	11.0	44	53.7	7	8.5
Sand Lake	102	3.49	3	2.9 %	23	22.5 %	13	12.7 %	47	46.1 %	16	15.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.74	0	0.0	7	16.7	7	16.7	18	42.9	10	23.8
South Addition	46	3.72	1	2.2	6	13.0	7	15.2	23	50.0	9	19.6
South Fork	21	3.81	0	0.0	2	9.5	4	19.0	11	52.4	4	19.0
Spenard	124	3.31	8	6.5	29	23.4	16	12.9	59	47.6	12	9.7
Taku/Campbell	57	3.51	3	5.3 %	12	21.1 %	5	8.8 %	27	47.4 %	10	17.5 %
Tudor Area	9	3.44	0	0.0	2	22.2	2	22.2	4	44.4	1	11.1
Turnagain	101	3.55	1	1.0	21	20.8	17	16.8	45	44.6	17	16.8
Turnagain Arm	28	3.96	0	0.0	3	10.7	5	17.9	10	35.7	10	35.7
University Area	42	3.55	0	0.0	9	21.4	6	14.3	22	52.4	5	11.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.57	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %	5	35.7 %	3	21.4 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 1.22. Intervention by Neighbors Against Budget Cuts to Fire Station**

**Table 1.22a. Intervention by Neighbors Against Budget Cuts to Fire Station: Summary**

Question 5c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors would intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts.**



**Table 1.22b. Intervention by Neighbors Against Budget Cuts to Fire Station: Summary by Community Council**

Question 5c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors would intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts.**

Anchorage average: 3.66

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.49	2	17	Mountain View	48	3.06	4	4
Airport Heights	51	3.65	0	8	North Star	42	3.29	3	2
Basher	9	4.11	0	2	Northeast	160	3.45	5	23
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.10	0	13	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.71	1	7
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	2	Rabbit Creek	51	4.12	1	23
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	4	Rogers Park	23	4.17	1	11
Campbell Park	41	3.51	2	9	Russian Jack Park	83	3.40	2	10
Chugiak	34	3.62	0	8	Sand Lake	100	3.48	3	13
Downtown	38	3.87	0	12	Scenic Foothills	42	3.57	2	11
Eagle River	56	3.59	0	11	South Addition	46	3.98	0	8
Eagle River Valley	53	4.09	0	18	South Fork	21	4.33	0	11
Elmendorf	14	3.71	0	4	Spenard	123	3.45	4	13
Fairview	69	3.35	5	13	Taku/Campbell	57	3.53	3	10
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	0	2	Tudor Area	9	3.89	0	2
Government Hill	59	3.80	2	17	Turnagain	100	3.76	2	21
Hillside East	13	3.77	1	7	Turnagain Arm	28	4.07	0	12
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.04	0	20	University Area	42	3.71	1	11
Mid-Hillside	34	4.15	0	12	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.93	0	2

1. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 1.22c. Intervention by Neighbors Against Budget Cuts to Fire Station: Detail**

Question 5c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors would intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,750</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.66</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>2.5 %</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>15.4 %</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>17.3 %</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>43.4 %</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>21.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.49	2	1.6 %	26	21.1 %	22	17.9 %	56	45.5 %	17	13.8 %
Airport Heights	51	3.65	0	0.0	8	15.7	10	19.6	25	49.0	8	15.7
Basher	9	4.11	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	6	66.7	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.10	0	0.0	3	7.3	3	7.3	22	53.7	13	31.7
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	41	3.51	2	4.9	7	17.1	9	22.0	14	34.1	9	22.0
Chugiak	34	3.62	0	0.0	8	23.5	5	14.7	13	38.2	8	23.5
Downtown	38	3.87	0	0.0	7	18.4	3	7.9	16	42.1	12	31.6
Eagle River	56	3.59	0	0.0	12	21.4	10	17.9	23	41.1	11	19.6
Eagle River Valley	53	4.09	0	0.0 %	3	5.7 %	7	13.2 %	25	47.2 %	18	34.0 %
Elmendorf	14	3.71	0	0.0	2	14.3	4	28.6	4	28.6	4	28.6
Fairview	69	3.35	5	7.2	12	17.4	19	27.5	20	29.0	13	18.8
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	0	0.0	3	27.3	3	27.3	3	27.3	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	3.80	2	3.4	8	13.6	7	11.9	25	42.4	17	28.8
Hillside East	13	3.77	1	7.7 %	3	23.1 %	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	7	53.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.04	0	0.0	6	8.7	5	7.2	38	55.1	20	29.0
Mid-Hillside	34	4.15	0	0.0	1	2.9	5	14.7	16	47.1	12	35.3
Mountain View	48	3.06	4	8.3	12	25.0	13	27.1	15	31.3	4	8.3
North Star	42	3.29	3	7.1	8	19.0	7	16.7	22	52.4	2	4.8
Northeast	160	3.45	5	3.1 %	30	18.8 %	36	22.5 %	66	41.3 %	23	14.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.71	1	3.6	3	10.7	6	21.4	11	39.3	7	25.0
Rabbit Creek	51	4.12	1	2.0	5	9.8	4	7.8	18	35.3	23	45.1
Rogers Park	23	4.17	1	4.3	1	4.3	2	8.7	8	34.8	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	83	3.40	2	2.4	18	21.7	18	21.7	35	42.2	10	12.0
Sand Lake	100	3.48	3	3.0 %	19	19.0 %	18	18.0 %	47	47.0 %	13	13.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.57	2	4.8	6	14.3	11	26.2	12	28.6	11	26.2
South Addition	46	3.98	0	0.0	3	6.5	3	6.5	32	69.6	8	17.4
South Fork	21	4.33	0	0.0	1	4.8	2	9.5	7	33.3	11	52.4
Spenard	123	3.45	4	3.3	21	17.1	27	22.0	58	47.2	13	10.6
Taku/Campbell	57	3.53	3	5.3 %	10	17.5 %	8	14.0 %	26	45.6 %	10	17.5 %
Tudor Area	9	3.89	0	0.0	1	11.1	1	11.1	5	55.6	2	22.2
Turnagain	100	3.76	2	2.0	8	8.0	23	23.0	46	46.0	21	21.0
Turnagain Arm	28	4.07	0	0.0	4	14.3	2	7.1	10	35.7	12	42.9
University Area	42	3.71	1	2.4	7	16.7	6	14.3	17	40.5	11	26.2
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.93	0	0.0 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	10	71.4 %	2	14.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 1.23. Intervention by Neighbors Against Fight Near Home

### Table 1.23a. Intervention by Neighbors Against Fight Near Home: Summary

Question 5d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their home.**



### Table 1.23b. Intervention by Neighbors Against Fight Near Home: Summary by Community Council

Question 5d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their home.**

Anchorage average: 3.77

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.76	3	29	Mountain View	48	3.27	5	9
Airport Heights	51	3.49	0	10	North Star	42	3.36	4	8
Basher	9	3.89	0	1	Northeast	160	3.75	3	39
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.05	1	14	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.75	0	6
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	2	Rabbit Creek	51	3.90	0	14
Birchwood	14	3.79	0	2	Rogers Park	23	3.91	0	7
Campbell Park	42	3.62	1	11	Russian Jack Park	82	3.60	3	16
Chugiak	33	4.03	0	10	Sand Lake	101	3.69	3	19
Downtown	38	3.66	1	8	Scenic Foothills	42	3.62	2	10
Eagle River	57	3.88	1	13	South Addition	45	3.89	1	11
Eagle River Valley	53	4.13	0	19	South Fork	21	4.24	0	9
Elmendorf	15	4.27	0	6	Spenard	123	3.59	5	21
Fairview	70	3.21	6	7	Taku/Campbell	56	3.70	1	13
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	4	Tudor Area	9	3.89	0	2
Government Hill	58	3.76	0	7	Turnagain	101	3.96	0	29
Hillside East	13	3.62	0	2	Turnagain Arm	28	4.39	0	12
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.17	0	23	University Area	42	3.86	0	12
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	0	10	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	4.00	0	4

- 20 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.23c. Intervention by Neighbors Against Fight Near Home: Detail**

Question 5d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their home.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,752<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>3.77</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2.3 %</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>15.0 %</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>10.5 %</b>	<b>847</b>	<b>48.3 %</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>23.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.76	3	2.4 %	19	15.4 %	11	8.9 %	61	49.6 %	29	23.6 %
Airport Heights	51	3.49	0	0.0	14	27.5	8	15.7	19	37.3	10	19.6
Basher	9	3.89	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0	7	77.8	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.05	1	2.4	4	9.8	1	2.4	21	51.2	14	34.1
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	3.79	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	9	64.3 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	3.62	1	2.4	8	19.0	8	19.0	14	33.3	11	26.2
Chugiak	33	4.03	0	0.0	3	9.1	3	9.1	17	51.5	10	30.3
Downtown	38	3.66	1	2.6	7	18.4	4	10.5	18	47.4	8	21.1
Eagle River	57	3.88	1	1.8	6	10.5	5	8.8	32	56.1	13	22.8
Eagle River Valley	53	4.13	0	0.0 %	4	7.5 %	4	7.5 %	26	49.1 %	19	35.8 %
Elmendorf	15	4.27	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0	8	53.3	6	40.0
Fairview	70	3.21	6	8.6	15	21.4	14	20.0	28	40.0	7	10.0
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	0.0	2	18.2	0	0.0	5	45.5	4	36.4
Government Hill	58	3.76	0	0.0	7	12.1	7	12.1	37	63.8	7	12.1
Hillside East	13	3.62	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	3	23.1 %	6	46.2 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.17	0	0.0	3	4.3	5	7.2	38	55.1	23	33.3
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	0	0.0	4	11.8	5	14.7	15	44.1	10	29.4
Mountain View	48	3.27	5	10.4	9	18.8	11	22.9	14	29.2	9	18.8
North Star	42	3.36	4	9.5	10	23.8	3	7.1	17	40.5	8	19.0
Northeast	160	3.75	3	1.9 %	25	15.6 %	20	12.5 %	73	45.6 %	39	24.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.75	0	0.0	5	17.9	3	10.7	14	50.0	6	21.4
Rabbit Creek	51	3.90	0	0.0	6	11.8	7	13.7	24	47.1	14	27.5
Rogers Park	23	3.91	0	0.0	4	17.4	1	4.3	11	47.8	7	30.4
Russian Jack Park	82	3.60	3	3.7	17	20.7	6	7.3	40	48.8	16	19.5
Sand Lake	101	3.69	3	3.0 %	15	14.9 %	11	10.9 %	53	52.5 %	19	18.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.62	2	4.8	9	21.4	2	4.8	19	45.2	10	23.8
South Addition	45	3.89	1	2.2	5	11.1	3	6.7	25	55.6	11	24.4
South Fork	21	4.24	0	0.0	2	9.5	0	0.0	10	47.6	9	42.9
Spenard	123	3.59	5	4.1	23	18.7	11	8.9	63	51.2	21	17.1
Taku/Campbell	56	3.70	1	1.8 %	11	19.6 %	5	8.9 %	26	46.4 %	13	23.2 %
Tudor Area	9	3.89	0	0.0	1	11.1	1	11.1	5	55.6	2	22.2
Turnagain	101	3.96	0	0.0	10	9.9	13	12.9	49	48.5	29	28.7
Turnagain Arm	28	4.39	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.6	15	53.6	12	42.9
University Area	42	3.86	0	0.0	8	19.0	2	4.8	20	47.6	12	28.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	4.00	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	4	26.7 %	7	46.7 %	4	26.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.

2. 20 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.




**Table 1.24. Intervention by Neighbors Against Truant and Loitering Children**

**Table 1.24a. Intervention by Neighbors Against Truant and Loitering Children: Summary**

Question 5e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Strongly disagree	100	5.6 %	5.6 %
Disagree	457	25.8	31.4
Agree	593	33.5	64.9
Strongly agree	246	13.9	78.8
No opinion	352	19.9	98.6
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,748</b>	<b>98.6 %</b>	
Missing	24	1.4	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.24b. Intervention by Neighbors Against Truant and Loitering Children: Summary by Community Council**

Question 5e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner.**

Anchorage average: 3.24

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.31	6	22	Mountain View	48	2.67	8	3
Airport Heights	51	3.04	5	5	North Star	42	2.74	7	4
Basher	9	3.44	0	0	Northeast	158	2.99	14	9
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.68	1	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.25	0	2
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	2	Rabbit Creek	51	3.55	1	11
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	3	Rogers Park	23	3.09	2	2
Campbell Park	42	3.12	4	7	Russian Jack Park	82	2.96	5	5
Chugiak	33	3.48	0	7	Sand Lake	100	3.37	3	15
Downtown	38	3.29	3	6	Scenic Foothills	42	3.02	5	5
Eagle River	56	3.27	2	8	South Addition	46	3.39	1	6
Eagle River Valley	53	3.66	1	13	South Fork	21	4.00	0	6
Elmendorf	15	3.87	0	4	Spenard	124	2.94	9	8
Fairview	70	2.80	10	5	Taku/Campbell	56	3.16	3	8
Fort Richardson	11	3.73	0	2	Tudor Area	9	3.44	0	2
Government Hill	59	3.41	1	8	Turnagain	100	3.20	5	15
Hillside East	13	3.62	0	2	Turnagain Arm	28	3.79	1	8
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.70	1	18	University Area	42	3.52	2	9
Mid-Hillside	34	3.47	0	7	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.50	0	3

1. 24 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.



**Table 1.24c. Intervention by Neighbors Against Truant and Loitering Children: Detail**

Question 5e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner.**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,748</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.24</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>5.7 %</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>26.1 %</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>20.1 %</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>33.9 %</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>14.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.31	6	4.9 %	35	28.5 %	19	15.4 %	41	33.3 %	22	17.9 %
Airport Heights	51	3.04	5	9.8	11	21.6	17	33.3	13	25.5	5	9.8
Basher	9	3.44	0	0.0	1	11.1	3	33.3	5	55.6	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.68	1	2.5	5	12.5	6	15.0	22	55.0	6	15.0
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	42	3.12	4	9.5	9	21.4	14	33.3	8	19.0	7	16.7
Chugiak	33	3.48	0	0.0	8	24.2	8	24.2	10	30.3	7	21.2
Downtown	38	3.29	3	7.9	7	18.4	10	26.3	12	31.6	6	15.8
Eagle River	56	3.27	2	3.6	17	30.4	9	16.1	20	35.7	8	14.3
Eagle River Valley	53	3.66	1	1.9 %	10	18.9 %	8	15.1 %	21	39.6 %	13	24.5 %
Elmendorf	15	3.87	0	0.0	1	6.7	4	26.7	6	40.0	4	26.7
Fairview	70	2.80	10	14.3	23	32.9	13	18.6	19	27.1	5	7.1
Fort Richardson	11	3.73	0	0.0	2	18.2	1	9.1	6	54.5	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	3.41	1	1.7	13	22.0	14	23.7	23	39.0	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	3.62	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	1	7.7 %	7	53.8 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.70	1	1.4	13	18.8	10	14.5	27	39.1	18	26.1
Mid-Hillside	34	3.47	0	0.0	10	29.4	5	14.7	12	35.3	7	20.6
Mountain View	48	2.67	8	16.7	15	31.3	13	27.1	9	18.8	3	6.3
North Star	42	2.74	7	16.7	14	33.3	8	19.0	9	21.4	4	9.5
Northeast	158	2.99	14	8.9 %	48	30.4 %	31	19.6 %	56	35.4 %	9	5.7 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.25	0	0.0	8	28.6	7	25.0	11	39.3	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	51	3.55	1	2.0	11	21.6	9	17.6	19	37.3	11	21.6
Rogers Park	23	3.09	2	8.7	7	30.4	3	13.0	9	39.1	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	82	2.96	5	6.1	27	32.9	21	25.6	24	29.3	5	6.1
Sand Lake	100	3.37	3	3.0 %	23	23.0 %	23	23.0 %	36	36.0 %	15	15.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	3.02	5	11.9	10	23.8	11	26.2	11	26.2	5	11.9
South Addition	46	3.39	1	2.2	10	21.7	11	23.9	18	39.1	6	13.0
South Fork	21	4.00	0	0.0	3	14.3	0	0.0	12	57.1	6	28.6
Spenard	124	2.94	9	7.3	44	35.5	25	20.2	38	30.6	8	6.5
Taku/Campbell	56	3.16	3	5.4 %	19	33.9 %	8	14.3 %	18	32.1 %	8	14.3 %
Tudor Area	9	3.44	0	0.0	3	33.3	1	11.1	3	33.3	2	22.2
Turnagain	100	3.20	5	5.0	27	27.0	26	26.0	27	27.0	15	15.0
Turnagain Arm	28	3.79	1	3.6	4	14.3	3	10.7	12	42.9	8	28.6
University Area	42	3.52	2	4.8	10	23.8	3	7.1	18	42.9	9	21.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.50	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	4	28.6 %	4	28.6 %	3	21.4 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater willingness to intervene in the neighborhood while numbers below 3.00 indicate less willingness to intervene. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
- 24 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 1.25. Incidence of Fights Involving Weapons in Neighborhood

**Table 1.25a. Incidence of Fights Involving Weapons in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 6a. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a fight in which a weapon was used**



**Table 1.25b. Incidence of Fights Involving Weapons in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 6a. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a fight in which a weapon was used**

Anchorage average: 0.17

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times
Abbott Loop	113	0.04	108	0	Mountain View	40	0.95	20	7
Airport Heights	45	0.40	34	4	North Star	38	0.32	31	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	137	0.31	110	7
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.11	36	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.09	21	0
Campbell Park	37	0.08	33	0	Russian Jack Park	81	0.41	61	4
Chugiak	32	0.03	31	0	Sand Lake	92	0.23	72	2
Downtown	35	0.20	30	1	Scenic Foothills	37	0.00	36	0
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	0	South Addition	37	0.03	36	0
Eagle River Valley	52	0.00	52	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	114	0.27	90	2
Fairview	65	0.52	45	6	Taku/Campbell	53	0.02	52	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	0	Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	0
Government Hill	51	0.00	50	0	Turnagain	93	0.11	83	1
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	68	0	University Area	37	0.03	34	0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.19	13	0

1. 151 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.25c. Incidence of Fights Involving Weapons in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 6a. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a fight in which a weapon was used**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			0 times		1 time		2 times		3 or more times		Don't know	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,621</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>1,431</b>	<b>88.3 %</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>6.0 %</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1.9 %</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.3 %</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	113	0.04	108	95.6 %	4	3.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.9 %
Airport Heights	45	0.40	34	75.6	6	13.3	0	0.0	4	8.9	1	2.2
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.11	36	94.7	1	2.6	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	37	0.08	33	89.2	1	2.7	1	2.7	0	0.0	2	5.4
Chugiak	32	0.03	31	96.9	1	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Downtown	35	0.20	30	85.7	4	11.4	0	0.0	1	2.9	0	0.0
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	52	0.00	52	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	65	0.52	45	69.2	8	12.3	4	6.2	6	9.2	2	3.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	51	0.00	50	98.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	68	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	40	0.95	20	50.0	9	22.5	4	10.0	7	17.5	0	0.0
North Star	38	0.32	31	81.6	4	10.5	1	2.6	2	5.3	0	0.0
Northeast	137	0.31	110	80.3 %	13	9.5 %	4	2.9 %	7	5.1 %	3	2.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	98.1	1	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	22	0.09	21	95.5	0	0.0	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	81	0.41	61	75.3	9	11.1	6	7.4	4	4.9	1	1.2
Sand Lake	92	0.23	72	78.3 %	13	14.1 %	1	1.1 %	2	2.2 %	4	4.3 %
Scenic Foothills	37	0.00	36	97.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.7
South Addition	37	0.03	36	97.3	1	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	114	0.27	90	78.9	11	9.6	7	6.1	2	1.8	4	3.5
Taku/Campbell	53	0.02	52	98.1 %	1	1.9 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	93	0.11	83	89.2	5	5.4	1	1.1	1	1.1	3	3.2
Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	37	0.03	34	91.9	1	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %

- Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.
- 151 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.26. Incidence of Violent Arguments Between Neighbors

### Table 1.26a. Incidence of Violent Arguments Between Neighbors: Summary

Question 6b. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a violent argument between neighbors**



### Table 1.25b. Incidence of Fights Involving Weapons in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 6a. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a fight in which a weapon was used**

Anchorage average: 0.17

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times
Abbott Loop	113	0.04	108	0	Mountain View	40	0.95	20	7
Airport Heights	45	0.40	34	4	North Star	38	0.32	31	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	137	0.31	110	7
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.11	36	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.09	21	0
Campbell Park	37	0.08	33	0	Russian Jack Park	81	0.41	61	4
Chugiak	32	0.03	31	0	Sand Lake	92	0.23	72	2
Downtown	35	0.20	30	1	Scenic Foothills	37	0.00	36	0
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	0	South Addition	37	0.03	36	0
Eagle River Valley	52	0.00	52	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	114	0.27	90	2
Fairview	65	0.52	45	6	Taku/Campbell	53	0.02	52	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	0	Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	0
Government Hill	51	0.00	50	0	Turnagain	93	0.11	83	1
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	68	0	University Area	37	0.03	34	0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.19	13	0

1. 151 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.26c. Incidence of Violent Arguments Between Neighbors: Detail**

Question 6b. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a violent argument between neighbors**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			0 times		1 time		2 times		3 or more times		Don't know	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,622</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>1,328</b>	<b>81.9 %</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>8.9 %</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>2.7 %</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>5.2 %</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	112	0.21	97	86.6 %	8	7.1 %	2	1.8 %	4	3.6 %	1	0.9 %
Airport Heights	46	0.35	32	69.6	11	23.9	1	2.2	1	2.2	1	2.2
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.11	35	92.1	2	5.3	1	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	38	0.32	29	76.3	4	10.5	1	2.6	2	5.3	2	5.3
Chugiak	32	0.13	30	93.8	1	3.1	0	0.0	1	3.1	0	0.0
Downtown	35	0.40	27	77.1	3	8.6	4	11.4	1	2.9	0	0.0
Eagle River	54	0.20	46	85.2	6	11.1	1	1.9	1	1.9	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	51	0.10	48	94.1 %	2	3.9 %	0	0.0 %	1	2.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	14	93.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
Fairview	65	0.74	42	64.6	6	9.2	3	4.6	12	18.5	2	3.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	10	90.9	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	50	0.22	43	86.0	3	6.0	1	2.0	2	4.0	1	2.0
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.04	66	97.1	1	1.5	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.06	30	93.8	2	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	41	1.15	17	41.5	9	22.0	4	9.8	10	24.4	1	2.4
North Star	38	0.47	28	73.7	4	10.5	1	2.6	4	10.5	1	2.6
Northeast	138	0.45	106	76.8 %	13	9.4 %	2	1.4 %	15	10.9 %	2	1.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	24	85.7	3	10.7	1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	22	0.18	20	90.9	1	4.5	0	0.0	1	4.5	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	80	0.39	61	76.3	9	11.3	2	2.5	6	7.5	2	2.5
Sand Lake	94	0.31	72	76.6 %	13	13.8 %	2	2.1 %	4	4.3 %	3	3.2 %
Scenic Foothills	36	0.22	31	86.1	3	8.3	1	2.8	1	2.8	0	0.0
South Addition	38	0.21	31	81.6	6	15.8	1	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	114	0.48	82	71.9	16	14.0	6	5.3	9	7.9	1	0.9
Taku/Campbell	53	0.23	46	86.8 %	4	7.5 %	1	1.9 %	2	3.8 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	93	0.32	73	78.5	10	10.8	4	4.3	4	4.3	2	2.2
Turnagain Arm	26	0.08	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	37	0.27	31	83.8	1	2.7	3	8.1	1	2.7	1	2.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.40	13	86.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	13.3 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.


2. 150 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.27. Incidence of Gang Violence in Neighborhood

### Table 1.27a. Incidence of Gang Violence in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 6c. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **an incident of gang violence**



### Table 1.27b. Incidence of Gang Violence in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 6c. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **an incident of gang violence**

Anchorage average: 0.12

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times
Abbott Loop	113	0.03	108	0	Mountain View	40	0.68	26	6
Airport Heights	46	0.39	37	4	North Star	38	0.21	34	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	137	0.28	115	8
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.08	36	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	0
Campbell Park	37	0.00	34	0	Russian Jack Park	81	0.36	59	4
Chugiak	32	0.00	32	0	Sand Lake	91	0.10	83	2
Downtown	35	0.14	32	1	Scenic Foothills	36	0.00	36	0
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	0	South Addition	39	0.00	39	0
Eagle River Valley	51	0.00	51	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	114	0.12	97	1
Fairview	64	0.39	47	5	Taku/Campbell	53	0.00	53	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	0
Government Hill	50	0.06	46	0	Turnagain	93	0.06	87	0
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	68	0	University Area	37	0.00	35	0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	0

1. 153 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.27c. Incidence of Gang Violence in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 6c. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **an incident of gang violence**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			0 times		1 time		2 times		3 or more times		Don't know	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,619</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>1,477</b>	<b>91.2 %</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>3.8 %</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1.0 %</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2.0 %</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	113	0.03	108	95.6 %	3	2.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	1.8 %
Airport Heights	46	0.39	37	80.4	2	4.3	2	4.3	4	8.7	1	2.2
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.08	36	94.7	1	2.6	1	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	37	0.00	34	91.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	8.1
Chugiak	32	0.00	32	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Downtown	35	0.14	32	91.4	2	5.7	0	0.0	1	2.9	0	0.0
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	51	0.00	51	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	64	0.39	47	73.4	6	9.4	2	3.1	5	7.8	4	6.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	50	0.06	46	92.0	3	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	68	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	40	0.68	26	65.0	7	17.5	1	2.5	6	15.0	0	0.0
North Star	38	0.21	34	89.5	2	5.3	0	0.0	2	5.3	0	0.0
Northeast	137	0.28	115	83.9 %	7	5.1 %	4	2.9 %	8	5.8 %	3	2.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	22	0.05	21	95.5	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	81	0.36	59	72.8	13	16.0	2	2.5	4	4.9	3	3.7
Sand Lake	91	0.10	83	91.2 %	3	3.3 %	0	0.0 %	2	2.2 %	3	3.3 %
Scenic Foothills	36	0.00	36	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Addition	39	0.00	39	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	114	0.12	97	85.1	9	7.9	1	0.9	1	0.9	6	5.3
Taku/Campbell	53	0.00	53	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	93	0.06	87	93.5	0	0.0	3	3.2	0	0.0	3	3.2
Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	37	0.00	35	94.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.


2. 153 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.28. Incidence of Sexual Assaults or Rapes in Neighborhood

**Table 1.28a. Incidence of Sexual Assaults or Rapes in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 6d. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a sexual assault or rape**



**Table 1.28b. Incidence of Sexual Assaults or Rapes in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 6d. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a sexual assault or rape**

Anchorage average: 0.07

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times
Abbott Loop	112	0.03	109	0	Mountain View	40	0.28	30	1
Airport Heights	45	0.11	40	0	North Star	37	0.16	32	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	138	0.08	124	2
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.00	38	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	22	0.00	22	0
Campbell Park	37	0.08	31	0	Russian Jack Park	77	0.05	68	0
Chugiak	32	0.03	31	0	Sand Lake	93	0.09	85	2
Downtown	35	0.11	30	0	Scenic Foothills	36	0.00	36	0
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	0	South Addition	38	0.16	32	0
Eagle River Valley	51	0.00	51	0	South Fork	21	0.00	20	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	114	0.10	102	2
Fairview	64	0.41	48	6	Taku/Campbell	53	0.08	51	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	0	Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	0
Government Hill	52	0.06	48	0	Turnagain	92	0.01	85	0
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	67	0	University Area	37	0.03	32	0
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	0

1. 157 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.28c. Incidence of Sexual Assaults or Rapes in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 6d. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a sexual assault or rape**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			0 times		1 time		2 times		3 or more times		Don't know	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,615</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>1,490</b>	<b>92.3 %</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>2.7 %</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.7 %</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.9 %</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	112	0.03	109	97.3 %	1	0.9 %	1	0.9 %	0	0.0 %	1	0.9 %
Airport Heights	45	0.11	40	88.9	1	2.2	2	4.4	0	0.0	2	4.4
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.00	38	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	37	0.08	31	83.8	1	2.7	1	2.7	0	0.0	4	10.8
Chugiak	32	0.03	31	96.9	1	3.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Downtown	35	0.11	30	85.7	2	5.7	1	2.9	0	0.0	2	5.7
Eagle River	54	0.00	54	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	51	0.00	51	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	64	0.41	48	75.0	4	6.3	2	3.1	6	9.4	4	6.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	52	0.06	48	92.3	3	5.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.00	67	98.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	32	0.00	32	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	40	0.28	30	75.0	4	10.0	2	5.0	1	2.5	3	7.5
North Star	37	0.16	32	86.5	1	2.7	1	2.7	1	2.7	2	5.4
Northeast	138	0.08	124	89.9 %	3	2.2 %	1	0.7 %	2	1.4 %	8	5.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	22	0.00	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	77	0.05	68	88.3	4	5.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	6.5
Sand Lake	93	0.09	85	91.4 %	2	2.2 %	0	0.0 %	2	2.2 %	4	4.3 %
Scenic Foothills	36	0.00	36	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Addition	38	0.16	32	84.2	4	10.5	1	2.6	0	0.0	1	2.6
South Fork	21	0.00	20	95.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
Spenard	114	0.10	102	89.5	5	4.4	0	0.0	2	1.8	5	4.4
Taku/Campbell	53	0.08	51	96.2 %	1	1.9 %	0	0.0 %	1	1.9 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	92	0.01	85	92.4	1	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	6.5
Turnagain Arm	26	0.00	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	37	0.03	32	86.5	1	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	10.8
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.


2. 157 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.29. Incidence of Robberies, Burglaries, or Muggings in Neighborhood

**Table 1.29a. Incidence of Robberies, Burglaries, or Muggings in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 6e. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a robbery, burglary, or mugging**



**Table 1.29b. Incidence of Robberies, Burglaries, or Muggings in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 6e. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a robbery, burglary, or mugging**

Anchorage average: 0.45

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0 times	3 or more times
Abbott Loop	114	0.42	81	6	Mountain View	40	0.60	27	6
Airport Heights	45	0.96	21	6	North Star	36	0.61	22	2
Basher	9	0.11	7	0	Northeast	139	0.51	91	12
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.18	32	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.32	20	1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	0
Birchwood	14	0.71	9	2	Rogers Park	22	1.09	7	2
Campbell Park	36	0.36	26	2	Russian Jack Park	79	0.47	51	4
Chugiak	32	0.25	28	2	Sand Lake	92	0.47	62	5
Downtown	35	0.60	23	4	Scenic Foothills	37	0.38	26	1
Eagle River	54	0.41	39	2	South Addition	39	0.56	23	2
Eagle River Valley	51	0.27	41	1	South Fork	20	0.10	16	0
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	0	Spenard	115	0.52	69	5
Fairview	63	0.71	33	7	Taku/Campbell	54	0.31	41	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	10	0	Tudor Area	9	0.78	4	1
Government Hill	53	0.23	45	2	Turnagain	92	0.50	65	10
Hillside East	13	0.31	10	0	Turnagain Arm	25	0.36	20	1
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.34	53	4	University Area	37	0.51	22	3
Mid-Hillside	32	0.53	21	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.38	12	1

1. 153 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.29c. Incidence of Robberies, Burglaries, or Muggings in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 6e. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood during the past 6 months? (If this has not happened, please write "0") — **a robbery, burglary, or mugging**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			0 times		1 time		2 times		3 or more times		Don't know	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,619</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>1,118</b>	<b>69.1 %</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>16.7 %</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>5.2 %</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>6.1 %</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>3.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	114	0.42	81	71.1 %	20	17.5 %	5	4.4 %	6	5.3 %	2	1.8 %
Airport Heights	45	0.96	21	46.7	11	24.4	7	15.6	6	13.3	0	0.0
Basher	9	0.11	7	77.8	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	38	0.18	32	84.2	5	13.2	1	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.71	9	64.3 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	36	0.36	26	72.2	5	13.9	1	2.8	2	5.6	2	5.6
Chugiak	32	0.25	28	87.5	0	0.0	1	3.1	2	6.3	1	3.1
Downtown	35	0.60	23	65.7	5	14.3	2	5.7	4	11.4	1	2.9
Eagle River	54	0.41	39	72.2	10	18.5	3	5.6	2	3.7	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	51	0.27	41	80.4 %	7	13.7 %	2	3.9 %	1	2.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	63	0.71	33	52.4	16	25.4	4	6.3	7	11.1	3	4.8
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	10	90.9	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	53	0.23	45	84.9	6	11.3	0	0.0	2	3.8	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.31	10	76.9 %	2	15.4 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.34	53	77.9	7	10.3	2	2.9	4	5.9	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	32	0.53	21	65.6	8	25.0	0	0.0	3	9.4	0	0.0
Mountain View	40	0.60	27	67.5	4	10.0	1	2.5	6	15.0	2	5.0
North Star	36	0.61	22	61.1	6	16.7	5	13.9	2	5.6	1	2.8
Northeast	139	0.51	91	65.5 %	21	15.1 %	7	5.0 %	12	8.6 %	8	5.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.32	20	71.4	6	21.4	0	0.0	1	3.6	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	84.6	6	11.5	1	1.9	0	0.0	1	1.9
Rogers Park	22	1.09	7	31.8	8	36.4	5	22.7	2	9.1	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	79	0.47	51	64.6	19	24.1	3	3.8	4	5.1	2	2.5
Sand Lake	92	0.47	62	67.4 %	16	17.4 %	6	6.5 %	5	5.4 %	3	3.3 %
Scenic Foothills	37	0.38	26	70.3	7	18.9	2	5.4	1	2.7	1	2.7
South Addition	39	0.56	23	59.0	10	25.6	3	7.7	2	5.1	1	2.6
South Fork	20	0.10	16	80.0	2	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
Spenard	115	0.52	69	60.0	25	21.7	10	8.7	5	4.3	6	5.2
Taku/Campbell	54	0.31	41	75.9 %	8	14.8 %	3	5.6 %	1	1.9 %	1	1.9 %
Tudor Area	9	0.78	4	44.4	4	44.4	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0
Turnagain	92	0.50	65	70.7	10	10.9	3	3.3	10	10.9	4	4.3
Turnagain Arm	25	0.36	20	80.0	2	8.0	2	8.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
University Area	37	0.51	22	59.5	8	21.6	1	2.7	3	8.1	3	8.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.38	12	75.0 %	1	6.3 %	1	6.3 %	1	6.3 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of incidents reported. Higher numbers reflect a greater number of incidents.


2. 153 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

# Table 1.30. Abandoned Cars or Buildings in Neighborhood

## Table 1.30a. Abandoned Cars or Buildings in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 7a. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Abandoned cars and/or buildings**



## Table 1.30b. Abandoned Cars or Buildings in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 7a. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Abandoned cars and/or buildings**

Anchorage average: 0.24

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.19	101	23	Mountain View	48	0.46	26	22
Airport Heights	51	0.31	35	16	North Star	43	0.26	32	11
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.38	99	61
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	5
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	5
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	0.22	18	5
Campbell Park	42	0.24	32	10	Russian Jack Park	83	0.35	54	29
Chugiak	34	0.32	23	11	Sand Lake	103	0.15	88	15
Downtown	38	0.34	25	13	Scenic Foothills	42	0.19	34	8
Eagle River	58	0.09	53	5	South Addition	47	0.06	44	3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.13	48	7	South Fork	21	0.10	19	2
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.27	91	34
Fairview	70	0.51	34	36	Taku/Campbell	58	0.14	50	8
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	59	0.29	42	17	Turnagain	101	0.22	79	22
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.64	10	18
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	4	University Area	43	0.21	34	9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.56	7	9

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.30c. Abandoned Cars or Buildings in Neighborhood:  
Detail**

Question 7a. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Abandoned cars and/or buildings**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>1,347</b>	<b>76.0 %</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>24.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.19	101	81.5 %	23	18.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.31	35	68.6	16	31.4
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	90.2	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	0.24	32	76.2	10	23.8
Chugiak	34	0.32	23	67.6	11	32.4
Downtown	38	0.34	25	65.8	13	34.2
Eagle River	58	0.09	53	91.4	5	8.6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.13	48	87.3 %	7	12.7 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.51	34	48.6	36	51.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.29	42	71.2	17	28.8
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	94.2	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.46	26	54.2	22	45.8
North Star	43	0.26	32	74.4	11	25.6
Northeast	160	0.38	99	61.9 %	61	38.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	90.4	5	9.6
Rogers Park	23	0.22	18	78.3	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.35	54	65.1	29	34.9
Sand Lake	103	0.15	88	85.4 %	15	14.6 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.19	34	81.0	8	19.0
South Addition	47	0.06	44	93.6	3	6.4
South Fork	21	0.10	19	90.5	2	9.5
Spenard	125	0.27	91	72.8	34	27.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.14	50	86.2 %	8	13.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	101	0.22	79	78.2	22	21.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.64	10	35.7	18	64.3
University Area	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.56	7	43.8 %	9	56.3 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.31. Rundown or Neglected Buildings in Neighborhood

**Table 1.31a. Rundown or Neglected Buildings in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7b. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Rundown or neglected buildings**



**Table 1.31b. Rundown or Neglected Buildings in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7b. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Rundown or neglected buildings**

**Anchorage average: 0.20**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.18	102	22	Mountain View	48	0.46	26	22
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	11	North Star	43	0.23	33	10
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.33	107	53
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.11	25	3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	2
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	3	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	83	0.35	54	29
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.11	92	11
Downtown	38	0.24	29	9	Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	4
Eagle River	58	0.17	48	10	South Addition	47	0.09	43	4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	3	South Fork	21	0.10	19	2
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.25	94	31
Fairview	70	0.33	47	23	Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	10
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.37	37	22	Turnagain	101	0.16	85	16
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	2	Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	13
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	2	University Area	43	0.14	37	6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	4

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.31c. Rundown or Neglected Buildings in Neighborhood:  
Detail**

Question 7b. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Rundown or neglected buildings**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>1,422</b>	<b>80.2 %</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>19.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.18	102	82.3 %	22	17.7 %
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	78.4	11	21.6
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	85.4	6	14.6
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	78.6 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.24	29	76.3	9	23.7
Eagle River	58	0.17	48	82.8	10	17.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	94.5 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.33	47	67.1	23	32.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.37	37	62.7	22	37.3
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	0.46	26	54.2	22	45.8
North Star	43	0.23	33	76.7	10	23.3
Northeast	160	0.33	107	66.9 %	53	33.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.11	25	89.3	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.35	54	65.1	29	34.9
Sand Lake	103	0.11	92	89.3 %	11	10.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
South Addition	47	0.09	43	91.5	4	8.5
South Fork	21	0.10	19	90.5	2	9.5
Spenard	125	0.25	94	75.2	31	24.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	82.8 %	10	17.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.16	85	84.2	16	15.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4
University Area	43	0.14	37	86.0	6	14.0
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	75.0 %	4	25.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.32. Poor Lighting in Neighborhood

### Table 1.32a. Poor Lighting in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 7c. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Poor lighting**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,300	73.4 %	73.4 %
Yes	472	26.6	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.32b. Poor Lighting in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 7c. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Poor lighting**

Anchorage average: 0.27

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.24	94	30	Mountain View	48	0.27	35	13
Airport Heights	51	0.20	41	10	North Star	43	0.35	28	15
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.33	108	52
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.25	21	7
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.33	35	17
Birchwood	14	0.57	6	8	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.36	27	15	Russian Jack Park	83	0.35	54	29
Chugiak	34	0.41	20	14	Sand Lake	103	0.28	74	29
Downtown	38	0.21	30	8	Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	6
Eagle River	58	0.28	42	16	South Addition	47	0.17	39	8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.24	42	13	South Fork	21	0.29	15	6
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	3	Spenard	125	0.32	85	40
Fairview	70	0.34	46	24	Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	11
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.24	45	14	Turnagain	101	0.20	81	20
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	5	Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	13
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	17	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.29	24	10	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	4

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.32c. Poor Lighting in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7c. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Poor lighting**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>1,300</b>	<b>73.4 %</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>26.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.24	94	75.8 %	30	24.2 %
Airport Heights	51	0.20	41	80.4	10	19.6
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	85.4	6	14.6
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.57	6	42.9 %	8	57.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.36	27	64.3	15	35.7
Chugiak	34	0.41	20	58.8	14	41.2
Downtown	38	0.21	30	78.9	8	21.1
Eagle River	58	0.28	42	72.4	16	27.6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.24	42	76.4 %	13	23.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	70	0.34	46	65.7	24	34.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.24	45	76.3	14	23.7
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	61.5 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	75.4	17	24.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.29	24	70.6	10	29.4
Mountain View	48	0.27	35	72.9	13	27.1
North Star	43	0.35	28	65.1	15	34.9
Northeast	160	0.33	108	67.5 %	52	32.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.33	35	67.3	17	32.7
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.35	54	65.1	29	34.9
Sand Lake	103	0.28	74	71.8 %	29	28.2 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	85.7	6	14.3
South Addition	47	0.17	39	83.0	8	17.0
South Fork	21	0.29	15	71.4	6	28.6
Spenard	125	0.32	85	68.0	40	32.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	81.0 %	11	19.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.20	81	80.2	20	19.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	75.0 %	4	25.0 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.33. Overgrown Shrubs or Trees in Neighborhood

**Table 1.33a. Overgrown Shrubs or Trees in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7d. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Overgrown shrubs or trees**



**Table 1.33b. Overgrown Shrubs or Trees in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7d. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Overgrown shrubs or trees**

**Anchorage average: 0.22**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.14	107	17	Mountain View	48	0.29	34	14
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	11	North Star	43	0.26	32	11
Basher	9	0.33	6	3	Northeast	160	0.31	111	49
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.21	41	11
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	6
Campbell Park	42	0.19	34	8	Russian Jack Park	83	0.20	66	17
Chugiak	34	0.35	22	12	Sand Lake	103	0.20	82	21
Downtown	38	0.26	28	10	Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	6
Eagle River	58	0.12	51	7	South Addition	47	0.21	37	10
Eagle River Valley	55	0.25	41	14	South Fork	21	0.14	18	3
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.28	90	35
Fairview	70	0.19	57	13	Taku/Campbell	58	0.14	50	8
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	59	0.19	48	11	Turnagain	101	0.19	82	19
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	5	Turnagain Arm	28	0.64	10	18
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.16	58	11	University Area	43	0.12	38	5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.24	26	8	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.33c. Overgrown Shrubs or Trees in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7d. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Overgrown shrubs or trees**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>78.4 %</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>21.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.14	107	86.3 %	17	13.7 %
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	78.4	11	21.6
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	85.4	6	14.6
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	0.19	34	81.0	8	19.0
Chugiak	34	0.35	22	64.7	12	35.3
Downtown	38	0.26	28	73.7	10	26.3
Eagle River	58	0.12	51	87.9	7	12.1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.25	41	74.5 %	14	25.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.19	57	81.4	13	18.6
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.19	48	81.4	11	18.6
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	61.5 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.16	58	84.1	11	15.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.24	26	76.5	8	23.5
Mountain View	48	0.29	34	70.8	14	29.2
North Star	43	0.26	32	74.4	11	25.6
Northeast	160	0.31	111	69.4 %	49	30.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.21	41	78.8	11	21.2
Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	73.9	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	83	0.20	66	79.5	17	20.5
Sand Lake	103	0.20	82	79.6 %	21	20.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	85.7	6	14.3
South Addition	47	0.21	37	78.7	10	21.3
South Fork	21	0.14	18	85.7	3	14.3
Spenard	125	0.28	90	72.0	35	28.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.14	50	86.2 %	8	13.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	101	0.19	82	81.2	19	18.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.64	10	35.7	18	64.3
University Area	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.34. Trash in Streets in Neighborhood

**Table 1.34a. Trash in Streets in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7e. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Trash in the streets**



**Table 1.34b. Trash in Streets in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7e. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Trash in the streets**

**Anchorage average: 0.18**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.16	104	20	Mountain View	48	0.44	27	21
Airport Heights	51	0.14	44	7	North Star	43	0.21	34	9
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.34	106	54
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	5
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.24	32	10	Russian Jack Park	83	0.28	60	23
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	4	Sand Lake	103	0.13	90	13
Downtown	38	0.21	30	8	Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	7
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	6	South Addition	47	0.15	40	7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	1	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	125	0.25	94	31
Fairview	70	0.31	48	22	Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	10
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	8	Turnagain	101	0.18	83	18
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	3	University Area	43	0.19	35	8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.34c. Trash in Streets in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7e. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Trash in the streets**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>1,455</b>	<b>82.1 %</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>17.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.16	104	83.9 %	20	16.1 %
Airport Heights	51	0.14	44	86.3	7	13.7
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.24	32	76.2	10	23.8
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Downtown	38	0.21	30	78.9	8	21.1
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	89.7	6	10.3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.31	48	68.6	22	31.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	86.4	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	95.7	3	4.3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	0.44	27	56.3	21	43.8
North Star	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Northeast	160	0.34	106	66.3 %	54	33.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.28	60	72.3	23	27.7
Sand Lake	103	0.13	90	87.4 %	13	12.6 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
South Addition	47	0.15	40	85.1	7	14.9
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.25	94	75.2	31	24.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	82.8 %	10	17.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.18	83	82.2	18	17.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
University Area	43	0.19	35	81.4	8	18.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.35. Empty Lots in Neighborhood

**Table 1.35a. Empty Lots in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7f. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Empty lots**



**Table 1.35b. Empty Lots in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7f. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Empty lots**

**Anchorage average: 0.11**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.08	114	10	Mountain View	48	0.29	34	14
Airport Heights	51	0.12	45	6	North Star	43	0.09	39	4
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.12	141	19
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	5
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	8
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	0
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	7	Russian Jack Park	83	0.10	75	8
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	6	Sand Lake	103	0.09	94	9
Downtown	38	0.21	30	8	Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	1
Eagle River	58	0.07	54	4	South Addition	47	0.17	39	8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.24	16	5
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.11	111	14
Fairview	70	0.17	58	12	Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	9	Turnagain	101	0.08	93	8
Hillside East	13	0.31	9	4	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	1	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	5	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.35c. Empty Lots in Neighborhood: Detail**

*Question 7f. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Empty lots***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>1,576</b>	<b>88.9 %</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>11.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.08	114	91.9 %	10	8.1 %
Airport Heights	51	0.12	45	88.2	6	11.8
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Downtown	38	0.21	30	78.9	8	21.1
Eagle River	58	0.07	54	93.1	4	6.9
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.17	58	82.9	12	17.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	84.7	9	15.3
Hillside East	13	0.31	9	69.2 %	4	30.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	98.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Mountain View	48	0.29	34	70.8	14	29.2
North Star	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Northeast	160	0.12	141	88.1 %	19	11.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	84.6	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	100.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.10	75	90.4	8	9.6
Sand Lake	103	0.09	94	91.3 %	9	8.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
South Addition	47	0.17	39	83.0	8	17.0
South Fork	21	0.24	16	76.2	5	23.8
Spenard	125	0.11	111	88.8	14	11.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.08	93	92.1	8	7.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.36. Public Drinking or Drug Use in Neighborhood

**Table 1.36a. Public Drinking or Drug Use in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7g. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Public drinking/public drug use**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,491	84.1 %	84.1 %
Yes	281	15.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.36b. Public Drinking or Drug Use in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7g. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Public drinking/public drug use**

**Anchorage average: 0.16**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	8	Mountain View	48	0.42	28	20
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	11	North Star	43	0.35	28	15
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.21	126	34
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	5
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.29	30	12	Russian Jack Park	83	0.19	67	16
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	4	Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	6
Downtown	38	0.37	24	14	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	1	South Addition	47	0.36	30	17
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	1	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	125	0.22	98	27
Fairview	70	0.43	40	30	Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	7	Turnagain	101	0.10	91	10
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	21	7
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	1	University Area	43	0.19	35	8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	4

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.36c. Public Drinking or Drug Use in Neighborhood:  
Detail**

Question 7g. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Public drinking/public drug use**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>1,491</b>	<b>84.1 %</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>15.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	93.5 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	78.4	11	21.6
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	100.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.29	30	71.4	12	28.6
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Downtown	38	0.37	24	63.2	14	36.8
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	98.3	1	1.7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.43	40	57.1	30	42.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	88.1	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	98.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	0.42	28	58.3	20	41.7
North Star	43	0.35	28	65.1	15	34.9
Northeast	160	0.21	126	78.8 %	34	21.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	90.4	5	9.6
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.19	67	80.7	16	19.3
Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	94.2 %	6	5.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.36	30	63.8	17	36.2
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.22	98	78.4	27	21.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	89.7 %	6	10.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.10	91	90.1	10	9.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
University Area	43	0.19	35	81.4	8	18.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	75.0 %	4	25.0 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.37. Public Drug Sale in Neighborhood

**Table 1.37a. Public Drug Sales in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7h. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Public drug sales**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,587	89.6 %	89.6 %
Yes	185	10.4	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.37b. Public Drug Sales in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7h. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Public drug sales**

**Anchorage average: 0.10**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.02	121	3	Mountain View	48	0.29	34	14
Airport Heights	51	0.14	44	7	North Star	43	0.35	28	15
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.14	138	22
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	2
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	18
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	10
Downtown	38	0.21	30	8	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	0	South Addition	47	0.02	46	1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	0	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.13	109	16
Fairview	70	0.39	43	27	Taku/Campbell	58	0.05	55	3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	101	0.05	96	5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	1	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	3	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.37c. Public Drug Sales in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7h. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Public drug sales**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>1,587</b>	<b>89.6 %</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>10.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.02	121	97.6 %	3	2.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.14	44	86.3	7	13.7
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.21	30	78.9	8	21.1
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.39	43	61.4	27	38.6
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	98.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Mountain View	48	0.29	34	70.8	14	29.2
North Star	43	0.35	28	65.1	15	34.9
Northeast	160	0.14	138	86.3 %	22	13.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	78.3	18	21.7
Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	90.3 %	10	9.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.02	46	97.9	1	2.1
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.13	109	87.2	16	12.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.05	55	94.8 %	3	5.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.05	96	95.0	5	5.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.38. Vandalism or Graffiti in Neighborhood

### Table 1.38a. Vandalism or Graffiti in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 7i. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Vandalism or graffiti**



### Table 1.38b. Vandalism or Graffiti in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 7i. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Vandalism or graffiti**

Anchorage average: 0.15

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.12	109	15	Mountain View	48	0.40	29	19
Airport Heights	51	0.24	39	12	North Star	43	0.16	36	7
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.27	117	43
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	4
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.12	37	5	Russian Jack Park	83	0.23	64	19
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	10
Downtown	38	0.08	35	3	Scenic Foothills	42	0.12	37	5
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	2	South Addition	47	0.26	35	12
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	3	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.18	102	23
Fairview	70	0.24	53	17	Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.20	47	12	Turnagain	101	0.15	86	15
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	2	University Area	43	0.12	38	5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.38c. Vandalism or Graffiti in Neighborhood: Detail**

*Question 7i. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Vandalism or graffiti***

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>1,513</b>	<b>85.4 %</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>14.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.12	109	87.9 %	15	12.1 %
Airport Heights	51	0.24	39	76.5	12	23.5
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.08	35	92.1	3	7.9
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	96.6	2	3.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	94.5 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.24	53	75.7	17	24.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.20	47	79.7	12	20.3
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	0.40	29	60.4	19	39.6
North Star	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Northeast	160	0.27	117	73.1 %	43	26.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.23	64	77.1	19	22.9
Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	90.3 %	10	9.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
South Addition	47	0.26	35	74.5	12	25.5
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.18	102	81.6	23	18.4
Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	89.7 %	6	10.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.15	86	85.1	15	14.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.39. Prostitution in Neighborhood

**Table 1.39a. Prostitution in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7j. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Prostitution**



**Table 1.39b. Prostitution in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7j. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Prostitution**

**Anchorage average: 0.07**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.00	124	0	Mountain View	48	0.38	30	18
Airport Heights	51	0.02	50	1	North Star	43	0.30	30	13
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.09	145	15
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	1
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	5
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	0	Sand Lake	103	0.04	99	4
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	1	South Addition	47	0.00	47	0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	125	0.15	106	19
Fairview	70	0.24	53	17	Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	3	Turnagain	101	0.05	96	5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	1	University Area	43	0.00	43	0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.39c. Prostitution in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7j. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Prostitution**

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>1,649</b>	<b>93.1 %</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>6.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.00	124	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.02	50	98.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	98.3	1	1.7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	70	0.24	53	75.7	17	24.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	94.9	3	5.1
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	98.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	0.38	30	62.5	18	37.5
North Star	43	0.30	30	69.8	13	30.2
Northeast	160	0.09	145	90.6 %	15	9.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	98.1	1	1.9
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	94.0	5	6.0
Sand Lake	103	0.04	99	96.1 %	4	3.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.00	47	100.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.15	106	84.8	19	15.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	98.3 %	1	1.7 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.05	96	95.0	5	5.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.00	43	100.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.40. Panhandling in Neighborhood

### Table 1.40a. Panhandling in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 7k. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Panhandling/begging**



### Table 1.40b. Panhandling in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 7k. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Panhandling/begging**

**Anchorage average: 0.11**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.04	119	5	Mountain View	48	0.33	32	16
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	3	North Star	43	0.21	34	9
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.18	132	28
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.14	36	6	Russian Jack Park	83	0.16	70	13
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	103	0.02	101	2
Downtown	38	0.24	29	9	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	2	South Addition	47	0.30	33	14
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.18	103	22
Fairview	70	0.46	38	32	Taku/Campbell	58	0.16	49	9
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	1	Turnagain	101	0.07	94	7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	2	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.40c. Panhandling in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7k. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Panhandling/begging**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>1,575</b>	<b>88.9 %</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>11.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.04	119	96.0 %	5	4.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.14	36	85.7	6	14.3
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.24	29	76.3	9	23.7
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	96.6	2	3.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.46	38	54.3	32	45.7
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	98.3	1	1.7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.33	32	66.7	16	33.3
North Star	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Northeast	160	0.18	132	82.5 %	28	17.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.16	70	84.3	13	15.7
Sand Lake	103	0.02	101	98.1 %	2	1.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.30	33	70.2	14	29.8
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.18	103	82.4	22	17.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.16	49	84.5 %	9	15.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.07	94	93.1	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.41. Loitering in Neighborhood

### Table 1.41a. Loitering in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 7I. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Loitering**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,492	84.2 %	84.2 %
Yes	280	15.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.41b. Loitering in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 7I. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Loitering**

**Anchorage average: 0.16**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.13	108	16	Mountain View	48	0.38	30	18
Airport Heights	51	0.14	44	7	North Star	43	0.30	30	13
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.22	125	35
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	3
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.24	32	10	Russian Jack Park	83	0.20	66	17
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	4	Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	6
Downtown	38	0.24	29	9	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	1	South Addition	47	0.30	33	14
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	125	0.23	96	29
Fairview	70	0.43	40	30	Taku/Campbell	58	0.14	50	8
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	7	Turnagain	101	0.11	90	11
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.29	20	8
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	2	University Area	43	0.16	36	7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.31	11	5

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.41c. Loitering in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7I. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Loitering**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>1,492</b>	<b>84.2 %</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>15.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.13	108	87.1 %	16	12.9 %
Airport Heights	51	0.14	44	86.3	7	13.7
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.24	32	76.2	10	23.8
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Downtown	38	0.24	29	76.3	9	23.7
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	98.3	1	1.7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.43	40	57.1	30	42.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	88.1	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.38	30	62.5	18	37.5
North Star	43	0.30	30	69.8	13	30.2
Northeast	160	0.22	125	78.1 %	35	21.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.20	66	79.5	17	20.5
Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	94.2 %	6	5.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.30	33	70.2	14	29.8
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.23	96	76.8	29	23.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.14	50	86.2 %	8	13.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.11	90	89.1	11	10.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.29	20	71.4	8	28.6
University Area	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.31	11	68.8 %	5	31.3 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.42. Truancy in Neighborhood

### Table 1.42a. Truancy in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 7m. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Truancy/youth skipping school**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,607	90.7 %	90.7 %
Yes	165	9.3	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	

Response	Percentage of respondents
Not reported	90.7
Yes	9.3

### Table 1.42b. Truancy in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 7m. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Truancy/youth skipping school**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	7	Mountain View	48	0.19	39	9
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	4	North Star	43	0.14	37	6
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.15	136	24
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	1
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	18
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	10
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	6
Eagle River	58	0.05	55	3	South Addition	47	0.02	46	1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.14	108	17
Fairview	70	0.17	58	12	Taku/Campbell	58	0.05	55	3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	101	0.10	91	10
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	4	University Area	43	0.07	40	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	3	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.42c. Truancy in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7m. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Truancy/youth skipping school**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,607</b>	<b>90.7 %</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>9.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	94.4 %	7	5.6 %
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.05	55	94.8	3	5.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.17	58	82.9	12	17.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	94.2	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Mountain View	48	0.19	39	81.3	9	18.8
North Star	43	0.14	37	86.0	6	14.0
Northeast	160	0.15	136	85.0 %	24	15.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.02	51	98.1	1	1.9
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	78.3	18	21.7
Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	90.3 %	10	9.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	85.7	6	14.3
South Addition	47	0.02	46	97.9	1	2.1
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.14	108	86.4	17	13.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.05	55	94.8 %	3	5.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.10	91	90.1	10	9.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.43. Transients or Homelessness in Neighborhood

**Table 1.43a. Transients or Homelessness in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 7n. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Transients/homeless sleeping on streets**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,591	89.8 %	89.8 %
Yes	181	10.2	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.43b. Transients or Homelessness in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 7n. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Transients/homeless sleeping on streets**

**Anchorage average: 0.10**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.03	120	4	Mountain View	48	0.29	34	14
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	4	North Star	43	0.26	32	11
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.13	140	20
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.21	33	9	Russian Jack Park	83	0.13	72	11
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	0	Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	3
Downtown	38	0.29	27	11	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	0	South Addition	47	0.34	31	16
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.13	109	16
Fairview	70	0.43	40	30	Taku/Campbell	58	0.09	53	5
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.06	95	6
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.00	69	0	University Area	43	0.07	40	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.43c. Transients or Homelessness in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 7n. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood? Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood. **Transients/homeless sleeping on streets**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>1,591</b>	<b>89.8 %</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>10.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.03	120	96.8 %	4	3.2 %
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.21	33	78.6	9	21.4
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.29	27	71.1	11	28.9
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.43	40	57.1	30	42.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.00	69	100.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.29	34	70.8	14	29.2
North Star	43	0.26	32	74.4	11	25.6
Northeast	160	0.13	140	87.5 %	20	12.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.00	52	100.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.13	72	86.7	11	13.3
Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	97.1 %	3	2.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.34	31	66.0	16	34.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.13	109	87.2	16	12.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.09	53	91.4 %	5	8.6 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.06	95	94.1	6	5.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind neighborhood disorder. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.44. Fear of Victimization by Burglary

**Table 1.44a. Fear of Victimization by Burglary: Summary**

Question 8a. To what extent are you fearful that you or members of your household will be the victim of burglary (while you or your loved ones are at home)?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %	
Not at all	673	38.0 %	38.0 %	Not at all 38.0
A little	769	43.4	81.4	A little 43.4
Moderately	239	13.5	94.9	Moderately 13.5
A lot	74	4.2	99.0	A lot 4.2
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,755</b>	<b>99.0 %</b>		
Missing	17	1.0	100.0 %	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>		

Percentage of respondents  
(1.0% missing)

**Table 1.44b. Fear of Victimization by Burglary: Summary by Community Council**

Question 8a. To what extent are you fearful that you or members of your household will be the victim of burglary (while you or your loved ones are at home)?

**Anchorage average: 0.84**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot
Abbott Loop	124	0.87	42	5	Mountain View	48	0.98	12	2
Airport Heights	51	0.88	21	3	North Star	43	0.95	14	4
Basher	9	0.78	4	0	Northeast	159	0.99	48	12
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.71	16	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.46	15	0
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.60	26	0
Birchwood	14	0.93	6	1	Rogers Park	22	1.09	7	2
Campbell Park	42	0.83	14	0	Russian Jack Park	83	1.07	28	11
Chugiak	34	0.71	16	0	Sand Lake	102	0.90	37	3
Downtown	37	0.78	17	3	Scenic Foothills	41	0.76	16	0
Eagle River	56	0.57	29	1	South Addition	46	0.91	17	2
Eagle River Valley	54	0.65	27	3	South Fork	21	0.57	12	0
Elmendorf	15	0.33	10	0	Spenard	125	1.07	33	11
Fairview	69	0.91	25	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.88	22	3
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	7	0	Tudor Area	9	0.56	4	0
Government Hill	59	0.64	30	0	Turnagain	98	0.85	34	3
Hillside East	12	0.92	6	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.60	34	0	University Area	43	0.93	12	0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.71	13	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.07	3	0

1. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 1.44c. Fear of Victimization by Burglary: Detail**

Question 8a. To what extent are you fearful that you or members of your household will be the victim of burglary (while you or your loved ones are at home)?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,755</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>673</b>	<b>38.3 %</b>	<b>769</b>	<b>43.8 %</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>13.6 %</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>4.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	124	0.87	42	33.9 %	61	49.2 %	16	12.9 %	5	4.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.88	21	41.2	18	35.3	9	17.6	3	5.9
Basher	9	0.78	4	44.4	3	33.3	2	22.2	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.71	16	39.0	22	53.7	2	4.9	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.93	6	42.9 %	4	28.6 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.83	14	33.3	21	50.0	7	16.7	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	0.71	16	47.1	12	35.3	6	17.6	0	0.0
Downtown	37	0.78	17	45.9	14	37.8	3	8.1	3	8.1
Eagle River	56	0.57	29	51.8	23	41.1	3	5.4	1	1.8
Eagle River Valley	54	0.65	27	50.0 %	22	40.7 %	2	3.7 %	3	5.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.33	10	66.7	5	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.91	25	36.2	28	40.6	13	18.8	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	7	63.6	3	27.3	1	9.1	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.64	30	50.8	20	33.9	9	15.3	0	0.0
Hillside East	12	0.92	6	50.0 %	2	16.7 %	3	25.0 %	1	8.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.60	34	50.0	27	39.7	7	10.3	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.71	13	38.2	18	52.9	3	8.8	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.98	12	25.0	27	56.3	7	14.6	2	4.2
North Star	43	0.95	14	32.6	21	48.8	4	9.3	4	9.3
Northeast	159	0.99	48	30.2 %	76	47.8 %	23	14.5 %	12	7.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.60	26	50.0	21	40.4	5	9.6	0	0.0
Rogers Park	22	1.09	7	31.8	8	36.4	5	22.7	2	9.1
Russian Jack Park	83	1.07	28	33.7	32	38.6	12	14.5	11	13.3
Sand Lake	102	0.90	37	36.3 %	41	40.2 %	21	20.6 %	3	2.9 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.76	16	39.0	19	46.3	6	14.6	0	0.0
South Addition	46	0.91	17	37.0	18	39.1	9	19.6	2	4.3
South Fork	21	0.57	12	57.1	6	28.6	3	14.3	0	0.0
Spenard	125	1.07	33	26.4	61	48.8	20	16.0	11	8.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.88	22	37.9 %	24	41.4 %	9	15.5 %	3	5.2 %
Tudor Area	9	0.56	4	44.4	5	55.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	0.85	34	34.7	48	49.0	13	13.3	3	3.1
Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.93	12	27.9	22	51.2	9	20.9	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.07	3	20.0 %	8	53.3 %	4	26.7 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.


2. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.45. Fear of Victimization by Sexual Assault

### Table 1.45a. Fear of Victimization by Sexual Assault: Summary

Question 8b. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a sexual assault?



### Table 1.45b. Fear of Victimization by Sexual Assault: Summary by Community Council

Question 8b. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a sexual assault?

**Anchorage average: 0.61**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot
Abbott Loop	124	0.61	66	4	Mountain View	47	0.66	23	1
Airport Heights	51	0.59	25	1	North Star	43	0.63	22	1
Basher	9	0.33	6	0	Northeast	159	0.75	67	4
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.54	21	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.39	18	0
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.46	33	0
Birchwood	14	0.36	10	0	Rogers Park	23	1.00	5	1
Campbell Park	42	0.69	21	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.81	44	8
Chugiak	34	0.59	17	1	Sand Lake	100	0.70	44	2
Downtown	37	0.59	23	3	Scenic Foothills	41	0.51	23	0
Eagle River	56	0.41	35	0	South Addition	46	0.67	21	1
Eagle River Valley	54	0.52	31	1	South Fork	21	0.38	13	0
Elmendorf	14	0.36	9	0	Spenard	125	0.66	58	1
Fairview	70	0.53	42	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.66	31	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	8	1	Tudor Area	9	0.67	3	0
Government Hill	59	0.47	35	0	Turnagain	98	0.60	53	4
Hillside East	13	0.85	5	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.39	18	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.52	37	0	University Area	43	0.77	16	0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.62	18	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.60	6	0

1. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.45c. Fear of Victimization by Sexual Assault: Detail**

*Question 8b. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a sexual assault?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,755</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>909</b>	<b>51.8 %</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>37.7 %</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>8.0 %</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>2.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	124	0.61	66	53.2 %	44	35.5 %	10	8.1 %	4	3.2 %
Airport Heights	51	0.59	25	49.0	23	45.1	2	3.9	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.54	21	51.2	19	46.3	0	0.0	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	10	71.4 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.69	21	50.0	16	38.1	2	4.8	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.59	17	50.0	15	44.1	1	2.9	1	2.9
Downtown	37	0.59	23	62.2	9	24.3	2	5.4	3	8.1
Eagle River	56	0.41	35	62.5	19	33.9	2	3.6	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.52	31	57.4 %	19	35.2 %	3	5.6 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	14	0.36	9	64.3	5	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.53	42	60.0	22	31.4	3	4.3	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	8	72.7	2	18.2	0	0.0	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.47	35	59.3	20	33.9	4	6.8	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.85	5	38.5 %	5	38.5 %	3	23.1 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.52	37	53.6	28	40.6	4	5.8	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.62	18	52.9	11	32.4	5	14.7	0	0.0
Mountain View	47	0.66	23	48.9	18	38.3	5	10.6	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.63	22	51.2	16	37.2	4	9.3	1	2.3
Northeast	159	0.75	67	42.1 %	69	43.4 %	19	11.9 %	4	2.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.39	18	64.3	9	32.1	1	3.6	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.46	33	63.5	14	26.9	5	9.6	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	1.00	5	21.7	14	60.9	3	13.0	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.81	44	53.0	19	22.9	12	14.5	8	9.6
Sand Lake	100	0.70	44	44.0 %	44	44.0 %	10	10.0 %	2	2.0 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.51	23	56.1	15	36.6	3	7.3	0	0.0
South Addition	46	0.67	21	45.7	20	43.5	4	8.7	1	2.2
South Fork	21	0.38	13	61.9	8	38.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.66	58	46.4	53	42.4	13	10.4	1	0.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.66	31	53.4 %	18	31.0 %	7	12.1 %	2	3.4 %
Tudor Area	9	0.67	3	33.3	6	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	0.60	53	54.1	35	35.7	6	6.1	4	4.1
Turnagain Arm	28	0.39	18	64.3	9	32.1	1	3.6	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.77	16	37.2	21	48.8	6	14.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.60	6	40.0 %	9	60.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.


2. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.46. Fear of Victimization by Murder

**Table 1.46a. Fear of Victimization by Murder: Summary**

Question 8c. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a murder?



**Table 1.46b. Fear of Victimization by Murder: Summary by Community Council**

Question 8c. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a murder?

Anchorage average: 0.37

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot
Abbott Loop	123	0.39	87	3	Mountain View	47	0.55	27	1
Airport Heights	51	0.49	33	2	North Star	43	0.47	26	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	157	0.45	99	1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.46	25	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	0
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.31	39	0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	0	Rogers Park	23	0.35	17	1
Campbell Park	41	0.24	31	0	Russian Jack Park	82	0.67	47	5
Chugiak	34	0.29	25	0	Sand Lake	100	0.39	69	2
Downtown	37	0.41	26	1	Scenic Foothills	41	0.34	31	1
Eagle River	56	0.21	44	0	South Addition	45	0.29	32	0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.26	43	0	South Fork	21	0.24	16	0
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	0	Spenard	123	0.51	72	1
Fairview	67	0.39	47	1	Taku/Campbell	58	0.36	39	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	0	Tudor Area	9	0.33	6	0
Government Hill	59	0.27	46	0	Turnagain	97	0.31	73	1
Hillside East	13	0.46	8	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.23	55	0	University Area	41	0.32	29	0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.33	24	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.53	7	0

1. 31 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.46c. Fear of Victimization by Murder: Detail**

*Question 8c. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a murder?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,741</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>1,214</b>	<b>69.7 %</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>24.4 %</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>4.6 %</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	123	0.39	87	70.7 %	27	22.0 %	6	4.9 %	3	2.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.49	33	64.7	13	25.5	3	5.9	2	3.9
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.46	25	61.0	14	34.1	1	2.4	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	71.4 %	4	28.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.24	31	75.6	10	24.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	0.29	25	73.5	8	23.5	1	2.9	0	0.0
Downtown	37	0.41	26	70.3	8	21.6	2	5.4	1	2.7
Eagle River	56	0.21	44	78.6	12	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.26	43	79.6 %	8	14.8 %	3	5.6 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	67	0.39	47	70.1	15	22.4	4	6.0	1	1.5
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.27	46	78.0	10	16.9	3	5.1	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.46	8	61.5 %	4	30.8 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.23	55	79.7	12	17.4	2	2.9	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.33	24	72.7	7	21.2	2	6.1	0	0.0
Mountain View	47	0.55	27	57.4	15	31.9	4	8.5	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.47	26	60.5	15	34.9	1	2.3	1	2.3
Northeast	157	0.45	99	63.1 %	46	29.3 %	11	7.0 %	1	0.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.31	39	75.0	10	19.2	3	5.8	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.35	17	73.9	5	21.7	0	0.0	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	82	0.67	47	57.3	20	24.4	10	12.2	5	6.1
Sand Lake	100	0.39	69	69.0 %	25	25.0 %	4	4.0 %	2	2.0 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.34	31	75.6	7	17.1	2	4.9	1	2.4
South Addition	45	0.29	32	71.1	13	28.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.24	16	76.2	5	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	123	0.51	72	58.5	40	32.5	10	8.1	1	0.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.36	39	67.2 %	17	29.3 %	2	3.4 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	97	0.31	73	75.3	19	19.6	4	4.1	1	1.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	41	0.32	29	70.7	11	26.8	1	2.4	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.53	7	46.7 %	8	53.3 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.
2. 31 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.47. Fear of Victimization by Kidnapping

### Table 1.47a. Fear of Victimization by Kidnapping: Summary

Question 8d. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a kidnapping?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not at all	1,342	75.7 %	75.7 %
A little	322	18.2	93.9
Moderately	61	3.4	97.3
A lot	23	1.3	98.6
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,748</b>	<b>98.6 %</b>	
Missing	24	1.4	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.47b. Fear of Victimization by Kidnapping: Summary by Community Council

Question 8d. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a kidnapping?

Anchorage average: 0.29

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot
Abbott Loop	124	0.37	90	2	Mountain View	47	0.30	35	0
Airport Heights	51	0.27	40	1	North Star	42	0.29	31	0
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	159	0.40	112	3
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.28	32	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.21	23	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.37	39	1
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	0	Rogers Park	23	0.26	19	1
Campbell Park	41	0.17	36	1	Russian Jack Park	82	0.49	54	4
Chugiak	34	0.38	22	0	Sand Lake	100	0.33	75	1
Downtown	37	0.32	30	2	Scenic Foothills	40	0.38	29	1
Eagle River	55	0.20	45	0	South Addition	46	0.15	39	0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.19	44	0	South Fork	21	0.24	16	0
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	0	Spenard	125	0.26	99	1
Fairview	69	0.29	52	0	Taku/Campbell	58	0.26	46	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	0	Tudor Area	9	0.22	7	0
Government Hill	59	0.17	50	0	Turnagain	98	0.24	78	1
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	22	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.19	57	0	University Area	43	0.23	34	0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.48	21	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.47	10	2

1. 24 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all = 0; A little = 1; Moderately = 2; A lot = 3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.47c. Fear of Victimization by Kidnapping: Detail**

*Question 8d. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a kidnapping?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,748</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>76.8 %</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>18.4 %</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.5 %</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	124	0.37	90	72.6 %	24	19.4 %	8	6.5 %	2	1.6 %
Airport Heights	51	0.27	40	78.4	9	17.6	1	2.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.28	32	80.0	6	15.0	1	2.5	1	2.5
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	78.6 %	3	21.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.17	36	87.8	4	9.8	0	0.0	1	2.4
Chugiak	34	0.38	22	64.7	11	32.4	1	2.9	0	0.0
Downtown	37	0.32	30	81.1	4	10.8	1	2.7	2	5.4
Eagle River	55	0.20	45	81.8	9	16.4	1	1.8	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.19	44	81.5 %	10	18.5 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.29	52	75.4	14	20.3	3	4.3	0	0.0
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.17	50	84.7	8	13.6	1	1.7	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.19	57	82.6	11	15.9	1	1.4	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.48	21	63.6	8	24.2	4	12.1	0	0.0
Mountain View	47	0.30	35	74.5	10	21.3	2	4.3	0	0.0
North Star	42	0.29	31	73.8	10	23.8	1	2.4	0	0.0
Northeast	159	0.40	112	70.4 %	33	20.8 %	11	6.9 %	3	1.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.21	23	82.1	4	14.3	1	3.6	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.37	39	75.0	8	15.4	4	7.7	1	1.9
Rogers Park	23	0.26	19	82.6	3	13.0	0	0.0	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	82	0.49	54	65.9	20	24.4	4	4.9	4	4.9
Sand Lake	100	0.33	75	75.0 %	18	18.0 %	6	6.0 %	1	1.0 %
Scenic Foothills	40	0.38	29	72.5	8	20.0	2	5.0	1	2.5
South Addition	46	0.15	39	84.8	7	15.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.24	16	76.2	5	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.26	99	79.2	20	16.0	5	4.0	1	0.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.26	46	79.3 %	10	17.2 %	1	1.7 %	1	1.7 %
Tudor Area	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	0.24	78	79.6	17	17.3	2	2.0	1	1.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	22	78.6	5	17.9	1	3.6	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.23	34	79.1	8	18.6	1	2.3	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.47	10	66.7 %	5	33.3 %	-2	-13.3 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all = 0; A little = 1; Moderately = 2; A lot = 3.

2. 24 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.48. Fear of Attack with Weapon

**Table 1.48a. Fear of Attack with Weapon: Summary**

Question 8e. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be attacked with a weapon?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not at all	848	47.9 %	47.9 %
A little	709	40.0	87.9
Moderately	142	8.0	95.9
A lot	46	2.6	98.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,745</b>	<b>98.5 %</b>	
Missing	27	1.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.48b. Fear of Attack with Weapon: Summary by Community Council**

Question 8e. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be attacked with a weapon?

Anchorage average: 0.65

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	A lot
Abbott Loop	124	0.59	68	3	Mountain View	47	0.91	15	4
Airport Heights	51	0.71	23	1	North Star	43	0.74	16	1
Basher	9	0.22	7	0	Northeast	159	0.79	63	7
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.59	20	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.54	14	0
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.63	27	2
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	0	Rogers Park	22	0.95	8	1
Campbell Park	42	0.57	22	0	Russian Jack Park	82	1.02	32	10
Chugiak	34	0.38	23	0	Sand Lake	99	0.68	44	1
Downtown	37	0.76	18	3	Scenic Foothills	41	0.49	23	0
Eagle River	55	0.42	34	0	South Addition	46	0.74	15	0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.46	33	1	South Fork	21	0.38	13	0
Elmendorf	14	0.43	9	0	Spenard	124	0.81	47	4
Fairview	69	0.75	31	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.59	30	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	0	Tudor Area	9	0.44	5	0
Government Hill	59	0.46	36	0	Turnagain	97	0.61	48	3
Hillside East	13	0.46	9	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.32	19	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.46	39	0	University Area	41	0.63	20	1
Mid-Hillside	33	0.61	16	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.80	5	0

1. 27 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all = 0; A little = 1; Moderately = 2; A lot = 3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 1.48c. Fear of Attack with Weapon: Detail**

*Question 8e. To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be attacked with a weapon?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Not at all		A little		Moderately		A lot	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,745</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>48.6 %</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>40.6 %</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>8.1 %</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>2.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	124	0.59	68	54.8 %	42	33.9 %	11	8.9 %	3	2.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.71	23	45.1	21	41.2	6	11.8	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.59	20	48.8	19	46.3	1	2.4	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	50.0 %	7	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.57	22	52.4	16	38.1	4	9.5	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	0.38	23	67.6	9	26.5	2	5.9	0	0.0
Downtown	37	0.76	18	48.6	13	35.1	3	8.1	3	8.1
Eagle River	55	0.42	34	61.8	19	34.5	2	3.6	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.46	33	61.1 %	18	33.3 %	2	3.7 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	14	0.43	9	64.3	4	28.6	1	7.1	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.75	31	44.9	27	39.1	8	11.6	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	63.6	4	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.46	36	61.0	19	32.2	4	6.8	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.46	9	69.2 %	2	15.4 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.46	39	56.5	28	40.6	2	2.9	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	33	0.61	16	48.5	14	42.4	3	9.1	0	0.0
Mountain View	47	0.91	15	31.9	25	53.2	3	6.4	4	8.5
North Star	43	0.74	16	37.2	23	53.5	3	7.0	1	2.3
Northeast	159	0.79	63	39.6 %	73	45.9 %	16	10.1 %	7	4.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.54	14	50.0	13	46.4	1	3.6	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.63	27	51.9	19	36.5	4	7.7	2	3.8
Rogers Park	22	0.95	8	36.4	8	36.4	5	22.7	1	4.5
Russian Jack Park	82	1.02	32	39.0	26	31.7	14	17.1	10	12.2
Sand Lake	99	0.68	44	44.4 %	44	44.4 %	10	10.1 %	1	1.0 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.49	23	56.1	16	39.0	2	4.9	0	0.0
South Addition	46	0.74	15	32.6	28	60.9	3	6.5	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.38	13	61.9	8	38.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Spenard	124	0.81	47	37.9	58	46.8	15	12.1	4	3.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.59	30	51.7 %	22	37.9 %	6	10.3 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	9	0.44	5	55.6	4	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	97	0.61	48	49.5	42	43.3	4	4.1	3	3.1
Turnagain Arm	28	0.32	19	67.9	9	32.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	41	0.63	20	48.8	17	41.5	3	7.3	1	2.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.80	5	33.3 %	8	53.3 %	2	13.3 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting fear of victimization by this type of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; A little=1; Moderately=2; A lot=3.

2. 27 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.49. Fear of Crime Preventing Activities in Neighborhood

### Table 1.49a. Fear of Crime Preventing Activities in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 8f. How often does worry about crime prevent you from doing things you would like to do in your neighborhood?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Never	970	54.7 %	54.7 %
Rarely	492	27.8	82.5
Sometimes	219	12.4	94.9
Often	67	3.8	98.6
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,748</b>	<b>98.6 %</b>	
Missing	24	1.4	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.49b. Fear of Crime Preventing Activities in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 8f. How often does worry about crime prevent you from doing things you would like to do in your neighborhood?

Anchorage average: 0.65

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Never	Often		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Never	Often
Abbott Loop	122	0.62	64	2	Mountain View	46	1.04	17	4
Airport Heights	51	1.02	18	3	North Star	43	0.91	18	4
Basher	9	0.33	6	0	Northeast	159	0.89	68	13
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.44	27	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.29	21	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.31	39	0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	0	Rogers Park	23	0.91	6	1
Campbell Park	42	0.74	19	3	Russian Jack Park	82	1.07	33	11
Chugiak	33	0.39	23	0	Sand Lake	101	0.54	63	2
Downtown	38	0.76	20	4	Scenic Foothills	41	0.51	24	0
Eagle River	55	0.33	39	0	South Addition	46	0.78	20	1
Eagle River Valley	54	0.31	40	0	South Fork	21	0.29	16	0
Elmendorf	15	0.33	11	0	Spenard	125	0.90	54	7
Fairview	68	1.03	28	8	Taku/Campbell	58	0.72	31	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	0	Tudor Area	9	0.33	6	0
Government Hill	59	0.47	37	0	Turnagain	97	0.48	63	1
Hillside East	13	0.31	10	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.23	56	0	University Area	42	0.74	19	0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.26	26	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.93	4	0

1. 24 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that their neighborhood activities are curtailed by fear of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Never = 0; Rarely = 1; Sometimes = 2; Often = 3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.49c. Fear of Crime Preventing Activities in Neighborhood: Detail**

*Question 8f. How often does worry about crime prevent you from doing things you would like to do in your neighborhood?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Never		Rarely		Sometimes		Often	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,748</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>55.5 %</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>28.1 %</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>12.5 %</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>3.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	122	0.62	64	52.5 %	42	34.4 %	14	11.5 %	2	1.6 %
Airport Heights	51	1.02	18	35.3	17	33.3	13	25.5	3	5.9
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.44	27	65.9	11	26.8	2	4.9	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	71.4 %	4	28.6 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.74	19	45.2	18	42.9	2	4.8	3	7.1
Chugiak	33	0.39	23	69.7	7	21.2	3	9.1	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.76	20	52.6	11	28.9	3	7.9	4	10.5
Eagle River	55	0.33	39	70.9	14	25.5	2	3.6	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	0.31	40	74.1 %	11	20.4 %	3	5.6 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.33	11	73.3	3	20.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
Fairview	68	1.03	28	41.2	18	26.5	14	20.6	8	11.8
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	63.6	4	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.47	37	62.7	16	27.1	6	10.2	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.31	10	76.9 %	2	15.4 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.23	56	81.2	10	14.5	3	4.3	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.26	26	76.5	7	20.6	1	2.9	0	0.0
Mountain View	46	1.04	17	37.0	14	30.4	11	23.9	4	8.7
North Star	43	0.91	18	41.9	15	34.9	6	14.0	4	9.3
Northeast	159	0.89	68	42.8 %	53	33.3 %	25	15.7 %	13	8.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.29	21	75.0	6	21.4	1	3.6	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.31	39	75.0	10	19.2	3	5.8	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.91	6	26.1	14	60.9	2	8.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	82	1.07	33	40.2	21	25.6	17	20.7	11	13.4
Sand Lake	101	0.54	63	62.4 %	23	22.8 %	13	12.9 %	2	2.0 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.51	24	58.5	13	31.7	4	9.8	0	0.0
South Addition	46	0.78	20	43.5	17	37.0	8	17.4	1	2.2
South Fork	21	0.29	16	76.2	4	19.0	1	4.8	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.90	54	43.2	37	29.6	27	21.6	7	5.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.72	31	53.4 %	14	24.1 %	11	19.0 %	2	3.4 %
Tudor Area	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	97	0.48	63	64.9	22	22.7	11	11.3	1	1.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
University Area	42	0.74	19	45.2	15	35.7	8	19.0	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.93	4	26.7 %	8	53.3 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that their neighborhood activities are curtailed by fear of crime. Values are assigned as follows: Never=0; Rarely=1; Sometimes=2; Often=3.

2. 24 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 1.50. Victimization by Violence While Living in Neighborhood

**Table 1.50a. Victimization by Violence While Living in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 9. While you have lived in this neighborhood, has anyone ever used violence, such as in a mugging, fight, or sexual assault, against you or any member of your household, anywhere in your neighborhood?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	1,595	90.0 %	90.0 %
Yes	144	8.1	98.1
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,739</b>	<b>98.1 %</b>	
Missing	33	1.9	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.50b. Victimization by Violence While Living in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 9. While you have lived in this neighborhood, has anyone ever used violence, such as in a mugging, fight, or sexual assault, against you or any member of your household, anywhere in your neighborhood?

**Anchorage average: 0.08**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	123	0.04	118	5	Mountain View	47	0.19	38	9
Airport Heights	50	0.12	44	6	North Star	43	0.12	38	5
Basher	8	0.00	8	0	Northeast	157	0.13	137	20
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	50	0.06	47	3
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.14	71	12
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	101	0.06	95	6
Downtown	37	0.11	33	4	Scenic Foothills	41	0.02	40	1
Eagle River	55	0.07	51	4	South Addition	45	0.11	40	5
Eagle River Valley	54	0.06	51	3	South Fork	20	0.05	19	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.13	109	16
Fairview	66	0.09	60	6	Taku/Campbell	57	0.04	55	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	97	0.09	88	9
Hillside East	12	0.00	12	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.11	25	3
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	1	University Area	42	0.07	39	3
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.00	15	0

1. 33 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that have been violently victimized while living in their current neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 1.50c. Victimization by Violence While Living in  
Neighborhood: Detail**

*Question 9. While you have lived in this neighborhood, has anyone ever used violence, such as in a mugging, fight, or sexual assault, against you or any member of your household, anywhere in your neighborhood?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,739<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>1,595</b>	<b>91.7 %</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>8.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	123	0.04	118	95.9 %	5	4.1 %
Airport Heights	50	0.12	44	88.0	6	12.0
Basher	8	0.00	8	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	37	0.11	33	89.2	4	10.8
Eagle River	55	0.07	51	92.7	4	7.3
Eagle River Valley	54	0.06	51	94.4 %	3	5.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	66	0.09	60	90.9	6	9.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	12	0.00	12	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	98.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	33	0.00	33	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	47	0.19	38	80.9	9	19.1
North Star	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Northeast	157	0.13	137	87.3 %	20	12.7 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	50	0.06	47	94.0	3	6.0
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.14	71	85.5	12	14.5
Sand Lake	101	0.06	95	94.1 %	6	5.9 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
South Addition	45	0.11	40	88.9	5	11.1
South Fork	20	0.05	19	95.0	1	5.0
Spenard	125	0.13	109	87.2	16	12.8
Taku/Campbell	57	0.04	55	96.5 %	2	3.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	97	0.09	88	90.7	9	9.3
Turnagain Arm	28	0.11	25	89.3	3	10.7
University Area	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.00	15	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that have been violently victimized while living in their current neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.
- 33 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.


## Table 1.51. Locking Doors at Night and When Away

**Table 1.51a. Locking Doors at Night and When Away: Summary**

Question 11a. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Lock doors at night and when you are away from home**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	73	4.1 %	4.1 %
Yes	1,699	95.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.51b. Locking Doors at Night and When Away: Summary by Community Council**

Question 11a. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Lock doors at night and when you are away from home**

**Anchorage average: 0.96**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.98	3	121	Mountain View	48	1.00	0	48
Airport Heights	51	1.00	0	51	North Star	43	0.95	2	41
Basher	9	1.00	0	9	Northeast	160	0.97	5	155
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.95	2	39	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.00	0	28
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.94	3	49
Birchwood	14	0.93	1	13	Rogers Park	23	1.00	0	23
Campbell Park	42	0.93	3	39	Russian Jack Park	83	0.98	2	81
Chugiak	34	0.88	4	30	Sand Lake	103	0.96	4	99
Downtown	38	1.00	0	38	Scenic Foothills	42	0.95	2	40
Eagle River	58	0.97	2	56	South Addition	47	0.96	2	45
Eagle River Valley	55	0.89	6	49	South Fork	21	0.95	1	20
Elmendorf	15	0.93	1	14	Spenard	125	0.98	3	122
Fairview	70	0.97	2	68	Taku/Campbell	58	0.95	3	55
Fort Richardson	11	1.00	0	11	Tudor Area	10	1.00	0	10
Government Hill	59	0.97	2	57	Turnagain	101	0.95	5	96
Hillside East	13	1.00	0	13	Turnagain Arm	28	0.75	7	21
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.96	3	66	University Area	43	0.98	1	42
Mid-Hillside	34	0.97	1	33	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.94	1	15

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.51c. Locking Doors at Night and When Away: Detail**

Question 11a. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Lock doors at night and when you are away from home**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>4.1 %</b>	<b>1,699</b>	<b>95.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.98	3	2.4 %	121	97.6 %
Airport Heights	51	1.00	0	0.0	51	100.0
Basher	9	1.00	0	0.0	9	100.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.95	2	4.9	39	95.1
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.93	1	7.1 %	13	92.9 %
Campbell Park	42	0.93	3	7.1	39	92.9
Chugiak	34	0.88	4	11.8	30	88.2
Downtown	38	1.00	0	0.0	38	100.0
Eagle River	58	0.97	2	3.4	56	96.6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.89	6	10.9 %	49	89.1 %
Elmendorf	15	0.93	1	6.7	14	93.3
Fairview	70	0.97	2	2.9	68	97.1
Fort Richardson	11	1.00	0	0.0	11	100.0
Government Hill	59	0.97	2	3.4	57	96.6
Hillside East	13	1.00	0	0.0 %	13	100.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.96	3	4.3	66	95.7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.97	1	2.9	33	97.1
Mountain View	48	1.00	0	0.0	48	100.0
North Star	43	0.95	2	4.7	41	95.3
Northeast	160	0.97	5	3.1 %	155	96.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.00	0	0.0	28	100.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.94	3	5.8	49	94.2
Rogers Park	23	1.00	0	0.0	23	100.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.98	2	2.4	81	97.6
Sand Lake	103	0.96	4	3.9 %	99	96.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.95	2	4.8	40	95.2
South Addition	47	0.96	2	4.3	45	95.7
South Fork	21	0.95	1	4.8	20	95.2
Spenard	125	0.98	3	2.4	122	97.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.95	3	5.2 %	55	94.8 %
Tudor Area	10	1.00	0	0.0	10	100.0
Turnagain	101	0.95	5	5.0	96	95.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.75	7	25.0	21	75.0
University Area	43	0.98	1	2.3	42	97.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.94	1	6.3 %	15	93.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.52. Locking Doors During Day and When at Home

### Table 1.52a. Locking Doors During Day and When at Home: Summary

Question 11b. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Lock doors during the day and when you are at home**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	411	23.2 %	23.2 %
Yes	1,361	76.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.52b. Locking Doors During Day and When at Home: Summary by Community Council

Question 11b. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Lock doors during the day and when you are at home**

**Anchorage average: 0.77**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.77	28	96	Mountain View	48	0.90	5	43
Airport Heights	51	0.73	14	37	North Star	43	0.77	10	33
Basher	9	0.89	1	8	Northeast	160	0.81	30	130
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.90	4	37	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.89	3	25
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.77	12	40
Birchwood	14	0.71	4	10	Rogers Park	23	0.96	1	22
Campbell Park	42	0.81	8	34	Russian Jack Park	83	0.88	10	73
Chugiak	34	0.56	15	19	Sand Lake	103	0.76	25	78
Downtown	38	0.92	3	35	Scenic Foothills	42	0.83	7	35
Eagle River	58	0.74	15	43	South Addition	47	0.70	14	33
Eagle River Valley	55	0.60	22	33	South Fork	21	0.76	5	16
Elmendorf	15	0.53	7	8	Spenard	125	0.80	25	100
Fairview	70	0.86	10	60	Taku/Campbell	58	0.83	10	48
Fort Richardson	11	0.91	1	10	Tudor Area	10	0.80	2	8
Government Hill	59	0.61	23	36	Turnagain	101	0.75	25	76
Hillside East	13	0.77	3	10	Turnagain Arm	28	0.32	19	9
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.70	21	48	University Area	43	0.65	15	28
Mid-Hillside	34	0.76	8	26	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.75	4	12

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.52c. Locking Doors During Day and When at Home: Detail**

*Question 11b. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.*

***Lock doors during the day and when you are at home***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>23.2 %</b>	<b>1,361</b>	<b>76.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.77	28	22.6 %	96	77.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.73	14	27.5	37	72.5
Basher	9	0.89	1	11.1	8	88.9
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.90	4	9.8	37	90.2
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.71	4	28.6 %	10	71.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.81	8	19.0	34	81.0
Chugiak	34	0.56	15	44.1	19	55.9
Downtown	38	0.92	3	7.9	35	92.1
Eagle River	58	0.74	15	25.9	43	74.1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.60	22	40.0 %	33	60.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.53	7	46.7	8	53.3
Fairview	70	0.86	10	14.3	60	85.7
Fort Richardson	11	0.91	1	9.1	10	90.9
Government Hill	59	0.61	23	39.0	36	61.0
Hillside East	13	0.77	3	23.1 %	10	76.9 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.70	21	30.4	48	69.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.76	8	23.5	26	76.5
Mountain View	48	0.90	5	10.4	43	89.6
North Star	43	0.77	10	23.3	33	76.7
Northeast	160	0.81	30	18.8 %	130	81.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.89	3	10.7	25	89.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.77	12	23.1	40	76.9
Rogers Park	23	0.96	1	4.3	22	95.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.88	10	12.0	73	88.0
Sand Lake	103	0.76	25	24.3 %	78	75.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.83	7	16.7	35	83.3
South Addition	47	0.70	14	29.8	33	70.2
South Fork	21	0.76	5	23.8	16	76.2
Spenard	125	0.80	25	20.0	100	80.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.83	10	17.2 %	48	82.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.80	2	20.0	8	80.0
Turnagain	101	0.75	25	24.8	76	75.2
Turnagain Arm	28	0.32	19	67.9	9	32.1
University Area	43	0.65	15	34.9	28	65.1
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.75	4	25.0 %	12	75.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.


## Table 1.53. Use of Home Security System

### Table 1.53a. Use of Home Security System: Summary

Question 11c. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Use a home security system**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,429	80.6 %	80.6 %
Yes	343	19.4	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.53b. Use of Home Security System: Summary by Community Council

Question 11c. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Use a home security system**

**Anchorage average: 0.19**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.13	108	16	Mountain View	48	0.23	37	11
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	4	North Star	43	0.23	33	10
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.21	127	33
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.27	30	11	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.21	22	6
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.38	32	20
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	8
Campbell Park	42	0.12	37	5	Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	18
Chugiak	34	0.24	26	8	Sand Lake	103	0.25	77	26
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.24	32	10
Eagle River	58	0.16	49	9	South Addition	47	0.13	41	6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.15	47	8	South Fork	21	0.19	17	4
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.17	104	21
Fairview	70	0.24	53	17	Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	10
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.10	53	6	Turnagain	101	0.24	77	24
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	2	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	17	University Area	43	0.19	35	8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.21	27	7	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	4

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.53c. Use of Home Security System: Detail**

Question 11c. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Use a home security system**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>1,429</b>	<b>80.6 %</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>19.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.13	108	87.1 %	16	12.9 %
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.27	30	73.2	11	26.8
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
Chugiak	34	0.24	26	76.5	8	23.5
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.16	49	84.5	9	15.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.15	47	85.5 %	8	14.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.24	53	75.7	17	24.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.10	53	89.8	6	10.2
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	75.4	17	24.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.21	27	79.4	7	20.6
Mountain View	48	0.23	37	77.1	11	22.9
North Star	43	0.23	33	76.7	10	23.3
Northeast	160	0.21	127	79.4 %	33	20.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.21	22	78.6	6	21.4
Rabbit Creek	52	0.38	32	61.5	20	38.5
Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	65.2	8	34.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	78.3	18	21.7
Sand Lake	103	0.25	77	74.8 %	26	25.2 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.24	32	76.2	10	23.8
South Addition	47	0.13	41	87.2	6	12.8
South Fork	21	0.19	17	81.0	4	19.0
Spenard	125	0.17	104	83.2	21	16.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	82.8 %	10	17.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.24	77	76.2	24	23.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.19	35	81.4	8	18.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	75.0 %	4	25.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.


## Table 1.54. Use of Vehicle Security System

### Table 1.54a. Use of Vehicle Security System: Summary

Question 11d. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

*Use a security system on vehicle(s)*

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,145	64.6 %	64.6 %
Yes	627	35.4	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.54b. Use of Vehicle Security System: Summary by Community Council

Question 11d. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

*Use a security system on vehicle(s)*

Anchorage average: 0.35

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.44	69	55	Mountain View	48	0.40	29	19
Airport Heights	51	0.24	39	12	North Star	43	0.33	29	14
Basher	9	0.33	6	3	Northeast	160	0.39	98	62
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.46	22	19	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.39	17	11
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.33	35	17
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	4	Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	11
Campbell Park	42	0.33	28	14	Russian Jack Park	83	0.41	49	34
Chugiak	34	0.29	24	10	Sand Lake	103	0.35	67	36
Downtown	38	0.32	26	12	Scenic Foothills	42	0.31	29	13
Eagle River	58	0.34	38	20	South Addition	47	0.34	31	16
Eagle River Valley	55	0.44	31	24	South Fork	21	0.38	13	8
Elmendorf	15	0.33	10	5	Spenard	125	0.31	86	39
Fairview	70	0.37	44	26	Taku/Campbell	58	0.34	38	20
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	4	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.25	44	15	Turnagain	101	0.32	69	32
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.39	42	27	University Area	43	0.37	27	16
Mid-Hillside	34	0.29	24	10	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.44	9	7

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.54c. Use of Vehicle Security System: Detail**

Question 11d. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Use a security system on vehicle(s)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>1,145</b>	<b>64.6 %</b>	<b>627</b>	<b>35.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.44	69	55.6 %	55	44.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.24	39	76.5	12	23.5
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.46	22	53.7	19	46.3
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	71.4 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	42	0.33	28	66.7	14	33.3
Chugiak	34	0.29	24	70.6	10	29.4
Downtown	38	0.32	26	68.4	12	31.6
Eagle River	58	0.34	38	65.5	20	34.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.44	31	56.4 %	24	43.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.33	10	66.7	5	33.3
Fairview	70	0.37	44	62.9	26	37.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	63.6	4	36.4
Government Hill	59	0.25	44	74.6	15	25.4
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.39	42	60.9	27	39.1
Mid-Hillside	34	0.29	24	70.6	10	29.4
Mountain View	48	0.40	29	60.4	19	39.6
North Star	43	0.33	29	67.4	14	32.6
Northeast	160	0.39	98	61.3 %	62	38.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.39	17	60.7	11	39.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.33	35	67.3	17	32.7
Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	52.2	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.41	49	59.0	34	41.0
Sand Lake	103	0.35	67	65.0 %	36	35.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.31	29	69.0	13	31.0
South Addition	47	0.34	31	66.0	16	34.0
South Fork	21	0.38	13	61.9	8	38.1
Spenard	125	0.31	86	68.8	39	31.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.34	38	65.5 %	20	34.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.32	69	68.3	32	31.7
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
University Area	43	0.37	27	62.8	16	37.2
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.44	9	56.3 %	7	43.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.55. Keeping Dog for Self-protection

**Table 1.55a. Keeping Dog for Self-protection: Summary**

Question 11e. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Have a dog**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,030	58.1 %	58.1 %
Yes	742	41.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.55b. Keeping Dog for Self-protection: Summary by Community Council**

Question 11e. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Have a dog**

**Anchorage average: 0.42**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.45	68	56	Mountain View	48	0.42	28	20
Airport Heights	51	0.33	34	17	North Star	43	0.21	34	9
Basher	9	0.44	5	4	Northeast	160	0.46	86	74
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.46	22	19	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.43	16	12
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	3	Rabbit Creek	52	0.50	26	26
Birchwood	14	0.71	4	10	Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	6
Campbell Park	42	0.33	28	14	Russian Jack Park	83	0.40	50	33
Chugiak	34	0.50	17	17	Sand Lake	103	0.44	58	45
Downtown	38	0.37	24	14	Scenic Foothills	42	0.38	26	16
Eagle River	58	0.36	37	21	South Addition	47	0.34	31	16
Eagle River Valley	55	0.55	25	30	South Fork	21	0.62	8	13
Elmendorf	15	0.40	9	6	Spenard	125	0.43	71	54
Fairview	70	0.31	48	22	Taku/Campbell	58	0.34	38	20
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	5	6	Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	4
Government Hill	59	0.36	38	21	Turnagain	101	0.34	67	34
Hillside East	13	0.69	4	9	Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	13
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.42	40	29	University Area	43	0.35	28	15
Mid-Hillside	34	0.74	9	25	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.56	7	9

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.55c. Keeping Dog for Self-protection: Detail**

Question 11e. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Have a dog**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>1,030</b>	<b>58.1 %</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>41.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.45	68	54.8 %	56	45.2 %
Airport Heights	51	0.33	34	66.7	17	33.3
Basher	9	0.44	5	55.6	4	44.4
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.46	22	53.7	19	46.3
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	14	0.71	4	28.6 %	10	71.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.33	28	66.7	14	33.3
Chugiak	34	0.50	17	50.0	17	50.0
Downtown	38	0.37	24	63.2	14	36.8
Eagle River	58	0.36	37	63.8	21	36.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.55	25	45.5 %	30	54.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.40	9	60.0	6	40.0
Fairview	70	0.31	48	68.6	22	31.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	5	45.5	6	54.5
Government Hill	59	0.36	38	64.4	21	35.6
Hillside East	13	0.69	4	30.8 %	9	69.2 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.42	40	58.0	29	42.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.74	9	26.5	25	73.5
Mountain View	48	0.42	28	58.3	20	41.7
North Star	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Northeast	160	0.46	86	53.8 %	74	46.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.43	16	57.1	12	42.9
Rabbit Creek	52	0.50	26	50.0	26	50.0
Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	73.9	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	83	0.40	50	60.2	33	39.8
Sand Lake	103	0.44	58	56.3 %	45	43.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.38	26	61.9	16	38.1
South Addition	47	0.34	31	66.0	16	34.0
South Fork	21	0.62	8	38.1	13	61.9
Spenard	125	0.43	71	56.8	54	43.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.34	38	65.5 %	20	34.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	60.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	101	0.34	67	66.3	34	33.7
Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4
University Area	43	0.35	28	65.1	15	34.9
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.56	7	43.8 %	9	56.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.56. Taking Self-defense Lessons

### Table 1.56a. Taking Self-defense Lessons: Summary

Question 11f. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

#### Take self-defense lessons

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,583	89.3 %	89.3 %
Yes	189	10.7	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.56b. Taking Self-defense Lessons: Summary by Community Council

Question 11f. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

#### Take self-defense lessons

Anchorage average: 0.11

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	7	Mountain View	48	0.10	43	5
Airport Heights	51	0.12	45	6	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.09	145	15
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	4
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.18	68	15
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.16	87	16
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	4
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	6	South Addition	47	0.17	39	8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.09	50	5	South Fork	21	0.14	18	3
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.11	111	14
Fairview	70	0.13	61	9	Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	3	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	7	Turnagain	101	0.13	88	13
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.12	61	8	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	4	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.56c. Taking Self-defense Lessons: Detail**

*Question 11f. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.*

**Take self-defense lessons**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>1,583</b>	<b>89.3 %</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>10.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	94.4 %	7	5.6 %
Airport Heights	51	0.12	45	88.2	6	11.8
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	85.4	6	14.6
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	89.7	6	10.3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.09	50	90.9 %	5	9.1 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.13	61	87.1	9	12.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	72.7	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	88.1	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.12	61	88.4	8	11.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Mountain View	48	0.10	43	89.6	5	10.4
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	160	0.09	145	90.6 %	15	9.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.18	68	81.9	15	18.1
Sand Lake	103	0.16	87	84.5 %	16	15.5 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
South Addition	47	0.17	39	83.0	8	17.0
South Fork	21	0.14	18	85.7	3	14.3
Spenard	125	0.11	111	88.8	14	11.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.13	88	87.1	13	12.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.


## Table 1.57. Keeping Firearm in Home for Self-protection

### Table 1.57a. Keeping Firearm in Home for Self-protection: Summary

Question 11g. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Keep a firearm at home**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	959	54.1 %	54.1 %
Yes	813	45.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.57b. Keeping Firearm in Home for Self-protection: Summary by Community Council

Question 11g. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Keep a firearm at home**

**Anchorage average: 0.46**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.46	67	57	Mountain View	48	0.38	30	18
Airport Heights	51	0.45	28	23	North Star	43	0.47	23	20
Basher	9	0.33	6	3	Northeast	160	0.45	88	72
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.56	18	23	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.39	17	11
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.67	17	35
Birchwood	14	0.71	4	10	Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	11
Campbell Park	42	0.48	22	20	Russian Jack Park	83	0.49	42	41
Chugiak	34	0.65	12	22	Sand Lake	103	0.47	55	48
Downtown	38	0.32	26	12	Scenic Foothills	42	0.43	24	18
Eagle River	58	0.55	26	32	South Addition	47	0.30	33	14
Eagle River Valley	55	0.58	23	32	South Fork	21	0.81	4	17
Elmendorf	15	0.27	11	4	Spenard	125	0.42	73	52
Fairview	70	0.36	45	25	Taku/Campbell	58	0.43	33	25
Fort Richardson	11	0.64	4	7	Tudor Area	10	0.50	5	5
Government Hill	59	0.27	43	16	Turnagain	101	0.38	63	38
Hillside East	13	0.54	6	7	Turnagain Arm	28	0.43	16	12
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.49	35	34	University Area	43	0.47	23	20
Mid-Hillside	34	0.56	15	19	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.56	7	9

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.57c. Keeping Firearm in Home for Self-protection: Detail**

Question 11g. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Keep a firearm at home**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>959</b>	<b>54.1 %</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>45.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.46	67	54.0 %	57	46.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.45	28	54.9	23	45.1
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.56	18	43.9	23	56.1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.71	4	28.6 %	10	71.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.48	22	52.4	20	47.6
Chugiak	34	0.65	12	35.3	22	64.7
Downtown	38	0.32	26	68.4	12	31.6
Eagle River	58	0.55	26	44.8	32	55.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.58	23	41.8 %	32	58.2 %
Elmendorf	15	0.27	11	73.3	4	26.7
Fairview	70	0.36	45	64.3	25	35.7
Fort Richardson	11	0.64	4	36.4	7	63.6
Government Hill	59	0.27	43	72.9	16	27.1
Hillside East	13	0.54	6	46.2 %	7	53.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.49	35	50.7	34	49.3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.56	15	44.1	19	55.9
Mountain View	48	0.38	30	62.5	18	37.5
North Star	43	0.47	23	53.5	20	46.5
Northeast	160	0.45	88	55.0 %	72	45.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.39	17	60.7	11	39.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.67	17	32.7	35	67.3
Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	52.2	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.49	42	50.6	41	49.4
Sand Lake	103	0.47	55	53.4 %	48	46.6 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.43	24	57.1	18	42.9
South Addition	47	0.30	33	70.2	14	29.8
South Fork	21	0.81	4	19.0	17	81.0
Spenard	125	0.42	73	58.4	52	41.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.43	33	56.9 %	25	43.1 %
Tudor Area	10	0.50	5	50.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	101	0.38	63	62.4	38	37.6
Turnagain Arm	28	0.43	16	57.1	12	42.9
University Area	43	0.47	23	53.5	20	46.5
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.56	7	43.8 %	9	56.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.58. "Danger" Signal with Neighbors

### Table 1.58a. "Danger" Signal with Neighbors: Summary

Question 11h. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Develop a signal for "danger" with neighbors**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,673	94.4 %	94.4 %
Yes	99	5.6	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.58b. "Danger" Signal with Neighbors: Summary by Community Council

Question 11h. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Develop a signal for "danger" with neighbors**

**Anchorage average: 0.06**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	8	Mountain View	48	0.08	44	4
Airport Heights	51	0.00	51	0	North Star	43	0.02	42	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.08	147	13
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	4
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.07	77	6
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	2	Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	6
Downtown	38	0.08	35	3	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	0	South Addition	47	0.00	47	0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	1	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.09	114	11
Fairview	70	0.10	63	7	Taku/Campbell	58	0.03	56	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.04	97	4
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	1	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	3	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.58c. "Danger" Signal with Neighbors: Detail**

Question 11h. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Develop a signal for "danger" with neighbors**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>1,673</b>	<b>94.4 %</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	93.5 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.00	51	100.0	0	0.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Downtown	38	0.08	35	92.1	3	7.9
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.10	63	90.0	7	10.0
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.01	68	98.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Mountain View	48	0.08	44	91.7	4	8.3
North Star	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Northeast	160	0.08	147	91.9 %	13	8.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.07	77	92.8	6	7.2
Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	94.2 %	6	5.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.00	47	100.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.09	114	91.2	11	8.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.03	56	96.6 %	2	3.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.04	97	96.0	4	4.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.59. Attending Neighborhood Watch Meetings

### Table 1.59a. Attending Neighborhood Watch Meetings: Summary

Question 11i. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Attend neighborhood watch meetings**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,629	91.9 %	91.9 %
Yes	143	8.1	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.59b. Attending Neighborhood Watch Meetings: Summary by Community Council

Question 11i. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Attend neighborhood watch meetings**

**Anchorage average: 0.08**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.10	112	12	Mountain View	48	0.00	48	0
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	5	North Star	43	0.09	39	4
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.08	148	12
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	5
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	10
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	1	South Addition	47	0.09	43	4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.11	49	6	South Fork	21	0.24	16	5
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	125	0.06	117	8
Fairview	70	0.16	59	11	Taku/Campbell	58	0.03	56	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.10	91	10
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.07	64	5	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	4	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.59c. Attending Neighborhood Watch Meetings: Detail**

Question 11i. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Attend neighborhood watch meetings**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>1,629</b>	<b>91.9 %</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>8.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.10	112	90.3 %	12	9.7 %
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	98.3	1	1.7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.11	49	89.1 %	6	10.9 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.16	59	84.3	11	15.7
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.07	64	92.8	5	7.2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Mountain View	48	0.00	48	100.0	0	0.0
North Star	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Northeast	160	0.08	148	92.5 %	12	7.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	94.0	5	6.0
Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	90.3 %	10	9.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.09	43	91.5	4	8.5
South Fork	21	0.24	16	76.2	5	23.8
Spenard	125	0.06	117	93.6	8	6.4
Taku/Campbell	58	0.03	56	96.6 %	2	3.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.10	91	90.1	10	9.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.


**Table 1.60. Outside/Automatic Lights to Deter Prowler**

**Table 1.60a. Outside/Automatic Lights to Deter Prowlers: Summary**

Question 11j. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Have outside/automatic lights to deter prowlers**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	819	46.2 %	46.2 %
Yes	953	53.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 1.60b. Outside/Automatic Lights to Deter Prowlers: Summary by Community Council**

Question 11j. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Have outside/automatic lights to deter prowlers**

**Anchorage average: 0.54**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.47	66	58	Mountain View	48	0.44	27	21
Airport Heights	51	0.51	25	26	North Star	43	0.56	19	24
Basher	9	0.44	5	4	Northeast	160	0.54	74	86
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.56	18	23	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.36	18	10
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.60	21	31
Birchwood	14	0.79	3	11	Rogers Park	23	0.65	8	15
Campbell Park	42	0.36	27	15	Russian Jack Park	83	0.55	37	46
Chugiak	34	0.71	10	24	Sand Lake	103	0.67	34	69
Downtown	38	0.47	20	18	Scenic Foothills	42	0.60	17	25
Eagle River	58	0.55	26	32	South Addition	47	0.49	24	23
Eagle River Valley	55	0.69	17	38	South Fork	21	0.57	9	12
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	125	0.54	58	67
Fairview	70	0.54	32	38	Taku/Campbell	58	0.53	27	31
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	4	Tudor Area	10	0.80	2	8
Government Hill	59	0.49	30	29	Turnagain	101	0.45	56	45
Hillside East	13	0.69	4	9	Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	13
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.57	30	39	University Area	43	0.47	23	20
Mid-Hillside	34	0.74	9	25	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.69	5	11

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 1.60c. Outside/Automatic Lights to Deter Prowlers: Detail**

Question 11j. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Have outside/automatic lights to deter prowlers**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>46.2 %</b>	<b>953</b>	<b>53.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.47	66	53.2 %	58	46.8 %
Airport Heights	51	0.51	25	49.0	26	51.0
Basher	9	0.44	5	55.6	4	44.4
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.56	18	43.9	23	56.1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.79	3	21.4 %	11	78.6 %
Campbell Park	42	0.36	27	64.3	15	35.7
Chugiak	34	0.71	10	29.4	24	70.6
Downtown	38	0.47	20	52.6	18	47.4
Eagle River	58	0.55	26	44.8	32	55.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.69	17	30.9 %	38	69.1 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	70	0.54	32	45.7	38	54.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.36	7	63.6	4	36.4
Government Hill	59	0.49	30	50.8	29	49.2
Hillside East	13	0.69	4	30.8 %	9	69.2 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.57	30	43.5	39	56.5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.74	9	26.5	25	73.5
Mountain View	48	0.44	27	56.3	21	43.8
North Star	43	0.56	19	44.2	24	55.8
Northeast	160	0.54	74	46.3 %	86	53.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.36	18	64.3	10	35.7
Rabbit Creek	52	0.60	21	40.4	31	59.6
Rogers Park	23	0.65	8	34.8	15	65.2
Russian Jack Park	83	0.55	37	44.6	46	55.4
Sand Lake	103	0.67	34	33.0 %	69	67.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.60	17	40.5	25	59.5
South Addition	47	0.49	24	51.1	23	48.9
South Fork	21	0.57	9	42.9	12	57.1
Spenard	125	0.54	58	46.4	67	53.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.53	27	46.6 %	31	53.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.80	2	20.0	8	80.0
Turnagain	101	0.45	56	55.4	45	44.6
Turnagain Arm	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4
University Area	43	0.47	23	53.5	20	46.5
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.69	5	31.3 %	11	68.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 1.61. Other Strategies for Self-protection

### Table 1.61a. Other Strategies for Self-protection: Summary

Question 11k. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Other (please specify)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,624	91.6 %	91.6 %
Yes	148	8.4	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 1.61b. Other Strategies for Self-protection: Summary by Community Council

Question 11k. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Other (please specify)**

**Anchorage average: 0.08**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	8	Mountain View	48	0.10	43	5
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	8	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.10	144	16
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.17	34	7	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	5
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	7	Russian Jack Park	83	0.11	74	9
Chugiak	34	0.15	29	5	Sand Lake	103	0.07	96	7
Downtown	38	0.08	35	3	Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	4
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	6	South Addition	47	0.13	41	6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.12	110	15
Fairview	70	0.07	65	5	Taku/Campbell	58	0.03	56	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	101	0.06	95	6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	4	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 1.61c. Other Strategies for Self-protection: Detail**

Question 11k. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.

**Other (please specify)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>1,624</b>	<b>91.6 %</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>8.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	93.5 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	84.3	8	15.7
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.17	34	82.9	7	17.1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
Chugiak	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Downtown	38	0.08	35	92.1	3	7.9
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	89.7	6	10.3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.07	65	92.9	5	7.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	94.2	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.10	43	89.6	5	10.4
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	160	0.10	144	90.0 %	16	10.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	90.4	5	9.6
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.11	74	89.2	9	10.8
Sand Lake	103	0.07	96	93.2 %	7	6.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
South Addition	47	0.13	41	87.2	6	12.8
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.12	110	88.0	15	12.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.03	56	96.6 %	2	3.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.06	95	94.1	6	5.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they take this precaution to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

*Intentionally left blank.*


## **Part 2. Social Activities and Organizations**

## Table 2.01. Borrowing from or Loaning to Neighbors

**Table 2.01a. Borrowing from or Loaning to Neighbors: Summary**

Question 13a. How often do you borrow something from or loan something to a neighbor?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Never	700	39.5 %	39.5 %
< once a month	760	42.9	82.4
Monthly	207	11.7	94.1
Weekly	83	4.7	98.8
Daily	6	0.3	99.1
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,756</b>	<b>99.1 %</b>	
Missing	16	0.9	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.01b. Borrowing from or Loaning to Neighbors: Summary by Community Council**

Question 13a. How often do you borrow something from or loan something to a neighbor?

Anchorage average: 0.82

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Never	Daily		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Never	Daily
Abbott Loop	123	0.82	47	0	Mountain View	48	0.65	25	0
Airport Heights	51	0.92	19	0	North Star	43	0.65	22	0
Basher	9	1.00	3	0	Northeast	160	0.64	80	0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	1.02	8	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.79	13	0
Bear Valley	4	1.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.73	22	0
Birchwood	14	0.57	7	0	Rogers Park	23	1.17	6	0
Campbell Park	42	0.57	21	0	Russian Jack Park	83	0.48	52	0
Chugiak	34	1.03	9	0	Sand Lake	101	0.82	36	0
Downtown	38	0.95	14	1	Scenic Foothills	41	0.78	16	0
Eagle River	56	0.82	20	0	South Addition	47	0.72	21	0
Eagle River Valley	54	1.06	17	2	South Fork	21	0.95	7	0
Elmendorf	15	1.13	3	0	Spenard	125	0.86	50	1
Fairview	68	0.60	37	0	Taku/Campbell	57	0.98	17	0
Fort Richardson	10	1.30	1	0	Tudor Area	10	1.00	1	0
Government Hill	59	0.95	22	0	Turnagain	99	0.89	39	1
Hillside East	13	1.00	3	0	Turnagain Arm	28	1.39	4	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.91	22	0	University Area	43	1.05	14	0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.71	13	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	0.57	9	0

1. 16 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more frequent borrowing and lending between neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Never=0; Less than once a month=1; Monthly=2; Weekly=3; Daily=4.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 2.01c. Borrowing from or Loaning to Neighbors: Detail**

*Question 13a. How often do you borrow something from or loan something to a neighbor?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Never		Less than once a month		Monthly		Weekly		Daily	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,756</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>39.9 %</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>43.3 %</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>11.8 %</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>4.7 %</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	0.82	47	38.2 %	56	45.5 %	15	12.2 %	5	4.1 %	0	0.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.92	19	37.3	21	41.2	7	13.7	4	7.8	0	0.0
Basher	9	1.00	3	33.3	4	44.4	1	11.1	1	11.1	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	1.02	8	19.5	26	63.4	5	12.2	2	4.9	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	1.50	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.57	7	50.0 %	6	42.9 %	1	7.1 %	0	0.0 %	0	0.0
Campbell Park	42	0.57	21	50.0	19	45.2	1	2.4	1	2.4	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	1.03	9	26.5	18	52.9	4	11.8	3	8.8	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.95	14	36.8	15	39.5	7	18.4	1	2.6	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	0.82	20	35.7	27	48.2	8	14.3	1	1.8	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	1.06	17	31.5 %	24	44.4 %	8	14.8 %	3	5.6 %	2	3.7
Elmendorf	15	1.13	3	20.0	8	53.3	3	20.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
Fairview	68	0.60	37	54.4	24	35.3	4	5.9	3	4.4	0	0.0
Fort Richardson	10	1.30	1	10.0	6	60.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.95	22	37.3	22	37.3	11	18.6	4	6.8	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	1.00	3	23.1 %	8	61.5 %	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.91	22	31.9	33	47.8	12	17.4	2	2.9	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.71	13	38.2	19	55.9	1	2.9	1	2.9	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.65	25	52.1	18	37.5	2	4.2	3	6.3	0	0.0
North Star	43	0.65	22	51.2	16	37.2	3	7.0	2	4.7	0	0.0
Northeast	160	0.64	80	50.0 %	60	37.5 %	18	11.3 %	2	1.3 %	0	0.0
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.79	13	46.4	10	35.7	3	10.7	2	7.1	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.73	22	43.1	23	45.1	4	7.8	2	3.9	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	1.17	6	26.1	9	39.1	6	26.1	2	8.7	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.48	52	62.7	24	28.9	5	6.0	2	2.4	0	0.0
Sand Lake	101	0.82	36	35.6 %	52	51.5 %	8	7.9 %	5	5.0 %	0	0.0
Scenic Foothills	41	0.78	16	39.0	19	46.3	5	12.2	1	2.4	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.72	21	44.7	19	40.4	6	12.8	1	2.1	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.95	7	33.3	9	42.9	4	19.0	1	4.8	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.86	50	40.0	52	41.6	15	12.0	7	5.6	1	0.8
Taku/Campbell	57	0.98	17	29.8 %	27	47.4 %	10	17.5 %	3	5.3 %	0	0.0
Tudor Area	10	1.00	1	10.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	99	0.89	39	39.4	41	41.4	11	11.1	7	7.1	1	1.0
Turnagain Arm	28	1.39	4	14.3	13	46.4	8	28.6	2	7.1	1	3.6
University Area	43	1.05	14	32.6	18	41.9	6	14.0	5	11.6	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	0.57	9	64.3 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	0	0.0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more frequent borrowing and lending between neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Never=0; Less than once a month=1; Monthly=2; Weekly=3; Daily=4.

2. 16 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 2.02. Visiting with Neighbors

**Table 2.02a. Visiting with Neighbors: Summary**

Question 13b. How often do you visit with a neighbor, out in the neighborhood or in one of your homes?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Never	271	15.3 %	15.3 %
< once a month	478	27.0	42.3
Monthly	328	18.5	60.8
Weekly	527	29.7	90.5
Daily	151	8.5	99.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,755</b>	<b>99.0 %</b>	
Missing	17	1.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.02b. Visiting with Neighbors: Summary by Community Council**

Question 13b. How often do you visit with a neighbor, out in the neighborhood or in one of your homes?

Anchorage average: 1.89

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Never	Daily		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Never	Daily
Abbott Loop	123	1.87	17	8	Mountain View	48	1.60	13	3
Airport Heights	51	1.86	10	5	North Star	42	1.40	10	0
Basher	9	2.33	0	0	Northeast	158	1.63	37	9
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.12	1	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.11	3	2
Bear Valley	4	2.25	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	1.69	7	3
Birchwood	14	1.64	1	1	Rogers Park	23	2.39	0	1
Campbell Park	42	1.60	12	4	Russian Jack Park	83	1.33	25	4
Chugiak	34	2.12	2	1	Sand Lake	102	1.97	15	8
Downtown	38	1.79	8	3	Scenic Foothills	41	2.10	4	3
Eagle River	56	2.09	7	7	South Addition	47	2.06	5	4
Eagle River Valley	54	2.00	7	5	South Fork	21	1.90	3	0
Elmendorf	15	2.47	0	3	Spenard	124	1.78	23	11
Fairview	67	1.52	17	7	Taku/Campbell	58	2.26	4	6
Fort Richardson	11	2.18	0	1	Tudor Area	10	2.10	1	0
Government Hill	58	1.90	9	7	Turnagain	100	2.15	13	12
Hillside East	13	1.92	2	0	Turnagain Arm	28	2.68	0	9
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.22	4	10	University Area	43	2.23	6	9
Mid-Hillside	34	1.59	5	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	2.07	0	2

1. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more frequent visiting with neighbors. Values are assigned as follows: Never=0; Less than once a month=1; Monthly=2; Weekly=3; Daily=4.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.



**Table 2.02c. Visiting with Neighbors: Detail**

*Question 13b. How often do you visit with a neighbor, out in the neighborhood or in one of your homes?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Never		Less than once a month		Monthly		Weekly		Daily	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,755</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>15.4 %</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>27.2 %</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>18.7 %</b>	<b>527</b>	<b>30.0 %</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>8.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	1.87	17	13.8 %	35	28.5 %	26	21.1 %	37	30.1 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	51	1.86	10	19.6	11	21.6	11	21.6	14	27.5	5	9.8
Basher	9	2.33	0	0.0	2	22.2	2	22.2	5	55.6	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.12	1	2.4	13	31.7	10	24.4	14	34.1	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	2.25	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	1.64	1	7.1 %	5	35.7 %	7	50.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	7.1
Campbell Park	42	1.60	12	28.6	11	26.2	5	11.9	10	23.8	4	9.5
Chugiak	34	2.12	2	5.9	10	29.4	5	14.7	16	47.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	1.79	8	21.1	9	23.7	7	18.4	11	28.9	3	7.9
Eagle River	56	2.09	7	12.5	14	25.0	9	16.1	19	33.9	7	12.5
Eagle River Valley	54	2.00	7	13.0 %	14	25.9 %	10	18.5 %	18	33.3 %	5	9.3
Elmendorf	15	2.47	0	0.0	5	33.3	1	6.7	6	40.0	3	20.0
Fairview	67	1.52	17	25.4	23	34.3	9	13.4	11	16.4	7	10.4
Fort Richardson	11	2.18	0	0.0	4	36.4	2	18.2	4	36.4	1	9.1
Government Hill	58	1.90	9	15.5	18	31.0	8	13.8	16	27.6	7	12.1
Hillside East	13	1.92	2	15.4 %	3	23.1 %	2	15.4 %	6	46.2 %	0	0.0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.22	4	5.8	17	24.6	18	26.1	20	29.0	10	14.5
Mid-Hillside	34	1.59	5	14.7	14	41.2	5	14.7	10	29.4	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	1.60	13	27.1	12	25.0	7	14.6	13	27.1	3	6.3
North Star	42	1.40	10	23.8	16	38.1	5	11.9	11	26.2	0	0.0
Northeast	158	1.63	37	23.4 %	42	26.6 %	31	19.6 %	39	24.7 %	9	5.7
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.11	3	10.7	7	25.0	4	14.3	12	42.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	1.69	7	13.5	19	36.5	12	23.1	11	21.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	2.39	0	0.0	5	21.7	5	21.7	12	52.2	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	1.33	25	30.1	30	36.1	8	9.6	16	19.3	4	4.8
Sand Lake	102	1.97	15	14.7 %	22	21.6 %	24	23.5 %	33	32.4 %	8	7.8
Scenic Foothills	41	2.10	4	9.8	8	19.5	12	29.3	14	34.1	3	7.3
South Addition	47	2.06	5	10.6	12	25.5	9	19.1	17	36.2	4	8.5
South Fork	21	1.90	3	14.3	4	19.0	6	28.6	8	38.1	0	0.0
Spenard	124	1.78	23	18.5	38	30.6	17	13.7	35	28.2	11	8.9
Taku/Campbell	58	2.26	4	6.9 %	11	19.0 %	15	25.9 %	22	37.9 %	6	10.3
Tudor Area	10	2.10	1	10.0	1	10.0	4	40.0	4	40.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	100	2.15	13	13.0	22	22.0	14	14.0	39	39.0	12	12.0
Turnagain Arm	28	2.68	0	0.0	6	21.4	6	21.4	7	25.0	9	32.1
University Area	43	2.23	6	14.0	8	18.6	8	18.6	12	27.9	9	20.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	2.07	0	0.0 %	6	42.9 %	3	21.4 %	3	21.4 %	2	14.3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more frequent visiting with neighbors.

Values are assigned as follows: Never=0; Less than once a month=1; Monthly=2; Weekly=3; Daily=4.


2. 17 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 2.03. Knowing Neighbors by Sight or Name

### Table 2.03a. Knowing Neighbors by Sight or Name: Summary

Question 13c. How many of your neighbors would you say that you know by sight or by name?



### Table 2.03b. Knowing Neighbors by Sight or Name: Summary by Community Council

Question 13c. How many of your neighbors would you say that you know by sight or by name?

Anchorage average: 2.03

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	All or almost all		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	All or almost all
Abbott Loop	123	2.04	1	9	Mountain View	47	1.51	4	0
Airport Heights	50	1.84	1	1	North Star	43	1.84	2	2
Basher	9	2.22	0	0	Northeast	160	1.88	8	8
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.29	0	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.96	0	0
Bear Valley	4	2.50	0	1	Rabbit Creek	52	2.40	1	6
Birchwood	14	2.21	0	1	Rogers Park	23	2.26	0	0
Campbell Park	42	1.69	2	0	Russian Jack Park	83	1.77	3	4
Chugiak	34	2.35	0	3	Sand Lake	102	2.05	6	8
Downtown	38	2.16	2	4	Scenic Foothills	41	2.02	0	2
Eagle River	56	2.13	2	3	South Addition	47	2.02	1	1
Eagle River Valley	54	2.24	2	6	South Fork	21	1.95	0	2
Elmendorf	15	2.00	0	0	Spenard	123	1.89	4	9
Fairview	68	1.69	6	6	Taku/Campbell	58	2.26	0	4
Fort Richardson	11	1.91	0	0	Tudor Area	10	2.30	0	0
Government Hill	59	1.98	0	0	Turnagain	100	2.11	2	8
Hillside East	13	2.54	0	2	Turnagain Arm	28	2.75	0	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.35	1	10	University Area	43	1.91	2	0
Mid-Hillside	34	2.03	2	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.00	0	1

1. 14 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more social ties in the neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: None=0; One or two=1; Several=2; The majority=3; All or almost all=4.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 2.03c. Knowing Neighbors by Sight or Name: Detail**

Question 13c. How many of your neighbors would you say that you know by sight or by name?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			None		One or two		Several		The majority		All or almost all	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,758</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3.0 %</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>22.2 %</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>50.5 %</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>18.0 %</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	2.04	1	0.8 %	27	22.0 %	70	56.9 %	16	13.0 %	9	7.3 %
Airport Heights	50	1.84	1	2.0	15	30.0	26	52.0	7	14.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	2.22	0	0.0	1	11.1	5	55.6	3	33.3	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.29	0	0.0	7	17.1	20	48.8	9	22.0	5	12.2
Bear Valley	4	2.50	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	2.21	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	8	57.1 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	1.69	2	4.8	14	33.3	21	50.0	5	11.9	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	2.35	0	0.0	6	17.6	13	38.2	12	35.3	3	8.8
Downtown	38	2.16	2	5.3	7	18.4	16	42.1	9	23.7	4	10.5
Eagle River	56	2.13	2	3.6	9	16.1	28	50.0	14	25.0	3	5.4
Eagle River Valley	54	2.24	2	3.7 %	4	7.4 %	33	61.1 %	9	16.7 %	6	11.1 %
Elmendorf	15	2.00	0	0.0	2	13.3	11	73.3	2	13.3	0	0.0
Fairview	68	1.69	6	8.8	24	35.3	29	42.6	3	4.4	6	8.8
Fort Richardson	11	1.91	0	0.0	3	27.3	6	54.5	2	18.2	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	1.98	0	0.0	14	23.7	32	54.2	13	22.0	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	2.54	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	4	30.8 %	5	38.5 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.35	1	1.4	10	14.5	32	46.4	16	23.2	10	14.5
Mid-Hillside	34	2.03	2	5.9	5	14.7	19	55.9	6	17.6	2	5.9
Mountain View	47	1.51	4	8.5	16	34.0	26	55.3	1	2.1	0	0.0
North Star	43	1.84	2	4.7	11	25.6	24	55.8	4	9.3	2	4.7
Northeast	160	1.88	8	5.0 %	42	26.3 %	80	50.0 %	22	13.8 %	8	5.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.96	0	0.0	8	28.6	13	46.4	7	25.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	2.40	1	1.9	5	9.6	24	46.2	16	30.8	6	11.5
Rogers Park	23	2.26	0	0.0	2	8.7	13	56.5	8	34.8	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	83	1.77	3	3.6	29	34.9	39	47.0	8	9.6	4	4.8
Sand Lake	102	2.05	6	5.9 %	22	21.6 %	43	42.2 %	23	22.5 %	8	7.8 %
Scenic Foothills	41	2.02	0	0.0	8	19.5	26	63.4	5	12.2	2	4.9
South Addition	47	2.02	1	2.1	10	21.3	24	51.1	11	23.4	1	2.1
South Fork	21	1.95	0	0.0	7	33.3	10	47.6	2	9.5	2	9.5
Spenard	123	1.89	4	3.3	34	27.6	66	53.7	10	8.1	9	7.3
Taku/Campbell	58	2.26	0	0.0 %	9	15.5 %	29	50.0 %	16	27.6 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	2.30	0	0.0	2	20.0	3	30.0	5	50.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	100	2.11	2	2.0	18	18.0	55	55.0	17	17.0	8	8.0
Turnagain Arm	28	2.75	0	0.0	2	7.1	7	25.0	15	53.6	4	14.3
University Area	43	1.91	2	4.7	8	18.6	25	58.1	8	18.6	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.00	0	0.0 %	5	33.3 %	6	40.0 %	3	20.0 %	1	6.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more social ties in the neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: None=0; One or two=1; Several=2; The majority=3; All or almost all=4.


2. 14 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 2.04. Friends and Relatives in the Neighborhood

**Table 2.04a. Friends and Relatives in the Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 13d. Not counting those who live with you, how many friends and relatives do you have in your neighborhood?



**Table 2.04b. Friends and Relatives in the Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 13d. Not counting those who live with you, how many friends and relatives do you have in your neighborhood?

**Anchorage average: 1.44**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	Ten or more		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	None	Ten or more
Abbott Loop	123	1.47	33	18	Mountain View	48	1.31	13	1
Airport Heights	51	1.43	13	5	North Star	43	1.07	13	0
Basher	9	2.33	0	2	Northeast	160	1.26	50	10
Bayshore/Klatt	41	1.61	9	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.68	5	5
Bear Valley	4	1.00	1	0	Rabbit Creek	52	1.40	14	4
Birchwood	14	1.21	5	1	Rogers Park	23	2.17	3	7
Campbell Park	42	0.90	21	1	Russian Jack Park	83	1.11	30	5
Chugiak	34	1.91	7	8	Sand Lake	102	1.40	26	12
Downtown	38	1.18	11	1	Scenic Foothills	41	1.34	8	3
Eagle River	56	1.55	14	5	South Addition	47	1.74	7	5
Eagle River Valley	53	1.87	9	9	South Fork	21	1.57	6	4
Elmendorf	15	1.67	1	2	Spenard	124	1.28	34	9
Fairview	68	1.07	26	5	Taku/Campbell	58	1.52	14	8
Fort Richardson	11	1.82	2	2	Tudor Area	10	2.30	3	4
Government Hill	59	1.51	15	3	Turnagain	100	1.52	28	12
Hillside East	13	1.69	3	2	Turnagain Arm	28	2.82	3	14
Huffman/O'Malley	69	1.45	17	7	University Area	43	1.47	13	8
Mid-Hillside	34	1.29	8	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.13	4	1

1. 12 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more social ties in the neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: None=0; One to three=1; Four to six=2; Seven to nine=3; Ten or more=4.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 2.04c. Friends and Relatives in the Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 13d. Not counting those who live with you, how many friends and relatives do you have in your neighborhood?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			None		One to three		Four to six		Seven to nine		Ten or more	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,760</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>26.6 %</b>	<b>590</b>	<b>33.5 %</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>19.7 %</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>9.4 %</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>10.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	1.47	33	26.8 %	44	35.8 %	19	15.4 %	9	7.3 %	18	14.6 %
Airport Heights	51	1.43	13	25.5	18	35.3	10	19.6	5	9.8	5	9.8
Basher	9	2.33	0	0.0	3	33.3	2	22.2	2	22.2	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	1.61	9	22.0	12	29.3	10	24.4	6	14.6	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	1.00	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	1.21	5	35.7 %	4	28.6 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.90	21	50.0	11	26.2	4	9.5	5	11.9	1	2.4
Chugiak	34	1.91	7	20.6	10	29.4	4	11.8	5	14.7	8	23.5
Downtown	38	1.18	11	28.9	11	28.9	15	39.5	0	0.0	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	1.55	14	25.0	16	28.6	12	21.4	9	16.1	5	8.9
Eagle River Valley	53	1.87	9	17.0 %	16	30.2 %	10	18.9 %	9	17.0 %	9	17.0 %
Elmendorf	15	1.67	1	6.7	8	53.3	3	20.0	1	6.7	2	13.3
Fairview	68	1.07	26	38.2	24	35.3	10	14.7	3	4.4	5	7.4
Fort Richardson	11	1.82	2	18.2	2	18.2	5	45.5	0	0.0	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	1.51	15	25.4	15	25.4	16	27.1	10	16.9	3	5.1
Hillside East	13	1.69	3	23.1 %	5	38.5 %	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	1.45	17	24.6	25	36.2	13	18.8	7	10.1	7	10.1
Mid-Hillside	34	1.29	8	23.5	13	38.2	10	29.4	1	2.9	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	1.31	13	27.1	18	37.5	7	14.6	9	18.8	1	2.1
North Star	43	1.07	13	30.2	20	46.5	4	9.3	6	14.0	0	0.0
Northeast	160	1.26	50	31.3 %	51	31.9 %	36	22.5 %	13	8.1 %	10	6.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.68	5	17.9	11	39.3	5	17.9	2	7.1	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	52	1.40	14	26.9	18	34.6	9	17.3	7	13.5	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	2.17	3	13.0	5	21.7	7	30.4	1	4.3	7	30.4
Russian Jack Park	83	1.11	30	36.1	29	34.9	14	16.9	5	6.0	5	6.0
Sand Lake	102	1.40	26	25.5 %	38	37.3 %	21	20.6 %	5	4.9 %	12	11.8 %
Scenic Foothills	41	1.34	8	19.5	18	43.9	11	26.8	1	2.4	3	7.3
South Addition	47	1.74	7	14.9	17	36.2	9	19.1	9	19.1	5	10.6
South Fork	21	1.57	6	28.6	6	28.6	4	19.0	1	4.8	4	19.0
Spenard	124	1.28	34	27.4	50	40.3	20	16.1	11	8.9	9	7.3
Taku/Campbell	58	1.52	14	24.1 %	18	31.0 %	16	27.6 %	2	3.4 %	8	13.8 %
Tudor Area	10	2.30	3	30.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	2	20.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	100	1.52	28	28.0	27	27.0	22	22.0	11	11.0	12	12.0
Turnagain Arm	28	2.82	3	10.7	3	10.7	4	14.3	4	14.3	14	50.0
University Area	43	1.47	13	30.2	14	32.6	7	16.3	1	2.3	8	18.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.13	4	26.7 %	7	46.7 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more social ties in the neighborhood. Values are assigned as follows: None=0; One to three=1; Four to six=2; Seven to nine=3; Ten or more=4.
2. 12 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 2.05. Length of Residence in Current Home

### Table 2.05a. Length of Residence in Current Home: Summary

Question 14. In what year did you move to your current home?

[Answers were used to calculate length of residence in current home.]



### Table 2.05b. Length of Residence in Current Home: Summary by Community Council

Question 14. In what year did you move to your current home?

[Answers were used to calculate length of residence in current home.]

Anchorage average: 10.16 years

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	5 years or less	More than 25 years		N <sup>1</sup>	Average (years) <sup>2</sup>	5 years or less	More than 25 years
Abbott Loop	121	5.26	65	5	Mountain View	46	7.67	22	6
Airport Heights	50	7.34	22	6	North Star	41	6.44	22	5
Basher	9	9.22	4	2	Northeast	153	6.72	76	14
Bayshore/Klatt	41	6.00	19	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	5.39	15	2
Bear Valley	4	8.00	2	0	Rabbit Creek	52	7.77	25	8
Birchwood	14	7.07	5	0	Rogers Park	23	12.13	4	5
Campbell Park	41	3.63	26	1	Russian Jack Park	82	6.43	40	5
Chugiak	33	8.76	12	2	Sand Lake	101	8.49	38	11
Downtown	38	8.26	13	1	Scenic Foothills	41	6.07	20	2
Eagle River	55	9.00	23	7	South Addition	46	7.59	20	7
Eagle River Valley	55	7.20	24	5	South Fork	21	5.43	11	1
Elmendorf	15	0.40	14	0	Spenard	124	9.02	53	17
Fairview	69	6.33	36	6	Taku/Campbell	58	6.72	22	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	9	19.78	1	5
Government Hill	57	9.81	22	11	Turnagain	98	8.65	42	13
Hillside East	12	10.25	3	1	Turnagain Arm	27	6.96	11	3
Huffman/O'Malley	68	8.34	25	6	University Area	43	8.23	19	5
Mid-Hillside	34	8.56	13	5	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	9.33	4	1

1. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of years each resident lived in their current home. Higher numbers reflect more years spent in the same home.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 2.05c. Length of Residence in Current Home: Detail**

Question 14. In what year did you move to your current home?  
**[Answers were used to calculate length of residence in current home.]**

Row percentages.

	N	Average (years) <sup>1</sup>	Response category											
			5 years or less		6–10 years		11–15 years		16–20 years		21–25 years		More than 25 years	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,735</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>10.16</b>	<b>784</b>	<b>45.2 %</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>20.4 %</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>10.5 %</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>7.5 %</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>6.3 %</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>10.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>														
Abbott Loop	121	7.74	65	53.7 %	29	24.0 %	10	8.3 %	5	4.1 %	7	5.8 %	5	4.1 %
Airport Heights	50	9.94	22	44.0	13	26.0	4	8.0	2	4.0	3	6.0	6	12.0
Basher	9	12.44	4	44.4	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	8.13	19	46.3	10	24.4	6	14.6	2	4.9	3	7.3	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	11.00	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	8.64	5	35.7 %	5	35.7 %	1	7.1 %	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	6.07	26	63.4	7	17.1	6	14.6	1	2.4	0	0.0	1	2.4
Chugiak	33	10.79	12	36.4	7	21.2	4	12.1	3	9.1	5	15.2	2	6.1
Downtown	38	10.37	13	34.2	10	26.3	5	13.2	3	7.9	6	15.8	1	2.6
Eagle River	55	11.22	23	41.8	9	16.4	6	10.9	2	3.6	8	14.5	7	12.7
Eagle River Valley	55	9.29	24	43.6 %	15	27.3 %	2	3.6 %	6	10.9 %	3	5.5 %	5	9.1 %
Elmendorf	15	1.47	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	9.41	36	52.2	14	20.3	3	4.3	8	11.6	2	2.9	6	8.7
Fort Richardson	11	2.18	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	57	13.28	22	38.6	7	12.3	8	14.0	7	12.3	2	3.5	11	19.3
Hillside East	12	12.08	3	25.0 %	3	25.0 %	1	8.3 %	3	25.0 %	1	8.3 %	1	8.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	10.87	25	36.8	17	25.0	8	11.8	5	7.4	7	10.3	6	8.8
Mid-Hillside	34	11.03	13	38.2	8	23.5	4	11.8	2	5.9	2	5.9	5	14.7
Mountain View	46	10.74	22	47.8	9	19.6	2	4.3	4	8.7	3	6.5	6	13.0
North Star	41	10.37	22	53.7	8	19.5	3	7.3	1	2.4	2	4.9	5	12.2
Northeast	153	9.11	76	49.7 %	29	19.0 %	16	10.5 %	10	6.5 %	8	5.2 %	14	9.2 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	7.68	15	53.6	5	17.9	5	17.9	1	3.6	0	0.0	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	10.85	25	48.1	8	15.4	6	11.5	3	5.8	2	3.8	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	16.26	4	17.4	8	34.8	0	0.0	4	17.4	2	8.7	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	82	9.30	40	48.8	16	19.5	9	11.0	9	11.0	3	3.7	5	6.1
Sand Lake	101	11.16	38	37.6 %	22	21.8 %	13	12.9 %	10	9.9 %	7	6.9 %	11	10.9 %
Scenic Foothills	41	8.76	20	48.8	8	19.5	6	14.6	4	9.8	1	2.4	2	4.9
South Addition	46	11.17	20	43.5	10	21.7	7	15.2	1	2.2	1	2.2	7	15.2
South Fork	21	8.10	11	52.4	5	23.8	2	9.5	1	4.8	1	4.8	1	4.8
Spenard	124	12.49	53	42.7	17	13.7	12	9.7	13	10.5	12	9.7	17	13.7
Taku/Campbell	58	9.29	22	37.9 %	22	37.9 %	6	10.3 %	0	0.0 %	2	3.4 %	6	10.3 %
Tudor Area	9	30.44	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2	1	11.1	5	55.6
Turnagain	98	11.70	42	42.9	11	11.2	16	16.3	11	11.2	5	5.1	13	13.3
Turnagain Arm	27	8.67	11	40.7	7	25.9	5	18.5	1	3.7	0	0.0	3	11.1
University Area	43	10.30	19	44.2	8	18.6	3	7.0	4	9.3	4	9.3	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	11.60	4	26.7 %	4	26.7 %	3	20.0 %	1	6.7 %	2	13.3 %	1	6.7 %

1. Categories presented here are collapsed from raw numerical reports provided by respondents. Averages are calculated from the actual number of years each resident lived in their current home. Higher numbers reflect more years spent in the same home.

2. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 2.06. Membership in Religious Organization

**Table 2.06a. Membership in Religious Organization: Summary**

Question 15a. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **church, synagogue, mosque, temple, or other religious organization**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,000	56.4 %	56.4 %
Yes	772	43.6	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.06b. Membership in Religious Organization: Summary by Community Council**

Question 15a. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **church, synagogue, mosque, temple, or other religious organization**

Anchorage average: 0.44

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.45	68	56	Mountain View	48	0.42	28	20
Airport Heights	51	0.49	26	25	North Star	43	0.33	29	14
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.45	88	72
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.51	20	21	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.46	15	13
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.35	34	18
Birchwood	14	0.64	5	9	Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	11
Campbell Park	42	0.31	29	13	Russian Jack Park	83	0.47	44	39
Chugiak	34	0.56	15	19	Sand Lake	103	0.43	59	44
Downtown	38	0.47	20	18	Scenic Foothills	42	0.33	28	14
Eagle River	58	0.55	26	32	South Addition	47	0.43	27	20
Eagle River Valley	55	0.60	22	33	South Fork	21	0.48	11	10
Elmendorf	15	0.53	7	8	Spenard	125	0.38	78	47
Fairview	70	0.44	39	31	Taku/Campbell	58	0.47	31	27
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	5	6	Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	4
Government Hill	59	0.41	35	24	Turnagain	101	0.34	67	34
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	5	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.46	37	32	University Area	43	0.42	25	18
Mid-Hillside	34	0.59	14	20	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.38	10	6

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 2.06c. Membership in Religious Organization: Detail**

Question 15a. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. — **church, synagogue, mosque, temple, or other religious organization**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>56.4 %</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>43.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.45	68	54.8 %	56	45.2 %
Airport Heights	51	0.49	26	51.0	25	49.0
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.51	20	48.8	21	51.2
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.64	5	35.7 %	9	64.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.31	29	69.0	13	31.0
Chugiak	34	0.56	15	44.1	19	55.9
Downtown	38	0.47	20	52.6	18	47.4
Eagle River	58	0.55	26	44.8	32	55.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.60	22	40.0 %	33	60.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.53	7	46.7	8	53.3
Fairview	70	0.44	39	55.7	31	44.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	5	45.5	6	54.5
Government Hill	59	0.41	35	59.3	24	40.7
Hillside East	13	0.38	8	61.5 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.46	37	53.6	32	46.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.59	14	41.2	20	58.8
Mountain View	48	0.42	28	58.3	20	41.7
North Star	43	0.33	29	67.4	14	32.6
Northeast	160	0.45	88	55.0 %	72	45.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.46	15	53.6	13	46.4
Rabbit Creek	52	0.35	34	65.4	18	34.6
Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	52.2	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.47	44	53.0	39	47.0
Sand Lake	103	0.43	59	57.3 %	44	42.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.33	28	66.7	14	33.3
South Addition	47	0.43	27	57.4	20	42.6
South Fork	21	0.48	11	52.4	10	47.6
Spenard	125	0.38	78	62.4	47	37.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.47	31	53.4 %	27	46.6 %
Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	60.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	101	0.34	67	66.3	34	33.7
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
University Area	43	0.42	25	58.1	18	41.9
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.38	10	62.5 %	6	37.5 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 2.07. Membership in Local Political Organization

### Table 2.07a. Membership in Local Political Organization: Summary

Question 15b. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **local political organization**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,618	91.3 %	91.3 %
Yes	154	8.7	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 2.07b. Membership in Local Political Organization: Summary by Community Council

Question 15b. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **local political organization**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	8	Mountain View	48	0.08	44	4
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	5	North Star	43	0.07	40	3
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.09	145	15
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.12	36	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	1	Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	5
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	2	Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	10
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	0	South Addition	47	0.21	37	10
Eagle River Valley	55	0.07	51	4	South Fork	21	0.19	17	4
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.10	113	12
Fairview	70	0.11	62	8	Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	8	Turnagain	101	0.07	94	7
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.10	62	7	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.18	28	6	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 2.07c. Membership in Local Political Organization: Detail**

Question 15b. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. —

**local political organization**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,618</b>	<b>91.3 %</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>8.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	93.5 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.12	36	87.8	5	12.2
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.07	51	92.7 %	4	7.3 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.11	62	88.6	8	11.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	86.4	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.10	62	89.9	7	10.1
Mid-Hillside	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Mountain View	48	0.08	44	91.7	4	8.3
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	160	0.09	145	90.6 %	15	9.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	94.0	5	6.0
Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	90.3 %	10	9.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.21	37	78.7	10	21.3
South Fork	21	0.19	17	81.0	4	19.0
Spenard	125	0.10	113	90.4	12	9.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.07	94	93.1	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 2.08. Membership in Neighborhood Organization

**Table 2.08a. Membership in Neighborhood Organization: Summary**

Question 15c. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **block group, tenant association, or community council**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,528	86.2 %	86.2 %
Yes	244	13.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.08b. Membership in Neighborhood Organization: Summary by Community Council**

Question 15c. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **block group, tenant association, or community council**

Anchorage average: 0.14

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.12	109	15	Mountain View	48	0.04	46	2
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	8	North Star	43	0.12	38	5
Basher	9	0.44	5	4	Northeast	160	0.08	147	13
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.21	22	6
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.21	41	11
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	8
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	83	0.10	75	8
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	103	0.16	87	16
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	4
Eagle River	58	0.05	55	3	South Addition	47	0.19	38	9
Eagle River Valley	55	0.15	47	8	South Fork	21	0.19	17	4
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.08	115	10
Fairview	70	0.16	59	11	Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	11
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.50	5	5
Government Hill	59	0.24	45	14	Turnagain	101	0.10	91	10
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.17	57	12	University Area	43	0.19	35	8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.32	23	11	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 2.08c. Membership in Neighborhood Organization: Detail**

Question 15c. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. — **block group, tenant association, or community council**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>1,528</b>	<b>86.2 %</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>13.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.12	109	87.9 %	15	12.1 %
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	84.3	8	15.7
Basher	9	0.44	5	55.6	4	44.4
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	75.6	10	24.4
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.05	55	94.8	3	5.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.15	47	85.5 %	8	14.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	###	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.16	59	84.3	11	15.7
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.24	45	76.3	14	23.7
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.17	57	82.6	12	17.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.32	23	67.6	11	32.4
Mountain View	48	0.04	46	95.8	2	4.2
North Star	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Northeast	160	0.08	147	91.9 %	13	8.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.21	22	78.6	6	21.4
Rabbit Creek	52	0.21	41	78.8	11	21.2
Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	65.2	8	34.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.10	75	90.4	8	9.6
Sand Lake	103	0.16	87	84.5 %	16	15.5 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
South Addition	47	0.19	38	80.9	9	19.1
South Fork	21	0.19	17	81.0	4	19.0
Spenard	125	0.08	115	92.0	10	8.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	81.0 %	11	19.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.50	5	50.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	101	0.10	91	90.1	10	9.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.19	35	81.4	8	18.6
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.


## Table 2.09. Membership in Business or Civic Group

**Table 2.09a. Membership in Business or Civic Group: Summary**

Question 15d. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?

Check all that apply. — **business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, Elks/Moose Lodge, etc.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,546	87.2 %	87.2 %
Yes	226	12.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.09b. Membership in Business or Civic Group: Summary by Community Council**

Question 15d. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?

Check all that apply. — **business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, Elks/Moose Lodge, etc.**

Anchorage average: 0.13

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.10	112	12	Mountain View	48	0.06	45	3
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	8	North Star	43	0.12	38	5
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.06	150	10
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	8
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	3	Rogers Park	23	0.30	16	7
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.05	79	4
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	4	Sand Lake	103	0.17	86	17
Downtown	38	0.16	32	6	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	6	South Addition	47	0.13	41	6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	9	South Fork	21	0.19	17	4
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.10	113	12
Fairview	70	0.11	62	8	Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	11
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	8	Turnagain	101	0.16	85	16
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	21	7
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.17	57	12	University Area	43	0.12	38	5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.26	25	9	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 2.09c. Membership in Business or Civic Group: Detail**

Question 15d. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. —  
**business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce,  
 Rotary Club, Elks/Moose Lodge, etc.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>1,546</b>	<b>87.2 %</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>12.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.10	112	90.3 %	12	9.7 %
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	84.3	8	15.7
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	85.4	6	14.6
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	78.6 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Downtown	38	0.16	32	84.2	6	15.8
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	89.7	6	10.3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	83.6 %	9	16.4 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.11	62	88.6	8	11.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	86.4	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.17	57	82.6	12	17.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.26	25	73.5	9	26.5
Mountain View	48	0.06	45	93.8	3	6.3
North Star	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Northeast	160	0.06	150	93.8 %	10	6.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	84.6	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	0.30	16	69.6	7	30.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.05	79	95.2	4	4.8
Sand Lake	103	0.17	86	83.5 %	17	16.5 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.13	41	87.2	6	12.8
South Fork	21	0.19	17	81.0	4	19.0
Spenard	125	0.10	113	90.4	12	9.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	81.0 %	11	19.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	101	0.16	85	84.2	16	15.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
University Area	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 2.10. Membership in Ethnic or Nationality Club

**Table 2.10a. Membership in Ethnic or Nationality Club: Summary**

Question 15e. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,705	96.2 %	96.2 %
Yes	67	3.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.10b. Membership in Ethnic or Nationality Club: Summary by Community Council**

Question 15e. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage**

**Anchorage average: 0.04**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.02	121	3	Mountain View	48	0.00	48	0
Airport Heights	51	0.12	45	6	North Star	43	0.02	42	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.03	155	5
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	4
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	1	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	5
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	0	Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	3
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	0	South Addition	47	0.00	47	0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	1	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.04	120	5
Fairview	70	0.04	67	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	4	Turnagain	101	0.05	96	5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	3	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 2.10c. Membership in Ethnic or Nationality Club: Detail**

Question 15e. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. —  
**ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>1,705</b>	<b>96.2 %</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>3.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.02	121	97.6 %	3	2.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.12	45	88.2	6	11.8
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.07	13	92.9 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.00	58	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.04	67	95.7	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.07	55	93.2	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	95.7	3	4.3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	48	0.00	48	100.0	0	0.0
North Star	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Northeast	160	0.03	155	96.9 %	5	3.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	94.0	5	6.0
Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	97.1 %	3	2.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.00	47	100.0	0	0.0
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.04	120	96.0	5	4.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	98.3 %	1	1.7 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.05	96	95.0	5	5.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 2.11. Membership in Neighborhood Watch Group

**Table 2.11a. Membership in Neighborhood Watch Group: Summary**

Question 15f. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **neighborhood watch group**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,691	95.4 %	95.4 %
Yes	81	4.6	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 2.11b. Membership in Neighborhood Watch Group: Summary by Community Council**

Question 15f. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **neighborhood watch group**

Anchorage average: 0.05

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.08	114	10	Mountain View	48	0.00	48	0
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	4	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.06	150	10
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.00	42	0	Russian Jack Park	83	0.01	82	1
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	2	Sand Lake	103	0.07	96	7
Downtown	38	0.00	38	0	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	2	South Addition	47	0.02	46	1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	3	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.03	121	4
Fairview	70	0.10	63	7	Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	3	Turnagain	101	0.06	95	6
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	2	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	3	University Area	43	0.02	42	1
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	3	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 2.11c. Membership in Neighborhood Watch Group: Detail**

Question 15f. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. — **neighborhood watch group**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>1,691</b>	<b>95.4 %</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>4.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.08	114	91.9 %	10	8.1 %
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	100.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Downtown	38	0.00	38	100.0	0	0.0
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	96.6	2	3.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	94.5 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.10	63	90.0	7	10.0
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	94.9	3	5.1
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	95.7	3	4.3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Mountain View	48	0.00	48	100.0	0	0.0
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	160	0.06	150	93.8 %	10	6.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.01	82	98.8	1	1.2
Sand Lake	103	0.07	96	93.2 %	7	6.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.02	46	97.9	1	2.1
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.03	121	96.8	4	3.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	98.3 %	1	1.7 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.06	95	94.1	6	5.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 2.12. Membership in Other Local Organization

### Table 2.12a. Membership in Other Local Organization: Summary

Question 15g. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **some other local organization (please specify)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,542	87.0 %	87.0 %
Yes	230	13.0	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 2.12b. Membership in Other Local Organization: Summary by Community Council

Question 15g. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations?  
Check all that apply. — **some other local organization (please specify)**

Anchorage average: 0.13

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.11	110	14	Mountain View	48	0.19	39	9
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	5	North Star	43	0.16	36	7
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.11	143	17
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.17	34	7	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.13	45	7
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	42	0.12	37	5	Russian Jack Park	83	0.11	74	9
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	6	Sand Lake	103	0.11	92	11
Downtown	38	0.26	28	10	Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	7
Eagle River	58	0.12	51	7	South Addition	47	0.11	42	5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.20	44	11	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	3	Spenard	125	0.09	114	11
Fairview	70	0.09	64	6	Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.17	84	17
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.11	25	3
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	17	University Area	43	0.07	40	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	4	Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 2.12c. Membership in Other Local Organization: Detail**

Question 15g. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following local organizations? Check all that apply. — **some other local organization (please specify)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>1,542</b>	<b>87.0 %</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>13.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.11	110	88.7 %	14	11.3 %
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.17	34	82.9	7	17.1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.12	37	88.1	5	11.9
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Downtown	38	0.26	28	73.7	10	26.3
Eagle River	58	0.12	51	87.9	7	12.1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.20	44	80.0 %	11	20.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	70	0.09	64	91.4	6	8.6
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	75.4	17	24.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Mountain View	48	0.19	39	81.3	9	18.8
North Star	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Northeast	160	0.11	143	89.4 %	17	10.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.13	45	86.5	7	13.5
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.11	74	89.2	9	10.8
Sand Lake	103	0.11	92	89.3 %	11	10.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
South Addition	47	0.11	42	89.4	5	10.6
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.09	114	91.2	11	8.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.17	84	83.2	17	16.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.11	25	89.3	3	10.7
University Area	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Missing <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting participation in this kind of organization. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 2.13. History of Volunteering within Past Year

### Table 2.13a. History of Volunteering within Past Year: Summary

Question 16a. In the past year have you given your time, without pay, to any charitable, civic, religious, or other volunteer organization?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	654	36.9 %	36.9 %
Yes	1,012	57.1	94.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,666</b>	<b>94.0 %</b>	
Missing	106	6.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 2.13b. History of Volunteering within Past Year: Summary by Community Council

Question 16a. In the past year have you given your time, without pay, to any charitable, civic, religious, or other volunteer organization?

Anchorage average: 0.61

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	117	0.62	44	73	Mountain View	43	0.44	24	19
Airport Heights	49	0.65	17	32	North Star	38	0.61	15	23
Basher	9	0.67	3	6	Northeast	153	0.60	61	92
Bayshore/Klatt	39	0.59	16	23	Old Seward/Oceanview	25	0.60	10	15
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	3	Rabbit Creek	48	0.67	16	32
Birchwood	13	0.69	4	9	Rogers Park	22	0.86	3	19
Campbell Park	40	0.58	17	23	Russian Jack Park	76	0.51	37	39
Chugiak	32	0.72	9	23	Sand Lake	96	0.64	35	61
Downtown	36	0.67	12	24	Scenic Foothills	36	0.58	15	21
Eagle River	53	0.64	19	34	South Addition	46	0.65	16	30
Eagle River Valley	54	0.63	20	34	South Fork	18	0.78	4	14
Elmendorf	14	0.71	4	10	Spenard	119	0.50	59	60
Fairview	63	0.52	30	33	Taku/Campbell	56	0.57	24	32
Fort Richardson	11	0.73	3	8	Tudor Area	9	0.78	2	7
Government Hill	57	0.54	26	31	Turnagain	98	0.59	40	58
Hillside East	12	0.67	4	8	Turnagain Arm	27	0.70	8	19
Huffman/O'Malley	66	0.70	20	46	University Area	40	0.63	15	25
Mid-Hillside	32	0.69	10	22	Missing <sup>3</sup>	15	0.27	11	4

1. 106 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting volunteer activities. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 2.13c. History of Volunteering within Past Year: Detail**

Question 16a. In the past year have you given your time, without pay, to any charitable, civic, religious, or other volunteer organization?

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total*</b>	<b>1,666</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>654</b>	<b>39.3 %</b>	<b>1,012</b>	<b>60.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	117	0.62	44	37.6 %	73	62.4 %
Airport Heights	49	0.65	17	34.7	32	65.3
Basher	9	0.67	3	33.3	6	66.7
Bayshore/Klatt	39	0.59	16	41.0	23	59.0
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	13	0.69	4	30.8 %	9	69.2 %
Campbell Park	40	0.58	17	42.5	23	57.5
Chugiak	32	0.72	9	28.1	23	71.9
Downtown	36	0.67	12	33.3	24	66.7
Eagle River	53	0.64	19	35.8	34	64.2
Eagle River Valley	54	0.63	20	37.0 %	34	63.0 %
Elmendorf	14	0.71	4	28.6	10	71.4
Fairview	63	0.52	30	47.6	33	52.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.73	3	27.3	8	72.7
Government Hill	57	0.54	26	45.6	31	54.4
Hillside East	12	0.67	4	33.3 %	8	66.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	66	0.70	20	30.3	46	69.7
Mid-Hillside	32	0.69	10	31.3	22	68.8
Mountain View	43	0.44	24	55.8	19	44.2
North Star	38	0.61	15	39.5	23	60.5
Northeast	153	0.60	61	39.9 %	92	60.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	25	0.60	10	40.0	15	60.0
Rabbit Creek	48	0.67	16	33.3	32	66.7
Rogers Park	22	0.86	3	13.6	19	86.4
Russian Jack Park	76	0.51	37	48.7	39	51.3
Sand Lake	96	0.64	35	36.5 %	61	63.5 %
Scenic Foothills	36	0.58	15	41.7	21	58.3
South Addition	46	0.65	16	34.8	30	65.2
South Fork	18	0.78	4	22.2	14	77.8
Spenard	119	0.50	59	49.6	60	50.4
Taku/Campbell	56	0.57	24	42.9 %	32	57.1 %
Tudor Area	9	0.78	2	22.2	7	77.8
Turnagain	98	0.59	40	40.8	58	59.2
Turnagain Arm	27	0.70	8	29.6	19	70.4
University Area	40	0.63	15	37.5	25	62.5
Missing <sup>3</sup>	15	0.27	11	73.3 %	4	26.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting volunteer activities. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 106 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 2.14. Time Spent in Volunteer Activities

### Table 2.14a. Time Spent in Volunteer Activities: Summary

Question 16b. If you have volunteered time, how many hours per month would you estimate you volunteer? (If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)



### Table 2.14b. Time Spent in Volunteer Activities: Summary by Community Council

Question 16b. If you have volunteered time, how many hours per month would you estimate you volunteer? (If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)

Anchorage average: 2.01

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0–4 hours	20 hours or more		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	0–4 hours	20 hours or more
Abbott Loop	72	1.90	35	11	Mountain View	18	2.33	6	5
Airport Heights	31	2.29	10	8	North Star	18	2.28	7	5
Basher	6	2.00	2	1	Northeast	90	2.18	35	23
Bayshore/Klatt	22	2.09	9	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	16	2.06	8	4
Bear Valley	3	2.00	1	0	Rabbit Creek	33	1.67	19	3
Birchwood	10	1.60	7	1	Rogers Park	20	2.05	10	5
Campbell Park	23	2.30	9	7	Russian Jack Park	38	2.21	17	12
Chugiak	23	1.78	12	2	Sand Lake	61	1.84	29	9
Downtown	23	1.96	12	5	Scenic Foothills	20	2.25	9	6
Eagle River	34	1.53	23	3	South Addition	30	1.93	15	6
Eagle River Valley	36	2.03	19	9	South Fork	14	1.93	7	3
Elmendorf	10	1.80	4	0	Spenard	61	1.92	28	10
Fairview	32	1.69	13	0	Taku/Campbell	32	2.00	13	3
Fort Richardson	8	2.63	1	2	Tudor Area	8	1.75	5	1
Government Hill	32	2.13	12	7	Turnagain	57	2.12	24	10
Hillside East	8	1.75	4	1	Turnagain Arm	19	2.05	7	3
Huffman/O'Malley	47	2.04	17	6	University Area	24	2.63	5	8
Mid-Hillside	24	1.83	12	3	Missing <sup>3</sup>	4	1.75	2	0

1. 765 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more volunteer hours reported. Values are assigned as follows: 0–4 hours = 1; 5–10 hours = 2; 11–19 hours = 3; 20 hours or more = 4.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 12 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the



**Table 2.14c. Time Spent in Volunteer Activities: Detail**

*Question 16b. If you have volunteered time, how many hours per month would you estimate you volunteer? (If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			0–4 hours		5–10 hours		11–19 hours		20 hours or more	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,007</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>44.5 %</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>28.3 %</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>8.7 %</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>18.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	72	1.90	35	48.6 %	20	27.8 %	6	8.3 %	11	15.3 %
Airport Heights	31	2.29	10	32.3	10	32.3	3	9.7	8	25.8
Basher	6	2.00	2	33.3	3	50.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
Bayshore/Klatt	22	2.09	9	40.9	6	27.3	3	13.6	4	18.2
Bear Valley	3	2.00	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0
Birchwood	10	1.60	7	70.0 %	1	10.0 %	1	10.0 %	1	10.0 %
Campbell Park	23	2.30	9	39.1	5	21.7	2	8.7	7	30.4
Chugiak	23	1.78	12	52.2	6	26.1	3	13.0	2	8.7
Downtown	23	1.96	12	52.2	5	21.7	1	4.3	5	21.7
Eagle River	34	1.53	23	67.6	7	20.6	1	2.9	3	8.8
Eagle River Valley	36	2.03	19	52.8 %	6	16.7 %	2	5.6 %	9	25.0 %
Elmendorf	10	1.80	4	40.0	4	40.0	2	20.0	0	0.0
Fairview	32	1.69	13	40.6	16	50.0	3	9.4	0	0.0
Fort Richardson	8	2.63	1	12.5	3	37.5	2	25.0	2	25.0
Government Hill	32	2.13	12	37.5	11	34.4	2	6.3	7	21.9
Hillside East	8	1.75	4	50.0 %	3	37.5 %	0	0.0 %	1	12.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	47	2.04	17	36.2	17	36.2	7	14.9	6	12.8
Mid-Hillside	24	1.83	12	50.0	7	29.2	2	8.3	3	12.5
Mountain View	18	2.33	6	33.3	5	27.8	2	11.1	5	27.8
North Star	18	2.28	7	38.9	4	22.2	2	11.1	5	27.8
Northeast	90	2.18	35	38.9 %	27	30.0 %	5	5.6 %	23	25.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	16	2.06	8	50.0	3	18.8	1	6.3	4	25.0
Rabbit Creek	33	1.67	19	57.6	9	27.3	2	6.1	3	9.1
Rogers Park	20	2.05	10	50.0	4	20.0	1	5.0	5	25.0
Russian Jack Park	38	2.21	17	44.7	8	21.1	1	2.6	12	31.6
Sand Lake	61	1.84	29	47.5 %	22	36.1 %	1	1.6 %	9	14.8 %
Scenic Foothills	20	2.25	9	45.0	3	15.0	2	10.0	6	30.0
South Addition	30	1.93	15	50.0	8	26.7	1	3.3	6	20.0
South Fork	14	1.93	7	50.0	4	28.6	0	0.0	3	21.4
Spenard	61	1.92	28	45.9	20	32.8	3	4.9	10	16.4
Taku/Campbell	32	2.00	13	40.6 %	9	28.1 %	7	21.9 %	3	9.4 %
Tudor Area	8	1.75	5	62.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5
Turnagain	57	2.12	24	42.1	12	21.1	11	19.3	10	17.5
Turnagain Arm	19	2.05	7	36.8	7	36.8	2	10.5	3	15.8
University Area	24	2.63	5	20.8	7	29.2	4	16.7	8	33.3
Missing <sup>3</sup>	4	1.75	2	50.0 %	1	25.0 %	1	25.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more volunteer hours reported. Values are assigned as follows: 0–4 hours = 1; 5–10 hours = 2; 11–19 hours = 3; 20 hours or more = 4.

2. 765 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 12 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 2.15. Volunteering Provided to Neighborhood Youth

### Table 2.15a. Volunteering Provided to Neighborhood Youth: Summary

Question 16c. Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to neighborhood youth?  
(If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	606	34.2 %	34.2 %
Yes	370	20.9	55.1
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>55.1 %</b>	
Missing	796	44.9	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 2.15b. Volunteering Provided to Neighborhood Youth: Summary by Community Council

Question 16c. Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to neighborhood youth?  
(If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)

Anchorage average: 0.38

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	71	0.45	39	32	Mountain View	18	0.50	9	9
Airport Heights	31	0.35	20	11	North Star	20	0.20	16	4
Basher	6	0.33	4	2	Northeast	90	0.38	56	34
Bayshore/Klatt	22	0.23	17	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	16	0.44	9	7
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	31	0.35	20	11
Birchwood	10	0.40	6	4	Rogers Park	19	0.05	18	1
Campbell Park	24	0.21	19	5	Russian Jack Park	39	0.51	19	20
Chugiak	20	0.50	10	10	Sand Lake	56	0.38	35	21
Downtown	21	0.29	15	6	Scenic Foothills	20	0.45	11	9
Eagle River	30	0.37	19	11	South Addition	28	0.29	20	8
Eagle River Valley	34	0.44	19	15	South Fork	14	0.57	6	8
Elmendorf	10	0.70	3	7	Spenard	54	0.31	37	17
Fairview	35	0.37	22	13	Taku/Campbell	32	0.22	25	7
Fort Richardson	8	0.50	4	4	Tudor Area	7	0.29	5	2
Government Hill	29	0.31	20	9	Turnagain	56	0.41	33	23
Hillside East	8	0.25	6	2	Turnagain Arm	18	0.78	4	14
Huffman/O'Malley	45	0.38	28	17	University Area	24	0.25	18	6
Mid-Hillside	23	0.65	8	15	Missing <sup>3</sup>	4	0.25	3	1

1. 796 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this type of volunteer activity. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 12 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the

**Table 2.15c. Volunteering Provided to Neighborhood Youth:  
Detail**

*Question 16c. Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to neighborhood youth? (If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>976</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>62.1 %</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>37.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	71	0.45	39	54.9 %	32	45.1 %
Airport Heights	31	0.35	20	64.5	11	35.5
Basher	6	0.33	4	66.7	2	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	22	0.23	17	77.3	5	22.7
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	10	0.40	6	60.0 %	4	40.0 %
Campbell Park	24	0.21	19	79.2	5	20.8
Chugiak	20	0.50	10	50.0	10	50.0
Downtown	21	0.29	15	71.4	6	28.6
Eagle River	30	0.37	19	63.3	11	36.7
Eagle River Valley	34	0.44	19	55.9 %	15	44.1 %
Elmendorf	10	0.70	3	30.0	7	70.0
Fairview	35	0.37	22	62.9	13	37.1
Fort Richardson	8	0.50	4	50.0	4	50.0
Government Hill	29	0.31	20	69.0	9	31.0
Hillside East	8	0.25	6	75.0 %	2	25.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	45	0.38	28	62.2	17	37.8
Mid-Hillside	23	0.65	8	34.8	15	65.2
Mountain View	18	0.50	9	50.0	9	50.0
North Star	20	0.20	16	80.0	4	20.0
Northeast	90	0.38	56	62.2 %	34	37.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	16	0.44	9	56.3	7	43.8
Rabbit Creek	31	0.35	20	64.5	11	35.5
Rogers Park	19	0.05	18	94.7	1	5.3
Russian Jack Park	39	0.51	19	48.7	20	51.3
Sand Lake	56	0.38	35	62.5 %	21	37.5 %
Scenic Foothills	20	0.45	11	55.0	9	45.0
South Addition	28	0.29	20	71.4	8	28.6
South Fork	14	0.57	6	42.9	8	57.1
Spenard	54	0.31	37	68.5	17	31.5
Taku/Campbell	32	0.22	25	78.1 %	7	21.9 %
Tudor Area	7	0.29	5	71.4	2	28.6
Turnagain	56	0.41	33	58.9	23	41.1
Turnagain Arm	18	0.78	4	22.2	14	77.8
University Area	24	0.25	18	75.0	6	25.0
Missing <sup>3</sup>	4	0.25	3	75.0 %	1	25.0 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this type of volunteer activity. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 796 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 12 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 2.16. Volunteering Provided to Justice Issues

### Table 2.16a. Volunteering Provided to Justice Issues: Summary

Question 16d. Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to justice issues?  
(If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	800	45.1 %	45.1 %
Yes	172	9.7	54.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>972</b>	<b>54.9 %</b>	
Missing	800	45.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 2.16b. Volunteering Provided to Justice Issues: Summary by Community Council

Question 16d. Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to justice issues?  
(If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)

Anchorage average: 0.18

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	70	0.20	56	14	Mountain View	18	0.33	12	6
Airport Heights	31	0.19	25	6	North Star	20	0.15	17	3
Basher	6	0.00	6	0	Northeast	90	0.20	72	18
Bayshore/Klatt	23	0.09	21	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	16	0.06	15	1
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	0	Rabbit Creek	31	0.13	27	4
Birchwood	10	0.20	8	2	Rogers Park	19	0.16	16	3
Campbell Park	24	0.13	21	3	Russian Jack Park	37	0.30	26	11
Chugiak	21	0.05	20	1	Sand Lake	58	0.14	50	8
Downtown	22	0.32	15	7	Scenic Foothills	18	0.11	16	2
Eagle River	30	0.03	29	1	South Addition	27	0.30	19	8
Eagle River Valley	34	0.15	29	5	South Fork	14	0.21	11	3
Elmendorf	10	0.20	8	2	Spenard	55	0.18	45	10
Fairview	34	0.29	24	10	Taku/Campbell	32	0.09	29	3
Fort Richardson	8	0.13	7	1	Tudor Area	6	0.17	5	1
Government Hill	29	0.21	23	6	Turnagain	54	0.17	45	9
Hillside East	8	0.00	8	0	Turnagain Arm	17	0.18	14	3
Huffman/O'Malley	46	0.17	38	8	University Area	24	0.17	20	4
Mid-Hillside	23	0.26	17	6	Missing <sup>3</sup>	4	0.25	3	1

1. 800 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this type of volunteer activity. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 12 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the

**Table 2.16c. Volunteering Provided to Justice Issues: Detail**

Question 16d. Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to justice issues? (If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave [this question] blank.)

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>972</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>82.3 %</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>17.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	70	0.20	56	80.0 %	14	20.0 %
Airport Heights	31	0.19	25	80.6	6	19.4
Basher	6	0.00	6	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Bear Valley	3	0.00	3	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	10	0.20	8	80.0 %	2	20.0 %
Campbell Park	24	0.13	21	87.5	3	12.5
Chugiak	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Downtown	22	0.32	15	68.2	7	31.8
Eagle River	30	0.03	29	96.7	1	3.3
Eagle River Valley	34	0.15	29	85.3 %	5	14.7 %
Elmendorf	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Fairview	34	0.29	24	70.6	10	29.4
Fort Richardson	8	0.13	7	87.5	1	12.5
Government Hill	29	0.21	23	79.3	6	20.7
Hillside East	8	0.00	8	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	46	0.17	38	82.6	8	17.4
Mid-Hillside	23	0.26	17	73.9	6	26.1
Mountain View	18	0.33	12	66.7	6	33.3
North Star	20	0.15	17	85.0	3	15.0
Northeast	90	0.20	72	80.0 %	18	20.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	16	0.06	15	93.8	1	6.3
Rabbit Creek	31	0.13	27	87.1	4	12.9
Rogers Park	19	0.16	16	84.2	3	15.8
Russian Jack Park	37	0.30	26	70.3	11	29.7
Sand Lake	58	0.14	50	86.2 %	8	13.8 %
Scenic Foothills	18	0.11	16	88.9	2	11.1
South Addition	27	0.30	19	70.4	8	29.6
South Fork	14	0.21	11	78.6	3	21.4
Spenard	55	0.18	45	81.8	10	18.2
Taku/Campbell	32	0.09	29	90.6 %	3	9.4 %
Tudor Area	6	0.17	5	83.3	1	16.7
Turnagain	54	0.17	45	83.3	9	16.7
Turnagain Arm	17	0.18	14	82.4	3	17.6
University Area	24	0.17	20	83.3	4	16.7
Missing <sup>3</sup>	4	0.25	3	75.0 %	1	25.0 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this type of volunteer activity. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.
- 800 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 12 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

*Intentionally left blank.*


## **Part 3. Local Services**

## Table 3.01. Satisfaction with Garbage Collection Services

**Table 3.01a. Satisfaction with Garbage Collection Services: Summary**

Question 17a. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Garbage collection**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Very dissatisfied	33	1.9 %	1.9 %
Dissatisfied	141	8.0	9.8
Satisfied	856	48.3	58.1
Very satisfied	658	37.1	95.3
No opinion	69	3.9	99.2
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,757</b>	<b>99.2 %</b>	
Missing	15	0.8	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.01b. Satisfaction with Garbage Collection Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 17a. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Garbage collection**

Anchorage average: 4.12

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied
Abbott Loop	123	4.16	2	51	Mountain View	47	3.79	4	14
Airport Heights	51	4.12	1	22	North Star	43	3.86	3	11
Basher	8	4.63	0	5	Northeast	160	4.13	1	59
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.17	3	17	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.25	0	13
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	52	4.21	1	23
Birchwood	14	3.86	0	4	Rogers Park	23	4.43	0	10
Campbell Park	40	3.98	0	8	Russian Jack Park	83	4.24	1	34
Chugiak	34	4.26	0	13	Sand Lake	101	4.18	1	37
Downtown	37	4.22	0	16	Scenic Foothills	40	3.98	1	15
Eagle River	56	4.04	1	19	South Addition	47	4.23	2	21
Eagle River Valley	55	4.11	0	22	South Fork	21	4.05	0	9
Elmendorf	15	4.00	1	5	Spenard	125	4.03	3	39
Fairview	70	4.13	1	25	Taku/Campbell	58	3.98	3	22
Fort Richardson	11	3.82	1	4	Tudor Area	10	4.40	0	4
Government Hill	59	4.34	0	29	Turnagain	99	4.22	0	41
Hillside East	13	4.08	0	3	Turnagain Arm	28	3.25	3	3
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.22	0	30	University Area	43	4.21	0	15
Mid-Hillside	34	4.21	0	11	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.93	0	3

1. 15 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.01c. Satisfaction with Garbage Collection Services: Detail**

Question 17a. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Garbage collection**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,757<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1.9 %</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>8.0 %</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>3.9 %</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>48.7 %</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>37.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	4.16	2	1.6 %	12	9.8 %	1	0.8 %	57	46.3 %	51	41.5 %
Airport Heights	51	4.12	1	2.0	6	11.8	1	2.0	21	41.2	22	43.1
Basher	8	4.63	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	5	62.5
Bayshore/Klatt	41	4.17	3	7.3	0	0.0	1	2.4	20	48.8	17	41.5
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	3.86	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	2	14.3 %	6	42.9 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	40	3.98	0	0.0	4	10.0	1	2.5	27	67.5	8	20.0
Chugiak	34	4.26	0	0.0	1	2.9	2	5.9	18	52.9	13	38.2
Downtown	37	4.22	0	0.0	3	8.1	2	5.4	16	43.2	16	43.2
Eagle River	56	4.04	1	1.8	6	10.7	2	3.6	28	50.0	19	33.9
Eagle River Valley	55	4.11	0	0.0 %	4	7.3 %	8	14.5 %	21	38.2 %	22	40.0 %
Elmendorf	15	4.00	1	6.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	8	53.3	5	33.3
Fairview	70	4.13	1	1.4	6	8.6	1	1.4	37	52.9	25	35.7
Fort Richardson	11	3.82	1	9.1	1	9.1	1	9.1	4	36.4	4	36.4
Government Hill	59	4.34	0	0.0	4	6.8	1	1.7	25	42.4	29	49.2
Hillside East	13	4.08	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	8	61.5 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.22	0	0.0	7	10.1	1	1.4	31	44.9	30	43.5
Mid-Hillside	34	4.21	0	0.0	1	2.9	2	5.9	20	58.8	11	32.4
Mountain View	47	3.79	4	8.5	5	10.6	2	4.3	22	46.8	14	29.8
North Star	43	3.86	3	7.0	4	9.3	0	0.0	25	58.1	11	25.6
Northeast	160	4.13	1	0.6 %	18	11.3 %	0	0.0 %	82	51.3 %	59	36.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.25	0	0.0	3	10.7	0	0.0	12	42.9	13	46.4
Rabbit Creek	52	4.21	1	1.9	2	3.8	5	9.6	21	40.4	23	44.2
Rogers Park	23	4.43	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	56.5	10	43.5
Russian Jack Park	83	4.24	1	1.2	5	6.0	1	1.2	42	50.6	34	41.0
Sand Lake	101	4.18	1	1.0 %	5	5.0 %	6	5.9 %	52	51.5 %	37	36.6 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3.98	1	2.5	6	15.0	1	2.5	17	42.5	15	37.5
South Addition	47	4.23	2	4.3	2	4.3	0	0.0	22	46.8	21	44.7
South Fork	21	4.05	0	0.0	2	9.5	4	19.0	6	28.6	9	42.9
Spenard	125	4.03	3	2.4	9	7.2	8	6.4	66	52.8	39	31.2
Taku/Campbell	58	3.98	3	5.2 %	5	8.6 %	4	6.9 %	24	41.4 %	22	37.9 %
Tudor Area	10	4.40	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	60.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	99	4.22	0	0.0	8	8.1	3	3.0	47	47.5	41	41.4
Turnagain Arm	28	3.25	3	10.7	4	14.3	7	25.0	11	39.3	3	10.7
University Area	43	4.21	0	0.0	3	7.0	0	0.0	25	58.1	15	34.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.93	0	0.0 %	2	13.3 %	0	0.0 %	10	66.7 %	3	20.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.


2. 15 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.02. Satisfaction with Snow Removal Services

**Table 3.02a. Satisfaction with Snow Removal Services: Summary**

Question 17b. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Snow removal**



**Table 3.02b. Satisfaction with Snow Removal Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 17b. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Snow removal**

**Anchorage average: 3.49**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied
Abbott Loop	123	3.44	5	17	Mountain View	45	3.09	7	10
Airport Heights	51	3.73	0	10	North Star	43	3.23	4	3
Basher	8	4.13	0	3	Northeast	160	3.46	13	28
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.85	3	9	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.61	0	4
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	2	Rabbit Creek	51	3.86	3	17
Birchwood	14	3.64	0	3	Rogers Park	23	3.30	2	4
Campbell Park	41	3.37	4	9	Russian Jack Park	82	3.51	5	18
Chugiak	34	3.91	1	11	Sand Lake	101	3.30	6	11
Downtown	38	3.47	4	8	Scenic Foothills	40	3.33	2	7
Eagle River	56	3.39	4	8	South Addition	47	3.74	3	13
Eagle River Valley	55	3.95	2	15	South Fork	21	4.14	0	9
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	2	Spenard	124	3.10	13	14
Fairview	69	3.13	9	10	Taku/Campbell	58	3.41	6	14
Fort Richardson	11	3.45	0	1	Tudor Area	10	3.60	0	2
Government Hill	59	3.71	1	13	Turnagain	98	3.42	5	18
Hillside East	13	3.69	1	5	Turnagain Arm	28	3.29	2	3
Huffman/O'Malley	67	3.76	3	21	University Area	43	3.40	6	6
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	1	9	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.67	1	2

1. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.02c. Satisfaction with Snow Removal Services: Detail**

Question 17b. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Snow removal**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,750</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.49</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>6.6 %</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>23.3 %</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>3.5 %</b>	<b>826</b>	<b>47.2 %</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>19.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.44	5	4.1 %	33	26.8 %	5	4.1 %	63	51.2 %	17	13.8 %
Airport Heights	51	3.73	0	0.0	11	21.6	2	3.9	28	54.9	10	19.6
Basher	8	4.13	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	4	50.0	3	37.5
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.85	3	7.3	3	7.3	0	0.0	26	63.4	9	22.0
Bear Valley	4	4.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	3.64	0	0.0 %	4	28.6 %	0	0.0 %	7	50.0 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	41	3.37	4	9.8	11	26.8	1	2.4	16	39.0	9	22.0
Chugiak	34	3.91	1	2.9	5	14.7	1	2.9	16	47.1	11	32.4
Downtown	38	3.47	4	10.5	7	18.4	2	5.3	17	44.7	8	21.1
Eagle River	56	3.39	4	7.1	12	21.4	6	10.7	26	46.4	8	14.3
Eagle River Valley	55	3.95	2	3.6 %	6	10.9 %	0	0.0 %	32	58.2 %	15	27.3 %
Elmendorf	15	3.67	0	0.0	3	20.0	1	6.7	9	60.0	2	13.3
Fairview	69	3.13	9	13.0	20	29.0	3	4.3	27	39.1	10	14.5
Fort Richardson	11	3.45	0	0.0	3	27.3	1	9.1	6	54.5	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	3.71	1	1.7	12	20.3	3	5.1	30	50.8	13	22.0
Hillside East	13	3.69	1	7.7 %	3	23.1 %	0	0.0 %	4	30.8 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	67	3.76	3	4.5	14	20.9	0	0.0	29	43.3	21	31.3
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	1	2.9	4	11.8	1	2.9	19	55.9	9	26.5
Mountain View	45	3.09	7	15.6	13	28.9	4	8.9	11	24.4	10	22.2
North Star	43	3.23	4	9.3	11	25.6	2	4.7	23	53.5	3	7.0
Northeast	160	3.46	13	8.1 %	34	21.3 %	7	4.4 %	78	48.8 %	28	17.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.61	0	0.0	7	25.0	1	3.6	16	57.1	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	51	3.86	3	5.9	6	11.8	3	5.9	22	43.1	17	33.3
Rogers Park	23	3.30	2	8.7	7	30.4	0	0.0	10	43.5	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	82	3.51	5	6.1	20	24.4	3	3.7	36	43.9	18	22.0
Sand Lake	101	3.30	6	5.9 %	30	29.7 %	4	4.0 %	50	49.5 %	11	10.9 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3.33	2	5.0	14	35.0	0	0.0	17	42.5	7	17.5
South Addition	47	3.74	3	6.4	8	17.0	0	0.0	23	48.9	13	27.7
South Fork	21	4.14	0	0.0	3	14.3	0	0.0	9	42.9	9	42.9
Spenard	124	3.10	13	10.5	40	32.3	6	4.8	51	41.1	14	11.3
Taku/Campbell	58	3.41	6	10.3 %	14	24.1 %	2	3.4 %	22	37.9 %	14	24.1 %
Tudor Area	10	3.60	0	0.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	5	50.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	98	3.42	5	5.1	29	29.6	2	2.0	44	44.9	18	18.4
Turnagain Arm	28	3.29	2	7.1	8	28.6	1	3.6	14	50.0	3	10.7
University Area	43	3.40	6	14.0	7	16.3	0	0.0	24	55.8	6	14.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.67	1	6.7 %	2	13.3 %	0	0.0 %	10	66.7 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.


2. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.03. Satisfaction with Recreational Facilities

**Table 3.03a. Satisfaction with Recreational Facilities: Summary**

Question 17c. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Recreational facilities**



**Table 3.03b. Satisfaction with Recreational Facilities: Summary by Community Council**

Question 17c. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Recreational facilities**

Anchorage average: 3.41

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied
Abbott Loop	119	3.50	6	19	Mountain View	47	3.26	4	6
Airport Heights	50	3.52	0	8	North Star	40	3.03	3	3
Basher	7	3.29	0	0	Northeast	153	3.24	10	16
Bayshore/Klatt	38	3.34	2	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.43	1	3
Bear Valley	4	3.75	0	1	Rabbit Creek	51	3.10	7	5
Birchwood	13	3.69	0	2	Rogers Park	22	3.09	1	1
Campbell Park	40	3.28	3	2	Russian Jack Park	80	3.43	7	12
Chugiak	34	3.44	1	5	Sand Lake	100	3.39	7	12
Downtown	38	3.55	3	6	Scenic Foothills	39	3.33	1	4
Eagle River	55	3.27	4	4	South Addition	46	4.00	0	17
Eagle River Valley	53	3.43	3	9	South Fork	21	3.71	0	5
Elmendorf	15	3.87	0	4	Spenard	121	3.26	12	17
Fairview	67	3.42	5	7	Taku/Campbell	56	3.07	5	5
Fort Richardson	11	3.55	0	3	Tudor Area	8	3.75	0	1
Government Hill	58	3.69	1	10	Turnagain	98	3.63	3	19
Hillside East	12	3.33	0	3	Turnagain Arm	27	3.26	1	3
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.44	2	11	University Area	42	3.43	2	4
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	1	9	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.40	1	0

1. 62 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.03c. Satisfaction with Recreational Facilities: Detail**

Question 17c. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Recreational facilities**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,710</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>5.6 %</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>18.2 %</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>20.0 %</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>42.1 %</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>14.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	119	3.50	6	5.0 %	18	15.1 %	25	21.0 %	51	42.9 %	19	16.0 %
Airport Heights	50	3.52	0	0.0	9	18.0	14	28.0	19	38.0	8	16.0
Basher	7	3.29	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	38	3.34	2	5.3	7	18.4	10	26.3	14	36.8	5	13.2
Bear Valley	4	3.75	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	13	3.69	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	2	15.4 %	7	53.8 %	2	15.4 %
Campbell Park	40	3.28	3	7.5	6	15.0	10	25.0	19	47.5	2	5.0
Chugiak	34	3.44	1	2.9	8	23.5	5	14.7	15	44.1	5	14.7
Downtown	38	3.55	3	7.9	3	7.9	8	21.1	18	47.4	6	15.8
Eagle River	55	3.27	4	7.3	9	16.4	14	25.5	24	43.6	4	7.3
Eagle River Valley	53	3.43	3	5.7 %	11	20.8 %	8	15.1 %	22	41.5 %	9	17.0 %
Elmendorf	15	3.87	0	0.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	8	53.3	4	26.7
Fairview	67	3.42	5	7.5	8	11.9	15	22.4	32	47.8	7	10.4
Fort Richardson	11	3.55	0	0.0	4	36.4	0	0.0	4	36.4	3	27.3
Government Hill	58	3.69	1	1.7	8	13.8	9	15.5	30	51.7	10	17.2
Hillside East	12	3.33	0	0.0 %	4	33.3 %	3	25.0 %	2	16.7 %	3	25.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.44	2	2.9	16	23.5	11	16.2	28	41.2	11	16.2
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	1	2.9	2	5.9	5	14.7	17	50.0	9	26.5
Mountain View	47	3.26	4	8.5	9	19.1	11	23.4	17	36.2	6	12.8
North Star	40	3.03	3	7.5	12	30.0	9	22.5	13	32.5	3	7.5
Northeast	153	3.24	10	6.5 %	37	24.2 %	29	19.0 %	61	39.9 %	16	10.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.43	1	3.6	6	21.4	4	14.3	14	50.0	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	51	3.10	7	13.7	8	15.7	14	27.5	17	33.3	5	9.8
Rogers Park	22	3.09	1	4.5	7	31.8	4	18.2	9	40.9	1	4.5
Russian Jack Park	80	3.43	7	8.8	11	13.8	15	18.8	35	43.8	12	15.0
Sand Lake	100	3.39	7	7.0 %	18	18.0 %	16	16.0 %	47	47.0 %	12	12.0 %
Scenic Foothills	39	3.33	1	2.6	11	28.2	5	12.8	18	46.2	4	10.3
South Addition	46	4.00	0	0.0	5	10.9	7	15.2	17	37.0	17	37.0
South Fork	21	3.71	0	0.0	3	14.3	5	23.8	8	38.1	5	23.8
Spenard	121	3.26	12	9.9	21	17.4	28	23.1	43	35.5	17	14.0
Taku/Campbell	56	3.07	5	8.9 %	14	25.0 %	14	25.0 %	18	32.1 %	5	8.9 %
Tudor Area	8	3.75	0	0.0	1	12.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	1	12.5
Turnagain	98	3.63	3	3.1	13	13.3	20	20.4	43	43.9	19	19.4
Turnagain Arm	27	3.26	1	3.7	9	33.3	2	7.4	12	44.4	3	11.1
University Area	42	3.43	2	4.8	6	14.3	10	23.8	20	47.6	4	9.5
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.40	1	6.7 %	1	6.7 %	4	26.7 %	9	60.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied=1; Dissatisfied=2; No opinion=3; Satisfied=4; Strongly satisfied=5.


2. 62 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.04. Satisfaction with Local Street and Road Conditions

**Table 3.04a. Satisfaction with Local Street and Road Conditions: Summary**

Question 17d. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Overall condition of streets and roadways**



**Table 3.04b. Satisfaction with Local Street and Road Conditions: Summary by Community Council**

Question 17d. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Overall condition of streets and roadways**

**Anchorage average: 3.43**

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Satisfied
Abbott Loop	123	3.38	10	20	Mountain View	47	3.40	4	7
Airport Heights	51	3.49	5	7	North Star	42	3.38	1	5
Basher	8	3.00	0	2	Northeast	160	3.34	13	19
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.46	6	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.32	2	1
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	3.56	6	12
Birchwood	14	3.57	1	1	Rogers Park	23	3.52	2	5
Campbell Park	41	3.49	1	4	Russian Jack Park	83	3.48	5	13
Chugiak	34	3.62	0	3	Sand Lake	102	3.14	10	10
Downtown	36	3.53	2	5	Scenic Foothills	40	3.20	3	5
Eagle River	55	3.60	0	5	South Addition	47	4.00	0	13
Eagle River Valley	55	3.71	1	9	South Fork	21	4.00	1	5
Elmendorf	15	4.07	0	7	Spenard	124	3.13	10	13
Fairview	67	3.45	1	3	Taku/Campbell	58	3.03	5	7
Fort Richardson	11	3.55	0	1	Tudor Area	10	3.60	0	2
Government Hill	59	4.00	1	12	Turnagain	99	3.41	4	12
Hillside East	13	3.15	3	2	Turnagain Arm	28	2.11	10	1
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.68	5	15	University Area	43	3.67	3	5
Mid-Hillside	33	3.45	3	5	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.60	0	0

1. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.04c. Satisfaction with Local Street and Road Conditions: Detail**

Question 17d. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in your neighborhood? — **Overall condition of streets and roadways**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,750</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.43</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>6.7 %</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>24.6 %</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1.5 %</b>	<b>929</b>	<b>53.1 %</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>14.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.38	10	8.1 %	33	26.8 %	0	0.0 %	60	48.8 %	20	16.3 %
Airport Heights	51	3.49	5	9.8	8	15.7	2	3.9	29	56.9	7	13.7
Basher	8	3.00	0	0.0	5	62.5	0	0.0	1	12.5	2	25.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.46	6	14.6	7	17.1	0	0.0	18	43.9	10	24.4
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.57	1	7.1 %	2	14.3 %	0	0.0 %	10	71.4 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	41	3.49	1	2.4	11	26.8	0	0.0	25	61.0	4	9.8
Chugiak	34	3.62	0	0.0	8	23.5	0	0.0	23	67.6	3	8.8
Downtown	36	3.53	2	5.6	8	22.2	0	0.0	21	58.3	5	13.9
Eagle River	55	3.60	0	0.0	13	23.6	1	1.8	36	65.5	5	9.1
Eagle River Valley	55	3.71	1	1.8 %	11	20.0 %	0	0.0 %	34	61.8 %	9	16.4 %
Elmendorf	15	4.07	0	0.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	5	33.3	7	46.7
Fairview	67	3.45	1	1.5	18	26.9	1	1.5	44	65.7	3	4.5
Fort Richardson	11	3.55	0	0.0	3	27.3	0	0.0	7	63.6	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	4.00	1	1.7	4	6.8	1	1.7	41	69.5	12	20.3
Hillside East	13	3.15	3	23.1 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	6	46.2 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.68	5	7.4	11	16.2	0	0.0	37	54.4	15	22.1
Mid-Hillside	33	3.45	3	9.1	7	21.2	0	0.0	18	54.5	5	15.2
Mountain View	47	3.40	4	8.5	11	23.4	1	2.1	24	51.1	7	14.9
North Star	42	3.38	1	2.4	13	31.0	2	4.8	21	50.0	5	11.9
Northeast	160	3.34	13	8.1 %	41	25.6 %	3	1.9 %	84	52.5 %	19	11.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.32	2	7.1	7	25.0	0	0.0	18	64.3	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	3.56	6	11.5	7	13.5	3	5.8	24	46.2	12	23.1
Rogers Park	23	3.52	2	8.7	5	21.7	0	0.0	11	47.8	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	83	3.48	5	6.0	20	24.1	1	1.2	44	53.0	13	15.7
Sand Lake	102	3.14	10	9.8 %	33	32.4 %	2	2.0 %	47	46.1 %	10	9.8 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3.20	3	7.5	14	35.0	0	0.0	18	45.0	5	12.5
South Addition	47	4.00	0	0.0	6	12.8	1	2.1	27	57.4	13	27.7
South Fork	21	4.00	1	4.8	1	4.8	0	0.0	14	66.7	5	23.8
Spenard	124	3.13	10	8.1	43	34.7	5	4.0	53	42.7	13	10.5
Taku/Campbell	58	3.03	5	8.6 %	23	39.7 %	2	3.4 %	21	36.2 %	7	12.1 %
Tudor Area	10	3.60	0	0.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	5	50.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	99	3.41	4	4.0	28	28.3	2	2.0	53	53.5	12	12.1
Turnagain Arm	28	2.11	10	35.7	12	42.9	0	0.0	5	17.9	1	3.6
University Area	43	3.67	3	7.0	5	11.6	0	0.0	30	69.8	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.60	0	0.0 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %	12	80.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied=1; Dissatisfied=2; No opinion=3; Satisfied=4; Strongly satisfied=5.


2. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.05. Satisfaction with Fire Services

**Table 3.05a. Satisfaction with Fire Services: Summary**

Question 18a. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Fire service**



**Table 3.05b. Satisfaction with Fire Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 198a How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Fire service**

Anchorage average: 4.05

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied
Abbott Loop	124	4.04	0	35	Mountain View	47	4.04	2	14
Airport Heights	50	3.86	0	12	North Star	41	4.02	0	9
Basher	9	4.33	0	3	Northeast	159	4.03	3	43
Bayshore/Klatt	40	4.25	1	19	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.96	0	5
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	51	4.08	1	14
Birchwood	14	4.21	0	4	Rogers Park	23	4.00	0	6
Campbell Park	41	4.07	0	14	Russian Jack Park	83	4.08	0	28
Chugiak	34	3.76	0	6	Sand Lake	102	4.08	0	30
Downtown	38	4.16	0	12	Scenic Foothills	40	3.90	1	10
Eagle River	57	3.67	2	9	South Addition	47	4.15	1	18
Eagle River Valley	55	4.05	1	15	South Fork	21	3.95	0	7
Elmendorf	15	3.93	0	3	Spenard	125	4.06	1	38
Fairview	69	4.16	0	21	Taku/Campbell	57	4.19	0	21
Fort Richardson	11	3.82	0	2	Tudor Area	9	4.56	0	5
Government Hill	59	4.07	1	14	Turnagain	100	4.17	0	29
Hillside East	13	3.85	0	3	Turnagain Arm	27	3.70	0	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.17	1	24	University Area	43	3.98	0	6
Mid-Hillside	34	4.09	0	8	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	4.00	0	3

1. 18 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.05c. Satisfaction with Fire Services: Detail**

Question 18a. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Fire service**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,754</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>4.05</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.9 %</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>4.9 %</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>11.1 %</b>	<b>963</b>	<b>54.9 %</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>28.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	124	4.04	0	0.0 %	6	4.8 %	18	14.5 %	65	52.4 %	35	28.2 %
Airport Heights	50	3.86	0	0.0	5	10.0	9	18.0	24	48.0	12	24.0
Basher	9	4.33	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	40	4.25	1	2.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	16	40.0	19	47.5
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	4.21	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	7.1 %	9	64.3 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	41	4.07	0	0.0	1	2.4	9	22.0	17	41.5	14	34.1
Chugiak	34	3.76	0	0.0	5	14.7	4	11.8	19	55.9	6	17.6
Downtown	38	4.16	0	0.0	1	2.6	4	10.5	21	55.3	12	31.6
Eagle River	57	3.67	2	3.5	6	10.5	10	17.5	30	52.6	9	15.8
Eagle River Valley	55	4.05	1	1.8 %	1	1.8 %	7	12.7 %	31	56.4 %	15	27.3 %
Elmendorf	15	3.93	0	0.0	1	6.7	2	13.3	9	60.0	3	20.0
Fairview	69	4.16	0	0.0	2	2.9	6	8.7	40	58.0	21	30.4
Fort Richardson	11	3.82	0	0.0	1	9.1	2	18.2	6	54.5	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	4.07	1	1.7	1	1.7	5	8.5	38	64.4	14	23.7
Hillside East	13	3.85	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	1	7.7 %	7	53.8 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.17	1	1.4	2	2.9	5	7.2	37	53.6	24	34.8
Mid-Hillside	34	4.09	0	0.0	1	2.9	3	8.8	22	64.7	8	23.5
Mountain View	47	4.04	2	4.3	2	4.3	2	4.3	27	57.4	14	29.8
North Star	41	4.02	0	0.0	1	2.4	6	14.6	25	61.0	9	22.0
Northeast	159	4.03	3	1.9 %	7	4.4 %	16	10.1 %	90	56.6 %	43	27.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.96	0	0.0	2	7.1	2	7.1	19	67.9	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	51	4.08	1	2.0	2	3.9	3	5.9	31	60.8	14	27.5
Rogers Park	23	4.00	0	0.0	2	8.7	2	8.7	13	56.5	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	83	4.08	0	0.0	5	6.0	11	13.3	39	47.0	28	33.7
Sand Lake	102	4.08	0	0.0 %	6	5.9 %	10	9.8 %	56	54.9 %	30	29.4 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3.90	1	2.5	3	7.5	5	12.5	21	52.5	10	25.0
South Addition	47	4.15	1	2.1	1	2.1	6	12.8	21	44.7	18	38.3
South Fork	21	3.95	0	0.0	2	9.5	4	19.0	8	38.1	7	33.3
Spenard	125	4.06	1	0.8	7	5.6	14	11.2	65	52.0	38	30.4
Taku/Campbell	57	4.19	0	0.0 %	3	5.3 %	4	7.0 %	29	50.9 %	21	36.8 %
Tudor Area	9	4.56	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	44.4	5	55.6
Turnagain	100	4.17	0	0.0	1	1.0	10	10.0	60	60.0	29	29.0
Turnagain Arm	27	3.70	0	0.0	2	7.4	8	29.6	13	48.1	4	14.8
University Area	43	3.98	0	0.0	2	4.7	3	7.0	32	74.4	6	14.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	4.00	0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %	1	6.7 %	10	66.7 %	3	20.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.


2. 18 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.06. Satisfaction with Emergency Medical Services

**Table 3.06a. Satisfaction with Emergency Medical Services: Summary**

Question 18b. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Emergency medical services**



**Table 3.06b. Satisfaction with Emergency Medical Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 18b. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Emergency medical services**

Anchorage average: 4.02

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied
Abbott Loop	124	3.95	1	32	Mountain View	47	4.04	2	14
Airport Heights	51	3.90	0	15	North Star	42	3.98	0	9
Basher	9	3.78	0	2	Northeast	159	4.10	2	50
Bayshore/Klatt	40	4.10	1	15	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.04	0	7
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	51	3.86	1	12
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	4	Rogers Park	23	4.00	0	5
Campbell Park	41	4.12	0	15	Russian Jack Park	83	4.01	1	25
Chugiak	34	3.74	1	6	Sand Lake	102	4.12	0	33
Downtown	37	4.16	0	13	Scenic Foothills	39	4.00	1	12
Eagle River	57	3.81	0	9	South Addition	47	4.13	1	17
Eagle River Valley	55	4.07	0	16	South Fork	21	3.90	0	7
Elmendorf	15	3.80	0	2	Spenard	123	4.00	2	38
Fairview	70	4.09	1	22	Taku/Campbell	57	4.18	0	20
Fort Richardson	11	3.91	0	2	Tudor Area	9	4.33	0	3
Government Hill	59	4.03	0	13	Turnagain	101	4.10	1	30
Hillside East	13	3.92	0	3	Turnagain Arm	27	3.74	0	6
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.17	1	26	University Area	43	3.93	0	6
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	0	4	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	4.13	0	3

1. 18 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.06c. Satisfaction with Emergency Medical Services: Detail**

Question 18b. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Emergency medical services**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,754</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>4.02</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.9 %</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5.4 %</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>12.4 %</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>52.9 %</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>28.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	124	3.95	1	0.8 %	8	6.5 %	19	15.3 %	64	51.6 %	32	25.8 %
Airport Heights	51	3.90	0	0.0	5	9.8	10	19.6	21	41.2	15	29.4
Basher	9	3.78	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2	4	44.4	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	40	4.10	1	2.5	2	5.0	4	10.0	18	45.0	15	37.5
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	41	4.12	0	0.0	1	2.4	8	19.5	17	41.5	15	36.6
Chugiak	34	3.74	1	2.9	4	11.8	4	11.8	19	55.9	6	17.6
Downtown	37	4.16	0	0.0	2	5.4	3	8.1	19	51.4	13	35.1
Eagle River	57	3.81	0	0.0	5	8.8	10	17.5	33	57.9	9	15.8
Eagle River Valley	55	4.07	0	0.0 %	1	1.8 %	10	18.2 %	28	50.9 %	16	29.1 %
Elmendorf	15	3.80	0	0.0	1	6.7	3	20.0	9	60.0	2	13.3
Fairview	70	4.09	1	1.4	3	4.3	7	10.0	37	52.9	22	31.4
Fort Richardson	11	3.91	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	9.1	7	63.6	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	4.03	0	0.0	2	3.4	7	11.9	37	62.7	13	22.0
Hillside East	13	3.92	0	0.0 %	2	15.4 %	0	0.0 %	8	61.5 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.17	1	1.4	3	4.3	5	7.2	34	49.3	26	37.7
Mid-Hillside	34	3.91	0	0.0	1	2.9	5	14.7	24	70.6	4	11.8
Mountain View	47	4.04	2	4.3	2	4.3	2	4.3	27	57.4	14	29.8
North Star	42	3.98	0	0.0	2	4.8	6	14.3	25	59.5	9	21.4
Northeast	159	4.10	2	1.3 %	5	3.1 %	18	11.3 %	84	52.8 %	50	31.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	4.04	0	0.0	2	7.1	2	7.1	17	60.7	7	25.0
Rabbit Creek	51	3.86	1	2.0	5	9.8	6	11.8	27	52.9	12	23.5
Rogers Park	23	4.00	0	0.0	1	4.3	3	13.0	14	60.9	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	83	4.01	1	1.2	5	6.0	11	13.3	41	49.4	25	30.1
Sand Lake	102	4.12	0	0.0 %	5	4.9 %	11	10.8 %	53	52.0 %	33	32.4 %
Scenic Foothills	39	4.00	1	2.6	3	7.7	3	7.7	20	51.3	12	30.8
South Addition	47	4.13	1	2.1	1	2.1	6	12.8	22	46.8	17	36.2
South Fork	21	3.90	0	0.0	1	4.8	7	33.3	6	28.6	7	33.3
Spenard	123	4.00	2	1.6	9	7.3	14	11.4	60	48.8	38	30.9
Taku/Campbell	57	4.18	0	0.0 %	3	5.3 %	4	7.0 %	30	52.6 %	20	35.1 %
Tudor Area	9	4.33	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	66.7	3	33.3
Turnagain	101	4.10	1	1.0	2	2.0	13	12.9	55	54.5	30	29.7
Turnagain Arm	27	3.74	0	0.0	3	11.1	7	25.9	11	40.7	6	22.2
University Area	43	3.93	0	0.0	2	4.7	5	11.6	30	69.8	6	14.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	4.13	0	0.0 %	0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %	11	73.3 %	3	20.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.


2. 18 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.07. Satisfaction with Police Services

**Table 3.07a. Satisfaction with Police Services: Summary**

Question 18c. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Police services**



**Table 3.07b. Satisfaction with Police Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 18c. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Police services**

Anchorage average: 3.72

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied
Abbott Loop	124	3.73	2	26	Mountain View	47	3.38	4	8
Airport Heights	50	3.68	1	9	North Star	42	3.60	2	8
Basher	9	3.33	0	0	Northeast	159	3.60	7	33
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.98	2	14	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.79	0	6
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	51	3.73	0	9
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	4	Rogers Park	23	3.70	0	5
Campbell Park	41	3.68	4	12	Russian Jack Park	83	3.76	2	19
Chugiak	34	3.35	2	5	Sand Lake	102	3.86	3	26
Downtown	38	3.47	2	7	Scenic Foothills	40	3.70	1	9
Eagle River	56	3.43	3	5	South Addition	47	3.96	1	13
Eagle River Valley	55	3.98	1	14	South Fork	21	3.95	0	7
Elmendorf	15	3.60	0	2	Spenard	123	3.67	7	24
Fairview	69	3.65	4	15	Taku/Campbell	57	3.82	3	19
Fort Richardson	11	3.82	0	3	Tudor Area	9	4.22	0	2
Government Hill	59	3.93	1	11	Turnagain	101	3.74	0	19
Hillside East	12	3.58	0	2	Turnagain Arm	28	3.29	2	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.01	2	23	University Area	43	3.79	1	7
Mid-Hillside	34	3.65	0	4	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.53	1	3

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.07c. Satisfaction with Police Services: Detail**

Question 18c. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **Police services**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>3.3 %</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>15.5 %</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>8.5 %</b>	<b>898</b>	<b>51.2 %</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>21.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	124	3.73	2	1.6 %	21	16.9 %	11	8.9 %	64	51.6 %	26	21.0 %
Airport Heights	50	3.68	1	2.0	7	14.0	8	16.0	25	50.0	9	18.0
Basher	9	3.33	0	0.0	3	33.3	0	0.0	6	66.7	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.98	2	5.0	4	10.0	1	2.5	19	47.5	14	35.0
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	41	3.68	4	9.8	5	12.2	3	7.3	17	41.5	12	29.3
Chugiak	34	3.35	2	5.9	9	26.5	3	8.8	15	44.1	5	14.7
Downtown	38	3.47	2	5.3	10	26.3	1	2.6	18	47.4	7	18.4
Eagle River	56	3.43	3	5.4	10	17.9	8	14.3	30	53.6	5	8.9
Eagle River Valley	55	3.98	1	1.8 %	4	7.3 %	4	7.3 %	32	58.2 %	14	25.5 %
Elmendorf	15	3.60	0	0.0	3	20.0	2	13.3	8	53.3	2	13.3
Fairview	69	3.65	4	5.8	11	15.9	5	7.2	34	49.3	15	21.7
Fort Richardson	11	3.82	0	0.0	2	18.2	1	9.1	5	45.5	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	3.93	1	1.7	3	5.1	6	10.2	38	64.4	11	18.6
Hillside East	12	3.58	0	0.0 %	3	25.0 %	1	8.3 %	6	50.0 %	2	16.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	4.01	2	2.9	6	8.7	4	5.8	34	49.3	23	33.3
Mid-Hillside	34	3.65	0	0.0	6	17.6	4	11.8	20	58.8	4	11.8
Mountain View	47	3.38	4	8.5	11	23.4	3	6.4	21	44.7	8	17.0
North Star	42	3.60	2	4.8	7	16.7	5	11.9	20	47.6	8	19.0
Northeast	159	3.60	7	4.4 %	33	20.8 %	9	5.7 %	77	48.4 %	33	20.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.79	0	0.0	5	17.9	2	7.1	15	53.6	6	21.4
Rabbit Creek	51	3.73	0	0.0	10	19.6	3	5.9	29	56.9	9	17.6
Rogers Park	23	3.70	0	0.0	5	21.7	2	8.7	11	47.8	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	83	3.76	2	2.4	13	15.7	7	8.4	42	50.6	19	22.9
Sand Lake	102	3.86	3	2.9 %	11	10.8 %	9	8.8 %	53	52.0 %	26	25.5 %
Scenic Foothills	40	3.70	1	2.5	8	20.0	2	5.0	20	50.0	9	22.5
South Addition	47	3.96	1	2.1	3	6.4	6	12.8	24	51.1	13	27.7
South Fork	21	3.95	0	0.0	2	9.5	4	19.0	8	38.1	7	33.3
Spenard	123	3.67	7	5.7	16	13.0	11	8.9	65	52.8	24	19.5
Taku/Campbell	57	3.82	3	5.3 %	8	14.0 %	4	7.0 %	23	40.4 %	19	33.3 %
Tudor Area	9	4.22	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	77.8	2	22.2
Turnagain	101	3.74	0	0.0	19	18.8	7	6.9	56	55.4	19	18.8
Turnagain Arm	28	3.29	2	7.1	4	14.3	8	28.6	12	42.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	3.79	1	2.3	5	11.6	3	7.0	27	62.8	7	16.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.53	1	6.7 %	3	20.0 %	1	6.7 %	7	46.7 %	3	20.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.


2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.08. Satisfaction with K–12 Education Services

**Table 3.08a. Satisfaction with K–12 Education Services: Summary**

Question 18d. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **K–12 education**



**Table 3.08b. Satisfaction with K–12 Education Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 18d. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **K–12 education**

Anchorage average: 3.52

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied
Abbott Loop	122	3.55	4	23	Mountain View	46	3.28	6	3
Airport Heights	50	3.32	1	5	North Star	39	3.59	1	4
Basher	9	3.56	0	0	Northeast	156	3.37	11	25
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.33	4	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.61	0	3
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	51	3.82	3	12
Birchwood	14	3.86	0	4	Rogers Park	23	3.43	1	4
Campbell Park	41	3.59	1	6	Russian Jack Park	82	3.59	0	14
Chugiak	34	3.26	4	5	Sand Lake	102	3.53	5	21
Downtown	35	3.31	4	3	Scenic Foothills	38	3.39	4	7
Eagle River	57	3.54	2	7	South Addition	45	3.73	1	11
Eagle River Valley	55	3.69	2	14	South Fork	21	3.71	1	6
Elmendorf	15	3.47	0	2	Spenard	121	3.42	7	16
Fairview	65	3.35	5	8	Taku/Campbell	57	3.37	3	8
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	3	Tudor Area	9	3.67	0	2
Government Hill	59	3.69	2	9	Turnagain	100	3.65	2	18
Hillside East	13	3.00	0	2	Turnagain Arm	28	3.54	1	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.57	6	15	University Area	43	3.63	1	10
Mid-Hillside	34	3.76	0	7	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.33	0	2

1. 41 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.08c. Satisfaction with K–12 Education Services: Detail**

Question 18d. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **K–12 education**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,731</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>4.7 %</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>14.7 %</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>21.5 %</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>42.3 %</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>16.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	3.55	4	3.3 %	21	17.2 %	24	19.7 %	50	41.0 %	23	18.9 %
Airport Heights	50	3.32	1	2.0	7	14.0	22	44.0	15	30.0	5	10.0
Basher	9	3.56	0	0.0	2	22.2	0	0.0	7	77.8	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.33	4	10.0	6	15.0	9	22.5	15	37.5	6	15.0
Bear Valley	4	4.25	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	3.86	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	2	14.3 %	6	42.9 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	41	3.59	1	2.4	5	12.2	10	24.4	19	46.3	6	14.6
Chugiak	34	3.26	4	11.8	6	17.6	6	17.6	13	38.2	5	14.7
Downtown	35	3.31	4	11.4	3	8.6	9	25.7	16	45.7	3	8.6
Eagle River	57	3.54	2	3.5	8	14.0	11	19.3	29	50.9	7	12.3
Eagle River Valley	55	3.69	2	3.6 %	7	12.7 %	11	20.0 %	21	38.2 %	14	25.5 %
Elmendorf	15	3.47	0	0.0	2	13.3	6	40.0	5	33.3	2	13.3
Fairview	65	3.35	5	7.7	7	10.8	21	32.3	24	36.9	8	12.3
Fort Richardson	11	4.00	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	9.1	6	54.5	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	3.69	2	3.4	4	6.8	13	22.0	31	52.5	9	15.3
Hillside East	13	3.00	0	0.0 %	7	53.8 %	1	7.7 %	3	23.1 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.57	6	8.7	8	11.6	11	15.9	29	42.0	15	21.7
Mid-Hillside	34	3.76	0	0.0	6	17.6	3	8.8	18	52.9	7	20.6
Mountain View	46	3.28	6	13.0	5	10.9	8	17.4	24	52.2	3	6.5
North Star	39	3.59	1	2.6	4	10.3	9	23.1	21	53.8	4	10.3
Northeast	156	3.37	11	7.1 %	30	19.2 %	30	19.2 %	60	38.5 %	25	16.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.61	0	0.0	6	21.4	2	7.1	17	60.7	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	51	3.82	3	5.9	4	7.8	4	7.8	28	54.9	12	23.5
Rogers Park	23	3.43	1	4.3	6	26.1	2	8.7	10	43.5	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	82	3.59	0	0.0	17	20.7	14	17.1	37	45.1	14	17.1
Sand Lake	102	3.53	5	4.9 %	15	14.7 %	24	23.5 %	37	36.3 %	21	20.6 %
Scenic Foothills	38	3.39	4	10.5	5	13.2	8	21.1	14	36.8	7	18.4
South Addition	45	3.73	1	2.2	4	8.9	12	26.7	17	37.8	11	24.4
South Fork	21	3.71	1	4.8	3	14.3	3	14.3	8	38.1	6	28.6
Spenard	121	3.42	7	5.8	16	13.2	33	27.3	49	40.5	16	13.2
Taku/Campbell	57	3.37	3	5.3 %	11	19.3 %	13	22.8 %	22	38.6 %	8	14.0 %
Tudor Area	9	3.67	0	0.0	2	22.2	1	11.1	4	44.4	2	22.2
Turnagain	100	3.65	2	2.0	12	12.0	23	23.0	45	45.0	18	18.0
Turnagain Arm	28	3.54	1	3.6	2	7.1	11	39.3	9	32.1	5	17.9
University Area	43	3.63	1	2.3	7	16.3	9	20.9	16	37.2	10	23.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.33	0	0.0 %	3	20.0 %	6	40.0 %	4	26.7 %	2	13.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.


2. 41 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.09. Satisfaction with People Mover Bus Services

**Table 3.09a. Satisfaction with People Mover Bus Services: Summary**

Question 18e. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **The People Mover bus system**



**Table 3.09b. Satisfaction with People Mover Bus Services: Summary by Community Council**

Question 18e. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **The People Mover bus system**

Anchorage average: 3.27

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Very dissatisfied	Very satisfied
Abbott Loop	123	3.18	10	11	Mountain View	47	3.19	5	4
Airport Heights	50	2.98	4	2	North Star	43	3.26	2	2
Basher	9	2.89	1	0	Northeast	157	3.27	9	20
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.23	1	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.36	1	2
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	3.12	4	3
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	2	Rogers Park	23	3.00	3	1
Campbell Park	41	3.20	1	4	Russian Jack Park	81	3.37	4	12
Chugiak	32	3.28	0	4	Sand Lake	102	3.19	7	10
Downtown	37	2.95	3	3	Scenic Foothills	39	3.18	4	4
Eagle River	57	3.44	2	6	South Addition	44	3.55	3	10
Eagle River Valley	55	3.29	1	4	South Fork	21	3.33	0	4
Elmendorf	15	3.07	0	0	Spenard	121	3.46	2	14
Fairview	68	3.34	4	12	Taku/Campbell	57	3.14	2	2
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	0	0	Tudor Area	9	3.78	0	1
Government Hill	59	3.51	3	6	Turnagain	100	3.15	6	6
Hillside East	11	2.73	1	1	Turnagain Arm	28	3.00	1	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.36	2	8	University Area	43	3.56	2	4
Mid-Hillside	31	3.23	2	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.21	0	1

1. 38 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied = 1; Dissatisfied = 2; No opinion = 3; Satisfied = 4; Strongly satisfied = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.09c. Satisfaction with People Mover Bus Services: Detail**

Question 18e. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage? — **The People Mover bus system**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Very dissatisfied		Dissatisfied		No opinion		Satisfied		Very satisfied	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,734</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.27</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>5.2 %</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>15.2 %</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>37.1 %</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>32.7 %</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>9.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.18	10	8.1 %	16	13.0 %	50	40.7 %	36	29.3 %	11	8.9 %
Airport Heights	50	2.98	4	8.0	10	20.0	21	42.0	13	26.0	2	4.0
Basher	9	2.89	1	11.1	2	22.2	3	33.3	3	33.3	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.23	1	2.5	8	20.0	16	40.0	11	27.5	4	10.0
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	2	14.3 %	8	57.1 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	41	3.20	1	2.4	10	24.4	14	34.1	12	29.3	4	9.8
Chugiak	32	3.28	0	0.0	8	25.0	11	34.4	9	28.1	4	12.5
Downtown	37	2.95	3	8.1	10	27.0	13	35.1	8	21.6	3	8.1
Eagle River	57	3.44	2	3.5	5	8.8	22	38.6	22	38.6	6	10.5
Eagle River Valley	55	3.29	1	1.8 %	4	7.3 %	32	58.2 %	14	25.5 %	4	7.3 %
Elmendorf	15	3.07	0	0.0	2	13.3	10	66.7	3	20.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	3.34	4	5.9	16	23.5	13	19.1	23	33.8	12	17.6
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	63.6	4	36.4	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	3.51	3	5.1	6	10.2	14	23.7	30	50.8	6	10.2
Hillside East	11	2.73	1	9.1 %	3	27.3 %	6	54.5 %	0	0.0 %	1	9.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.36	2	2.9	8	11.6	30	43.5	21	30.4	8	11.6
Mid-Hillside	31	3.23	2	6.5	6	19.4	9	29.0	11	35.5	3	9.7
Mountain View	47	3.19	5	10.6	6	12.8	15	31.9	17	36.2	4	8.5
North Star	43	3.26	2	4.7	9	20.9	10	23.3	20	46.5	2	4.7
Northeast	157	3.27	9	5.7 %	33	21.0 %	41	26.1 %	54	34.4 %	20	12.7 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.36	1	3.6	3	10.7	11	39.3	11	39.3	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	51	3.12	4	7.8	7	13.7	22	43.1	15	29.4	3	5.9
Rogers Park	23	3.00	3	13.0	2	8.7	11	47.8	6	26.1	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	81	3.37	4	4.9	12	14.8	27	33.3	26	32.1	12	14.8
Sand Lake	102	3.19	7	6.9 %	15	14.7 %	42	41.2 %	28	27.5 %	10	9.8 %
Scenic Foothills	39	3.18	4	10.3	6	15.4	12	30.8	13	33.3	4	10.3
South Addition	44	3.55	3	6.8	2	4.5	17	38.6	12	27.3	10	22.7
South Fork	21	3.33	0	0.0	4	19.0	10	47.6	3	14.3	4	19.0
Spenard	121	3.46	2	1.7	14	11.6	45	37.2	46	38.0	14	11.6
Taku/Campbell	57	3.14	2	3.5 %	9	15.8 %	27	47.4 %	17	29.8 %	2	3.5 %
Tudor Area	9	3.78	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	33.3	5	55.6	1	11.1
Turnagain	100	3.15	6	6.0	16	16.0	41	41.0	31	31.0	6	6.0
Turnagain Arm	28	3.00	1	3.6	4	14.3	17	60.7	6	21.4	0	0.0
University Area	43	3.56	2	4.7	4	9.3	9	20.9	24	55.8	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	3.21	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	8	57.1 %	3	21.4 %	1	7.1 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate greater satisfaction while numbers below 3.00 indicate less satisfaction. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly dissatisfied=1; Dissatisfied=2; No opinion=3; Satisfied=4; Strongly satisfied=5.

2. 38 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.10. Usage of Anchorage Fire Services in Past Twelve Months

### Table 3.10a. Usage of Anchorage Fire Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary

Question 19a. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Fire service**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,608	90.7 %	90.7 %
Yes	164	9.3	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.10b. Usage of Anchorage Fire Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary by Community Council

Question 19a. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Fire service**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	8	Mountain View	48	0.15	41	7
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	3	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.08	148	12
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	4
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.13	72	11
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.12	91	12
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	6	South Addition	47	0.15	40	7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	9	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.09	114	11
Fairview	70	0.07	65	5	Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	7	Turnagain	101	0.10	91	10
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	2	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.13	60	9	University Area	43	0.02	42	1
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	4	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.10c. Usage of Anchorage Fire Services  
in Past Twelve Months: Detail**

Question 19a. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Fire service**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>90.7 %</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>9.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	93.5 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	89.7	6	10.3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	83.6 %	9	16.4 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.07	65	92.9	5	7.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.12	52	88.1	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.13	60	87.0	9	13.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Mountain View	48	0.15	41	85.4	7	14.6
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	160	0.08	148	92.5 %	12	7.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.13	72	86.7	11	13.3
Sand Lake	103	0.12	91	88.3 %	12	11.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.15	40	85.1	7	14.9
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.09	114	91.2	11	8.8
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.10	91	90.1	10	9.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.11. Usage of Anchorage Emergency Medical Services in Past Twelve Months

**Table 3.11a. Usage of Anchorage Emergency Medical Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary**

Question 19b. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Emergency medical services**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,419	80.1 %	80.1 %
Yes	353	19.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.11b. Usage of Anchorage Emergency Medical Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary by Community Council**

Question 19b. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Emergency medical services**

**Anchorage average: 0.20**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.19	100	24	Mountain View	48	0.23	37	11
Airport Heights	51	0.25	38	13	North Star	43	0.19	35	8
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.24	121	39
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.32	28	13	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	5
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	8
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	1	Russian Jack Park	83	0.25	62	21
Chugiak	34	0.24	26	8	Sand Lake	103	0.21	81	22
Downtown	38	0.26	28	10	Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	7
Eagle River	58	0.17	48	10	South Addition	47	0.17	39	8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.24	42	13	South Fork	21	0.10	19	2
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	125	0.23	96	29
Fairview	70	0.23	54	16	Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	4
Government Hill	59	0.22	46	13	Turnagain	101	0.20	81	20
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.22	54	15	University Area	43	0.07	40	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	4	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.11c. Usage of Anchorage Emergency Medical Services in Past Twelve Months: Detail**

Question 19b. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Emergency medical services**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>80.1 %</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>19.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.19	100	80.6 %	24	19.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.25	38	74.5	13	25.5
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.32	28	68.3	13	31.7
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Chugiak	34	0.24	26	76.5	8	23.5
Downtown	38	0.26	28	73.7	10	26.3
Eagle River	58	0.17	48	82.8	10	17.2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.24	42	76.4 %	13	23.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	70	0.23	54	77.1	16	22.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.22	46	78.0	13	22.0
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.22	54	78.3	15	21.7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Mountain View	48	0.23	37	77.1	11	22.9
North Star	43	0.19	35	81.4	8	18.6
Northeast	160	0.24	121	75.6 %	39	24.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	90.4	5	9.6
Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	65.2	8	34.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.25	62	74.7	21	25.3
Sand Lake	103	0.21	81	78.6 %	22	21.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
South Addition	47	0.17	39	83.0	8	17.0
South Fork	21	0.10	19	90.5	2	9.5
Spenard	125	0.23	96	76.8	29	23.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	89.7 %	6	10.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	60.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	101	0.20	81	80.2	20	19.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
University Area	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.12. Usage of Anchorage K–12 Educational Services in Past Twelve Months

### Table 3.12a. Usage of Anchorage K–12 Educational Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary

Question 19c. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Anchorage School District (please check if you are the parent or guardian of a child enrolled)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,189	67.1 %	67.1 %
Yes	583	32.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.12b. Usage of Anchorage K–12 Educational Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary by Community Council

Question 19c. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Anchorage School District (please check if you are the parent or guardian of a child enrolled)**

**Anchorage average: 0.33**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.46	67	57	Mountain View	48	0.35	31	17
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	8	North Star	43	0.16	36	7
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.30	112	48
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.29	29	12	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.43	16	12
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.40	31	21
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	7	Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	6
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	7	Russian Jack Park	83	0.31	57	26
Chugiak	34	0.56	15	19	Sand Lake	103	0.31	71	32
Downtown	38	0.21	30	8	Scenic Foothills	42	0.43	24	18
Eagle River	58	0.33	39	19	South Addition	47	0.13	41	6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.58	23	32	South Fork	21	0.52	10	11
Elmendorf	15	0.60	6	9	Spenard	125	0.18	102	23
Fairview	70	0.29	50	20	Taku/Campbell	58	0.31	40	18
Fort Richardson	11	0.64	4	7	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	59	0.31	41	18	Turnagain	101	0.34	67	34
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.39	17	11
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.38	43	26	University Area	43	0.35	28	15
Mid-Hillside	34	0.50	17	17	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	4

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

**Table 3.12c. Usage of Anchorage K–12 Educational Services  
in Past Twelve Months: Detail**

Question 19c. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **Anchorage School District (please check if you are the parent or guardian of a child enrolled)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>1,189</b>	<b>67.1 %</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>32.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.46	67	54.0 %	57	46.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.16	43	84.3	8	15.7
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.29	29	70.7	12	29.3
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	50.0 %	7	50.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
Chugiak	34	0.56	15	44.1	19	55.9
Downtown	38	0.21	30	78.9	8	21.1
Eagle River	58	0.33	39	67.2	19	32.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.58	23	41.8 %	32	58.2 %
Elmendorf	15	0.60	6	40.0	9	60.0
Fairview	70	0.29	50	71.4	20	28.6
Fort Richardson	11	0.64	4	36.4	7	63.6
Government Hill	59	0.31	41	69.5	18	30.5
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.38	43	62.3	26	37.7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.50	17	50.0	17	50.0
Mountain View	48	0.35	31	64.6	17	35.4
North Star	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Northeast	160	0.30	112	70.0 %	48	30.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.43	16	57.1	12	42.9
Rabbit Creek	52	0.40	31	59.6	21	40.4
Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	73.9	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	83	0.31	57	68.7	26	31.3
Sand Lake	103	0.31	71	68.9 %	32	31.1 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.43	24	57.1	18	42.9
South Addition	47	0.13	41	87.2	6	12.8
South Fork	21	0.52	10	47.6	11	52.4
Spenard	125	0.18	102	81.6	23	18.4
Taku/Campbell	58	0.31	40	69.0 %	18	31.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	101	0.34	67	66.3	34	33.7
Turnagain Arm	28	0.39	17	60.7	11	39.3
University Area	43	0.35	28	65.1	15	34.9
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	75.0 %	4	25.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.13. Usage of People Mover Bus Services in Past Twelve Months

### Table 3.13a. Usage of People Mover Bus Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary

Question 19d. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **People Mover bus system**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,268	71.6 %	71.6 %
Yes	504	28.4	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.13b. Usage of People Mover Bus Services in Past Twelve Months: Summary by Community Council

Question 19d. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **People Mover bus system**

Anchorage average: 0.28

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.23	96	28	Mountain View	48	0.44	27	21
Airport Heights	51	0.29	36	15	North Star	43	0.37	27	16
Basher	9	0.33	6	3	Northeast	160	0.37	101	59
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.32	19	9
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	2
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.36	27	15	Russian Jack Park	83	0.28	60	23
Chugiak	34	0.26	25	9	Sand Lake	103	0.19	83	20
Downtown	38	0.26	28	10	Scenic Foothills	42	0.36	27	15
Eagle River	58	0.33	39	19	South Addition	47	0.36	30	17
Eagle River Valley	55	0.18	45	10	South Fork	21	0.48	11	10
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	125	0.30	88	37
Fairview	70	0.57	30	40	Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	11
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.46	32	27	Turnagain	101	0.23	78	23
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.21	22	6
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.17	57	12	University Area	43	0.33	29	14
Mid-Hillside	34	0.18	28	6	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	4

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 3.13c. Usage of People Mover Bus Services  
in Past Twelve Months: Detail**

Question 19d. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services? Please check all that apply. — **People Mover bus system**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>71.6 %</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>28.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.23	96	77.4 %	28	22.6 %
Airport Heights	51	0.29	36	70.6	15	29.4
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.15	35	85.4	6	14.6
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	0.36	27	64.3	15	35.7
Chugiak	34	0.26	25	73.5	9	26.5
Downtown	38	0.26	28	73.7	10	26.3
Eagle River	58	0.33	39	67.2	19	32.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.18	45	81.8 %	10	18.2 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	70	0.57	30	42.9	40	57.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.46	32	54.2	27	45.8
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.17	57	82.6	12	17.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Mountain View	48	0.44	27	56.3	21	43.8
North Star	43	0.37	27	62.8	16	37.2
Northeast	160	0.37	101	63.1 %	59	36.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.32	19	67.9	9	32.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.28	60	72.3	23	27.7
Sand Lake	103	0.19	83	80.6 %	20	19.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.36	27	64.3	15	35.7
South Addition	47	0.36	30	63.8	17	36.2
South Fork	21	0.48	11	52.4	10	47.6
Spenard	125	0.30	88	70.4	37	29.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.19	47	81.0 %	11	19.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.23	78	77.2	23	22.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.21	22	78.6	6	21.4
University Area	43	0.33	29	67.4	14	32.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.25	12	75.0 %	4	25.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.14. Interaction with Municipal Departments About Municipal Issues in Last Twelve Months

**Table 3.14a. Interaction with Municipal Departments About  
Municipal Issues in Last Twelve Months: Summary**

Question 20. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member had any interaction with departments in the municipality about municipal issues like animal control, snow plowing, or trash services?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	1,151	65.0 %	65.0 %
Yes	571	32.2	97.2
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,722</b>	<b>97.2 %</b>	
Missing	50	2.8	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.14b. Interaction with Municipal Departments About Municipal Issues  
in Last Twelve Months: Summary by Community Council**

Question 20. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member had any interaction with departments in the municipality about municipal issues like animal control, snow plowing, or trash services?

Anchorage average: 0.33

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	122	0.39	74	48	Mountain View	45	0.38	28	17
Airport Heights	48	0.33	32	16	North Star	39	0.28	28	11
Basher	9	0.33	6	3	Northeast	156	0.32	106	50
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.23	31	9	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.36	18	10
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	3	Rabbit Creek	50	0.24	38	12
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	7	Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	11
Campbell Park	41	0.24	31	10	Russian Jack Park	81	0.32	55	26
Chugiak	34	0.47	18	16	Sand Lake	99	0.31	68	31
Downtown	36	0.22	28	8	Scenic Foothills	40	0.38	25	15
Eagle River	56	0.29	40	16	South Addition	45	0.33	30	15
Eagle River Valley	54	0.35	35	19	South Fork	21	0.38	13	8
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	121	0.31	84	37
Fairview	69	0.25	52	17	Taku/Campbell	56	0.23	43	13
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	6	5	Tudor Area	10	0.70	3	7
Government Hill	56	0.32	38	18	Turnagain	101	0.36	65	36
Hillside East	13	0.46	7	6	Turnagain Arm	28	0.32	19	9
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.49	35	33	University Area	41	0.34	27	14
Mid-Hillside	33	0.27	24	9	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.27	11	4

1. 50 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.14c. Interaction with Municipal Departments  
About Municipal Issues in Last Twelve Months: Detail**

Question 20. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member had any interaction with departments in the municipality about municipal issues like animal control, snow plowing, or trash services?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,722<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>1,151</b>	<b>66.8 %</b>	<b>571</b>	<b>33.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	122	0.39	74	60.7 %	48	39.3 %
Airport Heights	48	0.33	32	66.7	16	33.3
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.23	31	77.5	9	22.5
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	50.0 %	7	50.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.24	31	75.6	10	24.4
Chugiak	34	0.47	18	52.9	16	47.1
Downtown	36	0.22	28	77.8	8	22.2
Eagle River	56	0.29	40	71.4	16	28.6
Eagle River Valley	54	0.35	35	64.8 %	19	35.2 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	69	0.25	52	75.4	17	24.6
Fort Richardson	11	0.45	6	54.5	5	45.5
Government Hill	56	0.32	38	67.9	18	32.1
Hillside East	13	0.46	7	53.8 %	6	46.2 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.49	35	51.5	33	48.5
Mid-Hillside	33	0.27	24	72.7	9	27.3
Mountain View	45	0.38	28	62.2	17	37.8
North Star	39	0.28	28	71.8	11	28.2
Northeast	156	0.32	106	67.9 %	50	32.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.36	18	64.3	10	35.7
Rabbit Creek	50	0.24	38	76.0	12	24.0
Rogers Park	23	0.48	12	52.2	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	81	0.32	55	67.9	26	32.1
Sand Lake	99	0.31	68	68.7 %	31	31.3 %
Scenic Foothills	40	0.38	25	62.5	15	37.5
South Addition	45	0.33	30	66.7	15	33.3
South Fork	21	0.38	13	61.9	8	38.1
Spenard	121	0.31	84	69.4	37	30.6
Taku/Campbell	56	0.23	43	76.8 %	13	23.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.70	3	30.0	7	70.0
Turnagain	101	0.36	65	64.4	36	35.6
Turnagain Arm	28	0.32	19	67.9	9	32.1
University Area	41	0.34	27	65.9	14	34.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.27	11	73.3 %	4	26.7 %


- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting use of this service. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 50 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.15. Opinion of Opportunities for Input on Municipal Decisions

### Table 3.15a. Opinion of Opportunities for Input on Municipal Decisions: Summary

Question 21a. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Opportunities to give input on Municipal decisions**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Poor	186	10.5 %	10.5 %
Fair	476	26.9	37.4
Good	587	33.1	70.5
Excellent	76	4.3	74.8
No opinion	407	23.0	97.7
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,732</b>	<b>97.7 %</b>	
Missing	40	2.3	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.15b. Opinion of Opportunities for Input on Municipal Decisions: Summary by Community Council

Question 21a. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Opportunities to give input on Municipal decisions**

Anchorage average: 2.94									
	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent
Abbott Loop	122	2.83	19	1	Mountain View	46	3.13	4	4
Airport Heights	51	3.16	3	5	North Star	42	3.10	2	2
Basher	9	3.78	0	1	Northeast	157	2.97	12	7
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.00	6	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.96	1	0
Bear Valley	4	3.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	2.88	8	2
Birchwood	14	2.86	1	0	Rogers Park	23	3.39	1	2
Campbell Park	40	2.80	5	1	Russian Jack Park	80	2.84	11	1
Chugiak	32	3.09	2	1	Sand Lake	99	2.75	15	4
Downtown	37	3.14	5	2	Scenic Foothills	37	3.08	3	5
Eagle River	56	3.09	3	2	South Addition	47	3.06	4	5
Eagle River Valley	54	2.76	7	0	South Fork	20	2.65	1	1
Elmendorf	15	2.87	1	0	Spenard	124	2.75	15	3
Fairview	68	2.91	10	4	Taku/Campbell	56	2.88	7	3
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	1	2	Tudor Area	8	3.25	0	0
Government Hill	58	2.91	7	0	Turnagain	100	2.84	16	6
Hillside East	13	3.08	1	0	Turnagain Arm	28	2.79	1	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.97	9	4	University Area	43	3.23	1	2
Mid-Hillside	34	3.09	3	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.60	1	0

1. 40 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of opportunities to participate while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor = 1; Fair = 2; No opinion = 3; Good = 4; Excellent = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.15c. Opinion of Opportunities for Input on Municipal Decisions: Detail**

Question 21a. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Opportunities to give input on Municipal decisions**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Poor		Fair		No opinion		Good		Excellent	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,732</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.94</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>10.7 %</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>27.5 %</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>23.5 %</b>	<b>587</b>	<b>33.9 %</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>4.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	2.83	19	15.6 %	25	20.5 %	37	30.3 %	40	32.8 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	51	3.16	3	5.9	12	23.5	15	29.4	16	31.4	5	9.8
Basher	9	3.78	0	0.0	1	11.1	1	11.1	6	66.7	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.00	6	14.6	10	24.4	7	17.1	14	34.1	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	3.00	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.86	1	7.1 %	6	42.9 %	1	7.1 %	6	42.9 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	40	2.80	5	12.5	11	27.5	12	30.0	11	27.5	1	2.5
Chugiak	32	3.09	2	6.3	9	28.1	6	18.8	14	43.8	1	3.1
Downtown	37	3.14	5	13.5	5	13.5	9	24.3	16	43.2	2	5.4
Eagle River	56	3.09	3	5.4	15	26.8	14	25.0	22	39.3	2	3.6
Eagle River Valley	54	2.76	7	13.0 %	16	29.6 %	14	25.9 %	17	31.5 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	2.87	1	6.7	3	20.0	8	53.3	3	20.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	2.91	10	14.7	16	23.5	16	23.5	22	32.4	4	5.9
Fort Richardson	11	3.36	1	9.1	1	9.1	4	36.4	3	27.3	2	18.2
Government Hill	58	2.91	7	12.1	16	27.6	10	17.2	25	43.1	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	3.08	1	7.7 %	3	23.1 %	3	23.1 %	6	46.2 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.97	9	13.0	19	27.5	10	14.5	27	39.1	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	34	3.09	3	8.8	9	26.5	6	17.6	14	41.2	2	5.9
Mountain View	46	3.13	4	8.7	10	21.7	12	26.1	16	34.8	4	8.7
North Star	42	3.10	2	4.8	12	28.6	10	23.8	16	38.1	2	4.8
Northeast	157	2.97	12	7.6 %	46	29.3 %	41	26.1 %	51	32.5 %	7	4.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.96	1	3.6	9	32.1	8	28.6	10	35.7	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	2.88	8	15.7	14	27.5	7	13.7	20	39.2	2	3.9
Rogers Park	23	3.39	1	4.3	6	26.1	1	4.3	13	56.5	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	80	2.84	11	13.8	21	26.3	19	23.8	28	35.0	1	1.3
Sand Lake	99	2.75	15	15.2 %	27	27.3 %	29	29.3 %	24	24.2 %	4	4.0 %
Scenic Foothills	37	3.08	3	8.1	11	29.7	8	21.6	10	27.0	5	13.5
South Addition	47	3.06	4	8.5	13	27.7	11	23.4	14	29.8	5	10.6
South Fork	20	2.65	1	5.0	10	50.0	5	25.0	3	15.0	1	5.0
Spenard	124	2.75	15	12.1	45	36.3	23	18.5	38	30.6	3	2.4
Taku/Campbell	56	2.88	7	12.5 %	14	25.0 %	17	30.4 %	15	26.8 %	3	5.4 %
Tudor Area	8	3.25	0	0.0	3	37.5	0	0.0	5	62.5	0	0.0
Turnagain	100	2.84	16	16.0	27	27.0	20	20.0	31	31.0	6	6.0
Turnagain Arm	28	2.79	1	3.6	12	42.9	7	25.0	8	28.6	0	0.0
University Area	43	3.23	1	2.3	11	25.6	10	23.3	19	44.2	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.60	1	6.7 %	6	40.0 %	6	40.0 %	2	13.3 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of opportunities to participate while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

2. 40 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.16. Opinion of Municipality's Distribution of Information to Residents**

**Table 3.16a. Opinion of Municipality's Distribution of Information to Residents: Summary**

Question 21b. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Distribution of information to area residents**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Poor	254	14.3 %	14.3 %
Fair	525	29.6	44.0
Good	600	33.9	77.8
Excellent	73	4.1	81.9
No opinion	283	16.0	97.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,735</b>	<b>97.9 %</b>	
Missing	37	2.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.16b. Opinion of Municipality's Distribution of Information to Residents: Summary by Community Council**

Question 21b. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Distribution of information to area residents**

Anchorage average: 2.83									
	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent
Abbott Loop	122	2.77	18	3	Mountain View	46	3.07	7	4
Airport Heights	51	2.75	7	3	North Star	42	2.95	2	2
Basher	9	3.44	0	1	Northeast	156	2.80	27	6
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.93	9	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.18	1	0
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	2.84	5	1
Birchwood	14	2.29	2	0	Rogers Park	23	3.04	1	2
Campbell Park	41	2.71	6	2	Russian Jack Park	80	2.81	12	2
Chugiak	32	2.84	7	0	Sand Lake	100	2.66	19	5
Downtown	37	2.92	8	3	Scenic Foothills	37	2.81	5	5
Eagle River	56	2.88	5	0	South Addition	46	3.20	4	7
Eagle River Valley	54	2.61	10	1	South Fork	20	2.45	5	0
Elmendorf	15	3.07	2	0	Spenard	124	2.55	25	3
Fairview	68	2.81	16	4	Taku/Campbell	57	2.65	8	0
Fort Richardson	11	3.09	1	2	Tudor Area	8	3.63	0	1
Government Hill	59	2.80	8	1	Turnagain	101	3.01	15	7
Hillside East	13	2.85	0	0	Turnagain Arm	28	2.96	4	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.84	9	1	University Area	43	3.26	2	4
Mid-Hillside	34	3.00	3	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.67	1	0

1. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of information distribution while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.16c. Opinion of Municipality's Distribution of Information to Residents: Detail**

Question 21b. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Distribution of information to area residents**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Poor		Fair		No opinion		Good		Excellent	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,735<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>2.83</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>14.6 %</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>30.3 %</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>16.3 %</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>34.6 %</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>4.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	2.77	18	14.8 %	39	32.0 %	21	17.2 %	41	33.6 %	3	2.5 %
Airport Heights	51	2.75	7	13.7	18	35.3	10	19.6	13	25.5	3	5.9
Basher	9	3.44	0	0.0	3	33.3	0	0.0	5	55.6	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.93	9	22.0	5	12.2	8	19.5	18	43.9	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.29	2	14.3 %	9	64.3 %	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	2.71	6	14.6	14	34.1	9	22.0	10	24.4	2	4.9
Chugiak	32	2.84	7	21.9	4	12.5	8	25.0	13	40.6	0	0.0
Downtown	37	2.92	8	21.6	8	21.6	3	8.1	15	40.5	3	8.1
Eagle River	56	2.88	5	8.9	20	35.7	8	14.3	23	41.1	0	0.0
Eagle River Valley	54	2.61	10	18.5 %	17	31.5 %	12	22.2 %	14	25.9 %	1	1.9 %
Elmendorf	15	3.07	2	13.3	1	6.7	6	40.0	6	40.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	2.81	16	23.5	13	19.1	11	16.2	24	35.3	4	5.9
Fort Richardson	11	3.09	1	9.1	3	27.3	3	27.3	2	18.2	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	2.80	8	13.6	20	33.9	8	13.6	22	37.3	1	1.7
Hillside East	13	2.85	0	0.0 %	6	46.2 %	3	23.1 %	4	30.8 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.84	9	13.0	23	33.3	8	11.6	28	40.6	1	1.4
Mid-Hillside	34	3.00	3	8.8	11	32.4	4	11.8	15	44.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	46	3.07	7	15.2	9	19.6	8	17.4	18	39.1	4	8.7
North Star	42	2.95	2	4.8	16	38.1	8	19.0	14	33.3	2	4.8
Northeast	156	2.80	27	17.3 %	42	26.9 %	28	17.9 %	53	34.0 %	6	3.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.18	1	3.6	7	25.0	6	21.4	14	50.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	2.84	5	9.8	19	37.3	7	13.7	19	37.3	1	2.0
Rogers Park	23	3.04	1	4.3	10	43.5	1	4.3	9	39.1	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	80	2.81	12	15.0	23	28.8	15	18.8	28	35.0	2	2.5
Sand Lake	100	2.66	19	19.0 %	33	33.0 %	16	16.0 %	27	27.0 %	5	5.0 %
Scenic Foothills	37	2.81	5	13.5	15	40.5	4	10.8	8	21.6	5	13.5
South Addition	46	3.20	4	8.7	13	28.3	6	13.0	16	34.8	7	15.2
South Fork	20	2.45	5	25.0	7	35.0	2	10.0	6	30.0	0	0.0
Spenard	124	2.55	25	20.2	46	37.1	16	12.9	34	27.4	3	2.4
Taku/Campbell	57	2.65	8	14.0 %	22	38.6 %	9	15.8 %	18	31.6 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	8	3.63	0	0.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	1	12.5
Turnagain	101	3.01	15	14.9	22	21.8	18	17.8	39	38.6	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	28	2.96	4	14.3	6	21.4	6	21.4	11	39.3	1	3.6
University Area	43	3.26	2	4.7	12	27.9	6	14.0	19	44.2	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.67	1	6.7 %	6	40.0 %	5	33.3 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of information distribution while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

2. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.17. Opinion of Municipal Employees

**Table 3.17a. Opinion of Municipal Employees: Summary**

Question 21c. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Employment of polite and professional staff**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Poor	144	8.1 %	8.1 %
Fair	419	23.6	31.8
Good	648	36.6	68.3
Excellent	106	6.0	74.3
No opinion	410	23.1	97.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,727</b>	<b>97.5 %</b>	
Missing	45	2.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.17b. Opinion of Municipal Employees: Summary by Community Council**

Question 21c. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Employment of polite and professional staff**

Anchorage average: 3.09									
	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent
Abbott Loop	122	2.98	13	3	Mountain View	46	3.26	3	2
Airport Heights	51	3.22	2	5	North Star	42	2.95	3	2
Basher	9	3.22	1	0	Northeast	156	3.04	18	10
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.03	3	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.00	0	0
Bear Valley	4	3.25	0	1	Rabbit Creek	51	3.00	3	2
Birchwood	14	3.14	0	0	Rogers Park	23	2.83	2	0
Campbell Park	41	2.85	3	2	Russian Jack Park	80	2.86	8	2
Chugiak	32	3.00	5	3	Sand Lake	99	3.07	10	8
Downtown	37	3.27	3	7	Scenic Foothills	37	3.03	2	3
Eagle River	57	3.28	3	5	South Addition	45	3.36	3	6
Eagle River Valley	55	2.75	8	0	South Fork	20	3.20	1	2
Elmendorf	15	3.13	1	0	Spenard	124	3.10	8	7
Fairview	68	3.04	7	5	Taku/Campbell	56	3.02	5	4
Fort Richardson	11	3.00	1	1	Tudor Area	8	3.75	0	0
Government Hill	57	3.25	4	4	Turnagain	98	3.23	11	9
Hillside East	13	3.23	0	0	Turnagain Arm	28	3.04	3	1
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.32	6	3	University Area	43	3.21	1	4
Mid-Hillside	34	3.24	1	4	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.80	2	1

1. 45 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of staff demeanor while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.17c. Opinion of Municipal Employees: Detail**

Question 21c. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Employment of polite and professional staff**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Poor		Fair		No opinion		Good		Excellent	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,727<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>3.09</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>8.3 %</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>24.3 %</b>	<b>410</b>	<b>23.7 %</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>37.5 %</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>6.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	2.98	13	10.7 %	29	23.8 %	30	24.6 %	47	38.5 %	3	2.5 %
Airport Heights	51	3.22	2	3.9	12	23.5	15	29.4	17	33.3	5	9.8
Basher	9	3.22	1	11.1	1	11.1	2	22.2	5	55.6	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.03	3	7.5	9	22.5	12	30.0	16	40.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	3.25	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	3.14	0	0.0 %	4	28.6 %	4	28.6 %	6	42.9 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	2.85	3	7.3	15	36.6	10	24.4	11	26.8	2	4.9
Chugiak	32	3.00	5	15.6	6	18.8	8	25.0	10	31.3	3	9.4
Downtown	37	3.27	3	8.1	7	18.9	11	29.7	9	24.3	7	18.9
Eagle River	57	3.28	3	5.3	14	24.6	9	15.8	26	45.6	5	8.8
Eagle River Valley	55	2.75	8	14.5 %	15	27.3 %	15	27.3 %	17	30.9 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	3.13	1	6.7	2	13.3	6	40.0	6	40.0	0	0.0
Fairview	68	3.04	7	10.3	15	22.1	19	27.9	22	32.4	5	7.4
Fort Richardson	11	3.00	1	9.1	2	18.2	5	45.5	2	18.2	1	9.1
Government Hill	57	3.25	4	7.0	14	24.6	7	12.3	28	49.1	4	7.0
Hillside East	13	3.23	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	4	30.8 %	6	46.2 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.32	6	8.8	7	10.3	17	25.0	35	51.5	3	4.4
Mid-Hillside	34	3.24	1	2.9	9	26.5	9	26.5	11	32.4	4	11.8
Mountain View	46	3.26	3	6.5	8	17.4	11	23.9	22	47.8	2	4.3
North Star	42	2.95	3	7.1	13	31.0	11	26.2	13	31.0	2	4.8
Northeast	156	3.04	18	11.5 %	33	21.2 %	39	25.0 %	56	35.9 %	10	6.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.00	0	0.0	9	32.1	10	35.7	9	32.1	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	3.00	3	5.9	17	33.3	10	19.6	19	37.3	2	3.9
Rogers Park	23	2.83	2	8.7	10	43.5	1	4.3	10	43.5	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	80	2.86	8	10.0	25	31.3	19	23.8	26	32.5	2	2.5
Sand Lake	99	3.07	10	10.1 %	24	24.2 %	22	22.2 %	35	35.4 %	8	8.1 %
Scenic Foothills	37	3.03	2	5.4	12	32.4	9	24.3	11	29.7	3	8.1
South Addition	45	3.36	3	6.7	8	17.8	10	22.2	18	40.0	6	13.3
South Fork	20	3.20	1	5.0	4	20.0	7	35.0	6	30.0	2	10.0
Spenard	124	3.10	8	6.5	34	27.4	26	21.0	49	39.5	7	5.6
Taku/Campbell	56	3.02	5	8.9 %	17	30.4 %	10	17.9 %	20	35.7 %	4	7.1 %
Tudor Area	8	3.75	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	7	87.5	0	0.0
Turnagain	98	3.23	11	11.2	14	14.3	23	23.5	41	41.8	9	9.2
Turnagain Arm	28	3.04	3	10.7	8	28.6	3	10.7	13	46.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	3.21	1	2.3	13	30.2	9	20.9	16	37.2	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.80	2	13.3 %	3	20.0 %	7	46.7 %	2	13.3 %	1	6.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of staff demeanor while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

2. 45 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.18. Opinion of Municipality's Willingness to Address Problems

**Table 3.18a. Opinion of Municipality's Willingness to Address Problems: Summary**

Question 21d. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Willingness to address problems identified by area residents**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Poor	232	13.1 %	13.1 %
Fair	485	27.4	40.5
Good	459	25.9	66.4
Excellent	70	4.0	70.3
No opinion	479	27.0	97.3
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,725</b>	<b>97.3 %</b>	
Missing	47	2.7	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.18b. Opinion of Municipality's Willingness to Address Problems: Summary by Community Council**

Question 21d. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Willingness to address problems identified by area residents**

Anchorage average: 2.80									
	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent
Abbott Loop	122	2.75	19	1	Mountain View	45	3.02	5	3
Airport Heights	51	2.80	6	2	North Star	42	2.81	4	2
Basher	9	3.22	0	0	Northeast	154	2.66	23	6
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.85	5	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.04	1	1
Bear Valley	4	3.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	2.73	5	1
Birchwood	14	2.79	2	0	Rogers Park	23	2.78	2	0
Campbell Park	41	2.83	4	1	Russian Jack Park	80	2.61	14	2
Chugiak	30	2.63	6	0	Sand Lake	100	2.72	17	4
Downtown	37	3.08	7	4	Scenic Foothills	37	2.92	3	3
Eagle River	57	3.11	4	4	South Addition	46	3.09	6	5
Eagle River Valley	55	2.60	11	0	South Fork	20	2.85	3	2
Elmendorf	15	2.80	0	0	Spenard	123	2.67	23	6
Fairview	67	2.90	8	2	Taku/Campbell	57	2.75	5	1
Fort Richardson	11	3.18	1	2	Tudor Area	8	3.13	1	0
Government Hill	57	2.86	7	3	Turnagain	99	2.73	18	5
Hillside East	13	2.54	4	0	Turnagain Arm	27	2.48	4	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.88	7	5	University Area	43	3.02	4	2
Mid-Hillside	34	2.76	2	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.87	1	0

1. 47 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of responsiveness to problems while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.18c. Opinion of Municipality's Willingness to Address Problems: Detail**

Question 21d. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas? — **Willingness to address problems identified by area residents**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Poor		Fair		No opinion		Good		Excellent	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,725</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>28.1 %</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>27.8 %</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>26.6 %</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>4.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	2.75	19	15.6 %	29	23.8 %	39	32.0 %	34	27.9 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	51	2.80	6	11.8	13	25.5	19	37.3	11	21.6	2	3.9
Basher	9	3.22	0	0.0	3	33.3	1	11.1	5	55.6	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	2.85	5	12.2	10	24.4	12	29.3	14	34.1	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	3.00	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.79	2	14.3 %	4	28.6 %	3	21.4 %	5	35.7 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	2.83	4	9.8	12	29.3	13	31.7	11	26.8	1	2.4
Chugiak	30	2.63	6	20.0	7	23.3	9	30.0	8	26.7	0	0.0
Downtown	37	3.08	7	18.9	3	8.1	11	29.7	12	32.4	4	10.8
Eagle River	57	3.11	4	7.0	14	24.6	15	26.3	20	35.1	4	7.0
Eagle River Valley	55	2.60	11	20.0 %	14	25.5 %	16	29.1 %	14	25.5 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	2.80	0	0.0	5	33.3	8	53.3	2	13.3	0	0.0
Fairview	67	2.90	8	11.9	16	23.9	20	29.9	21	31.3	2	3.0
Fort Richardson	11	3.18	1	9.1	2	18.2	4	36.4	2	18.2	2	18.2
Government Hill	57	2.86	7	12.3	15	26.3	17	29.8	15	26.3	3	5.3
Hillside East	13	2.54	4	30.8 %	1	7.7 %	5	38.5 %	3	23.1 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.88	7	10.1	22	31.9	17	24.6	18	26.1	5	7.2
Mid-Hillside	34	2.76	2	5.9	14	41.2	10	29.4	6	17.6	2	5.9
Mountain View	45	3.02	5	11.1	11	24.4	10	22.2	16	35.6	3	6.7
North Star	42	2.81	4	9.5	15	35.7	10	23.8	11	26.2	2	4.8
Northeast	154	2.66	23	14.9 %	48	31.2 %	47	30.5 %	30	19.5 %	6	3.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.04	1	3.6	9	32.1	7	25.0	10	35.7	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	2.73	5	9.8	19	37.3	13	25.5	13	25.5	1	2.0
Rogers Park	23	2.78	2	8.7	10	43.5	2	8.7	9	39.1	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	80	2.61	14	17.5	23	28.8	25	31.3	16	20.0	2	2.5
Sand Lake	100	2.72	17	17.0 %	29	29.0 %	23	23.0 %	27	27.0 %	4	4.0 %
Scenic Foothills	37	2.92	3	8.1	12	32.4	10	27.0	9	24.3	3	8.1
South Addition	46	3.09	6	13.0	8	17.4	13	28.3	14	30.4	5	10.9
South Fork	20	2.85	3	15.0	5	25.0	6	30.0	4	20.0	2	10.0
Spenard	123	2.67	23	18.7	39	31.7	22	17.9	33	26.8	6	4.9
Taku/Campbell	57	2.75	5	8.8 %	22	38.6 %	13	22.8 %	16	28.1 %	1	1.8 %
Tudor Area	8	3.13	1	12.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	0	0.0
Turnagain	99	2.73	18	18.2	22	22.2	33	33.3	21	21.2	5	5.1
Turnagain Arm	27	2.48	4	14.8	11	40.7	8	29.6	3	11.1	1	3.7
University Area	43	3.02	4	9.3	11	25.6	10	23.3	16	37.2	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.87	1	6.7 %	3	20.0 %	8	53.3 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of responsiveness to problems while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor=1; Fair=2; No opinion=3; Good=4; Excellent=5.

2. 47 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.19. Opinion of Quality of Health Care Available in Anchorage

### Table 3.19a. Opinion of Quality of Health Care Available in Anchorage: Summary

Question 22. In your opinion, what is the quality of health and medical care available in Anchorage?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Poor	157	8.9 %	8.9 %
Fair	372	21.0	29.9
Good	750	42.3	72.2
Excellent	425	24.0	96.2
No opinion	55	3.1	99.3
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,759</b>	<b>99.3 %</b>	
Missing	13	0.7	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.19b. Opinion of Quality of Health Care Available in Anchorage: Summary by Community Council

Question 22. In your opinion, what is the quality of health and medical care available in Anchorage?

Anchorage average: 3.52

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Poor	Excellent
Abbott Loop	122	3.47	10	21	Mountain View	47	3.09	6	8
Airport Heights	51	3.37	5	10	North Star	43	3.63	4	11
Basher	9	3.44	0	1	Northeast	159	3.48	15	43
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.68	3	14	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.75	1	5
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	3.50	4	10
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	4	Rogers Park	23	3.65	1	3
Campbell Park	41	3.54	4	9	Russian Jack Park	82	3.37	10	22
Chugiak	34	3.47	3	8	Sand Lake	102	3.50	11	24
Downtown	38	3.45	5	11	Scenic Foothills	41	3.34	5	9
Eagle River	56	3.41	8	12	South Addition	47	3.43	2	13
Eagle River Valley	55	3.76	1	14	South Fork	21	3.62	3	5
Elmendorf	15	3.00	2	1	Spenard	124	3.32	13	22
Fairview	70	3.43	8	17	Taku/Campbell	58	3.76	3	18
Fort Richardson	11	3.27	2	3	Tudor Area	10	4.30	0	5
Government Hill	59	3.66	3	14	Turnagain	101	3.73	10	29
Hillside East	13	3.69	0	5	Turnagain Arm	28	3.39	3	7
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.97	1	29	University Area	43	3.58	7	12
Mid-Hillside	34	3.62	1	6	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	2.64	3	0

1. 13 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of local health care quality while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor = 1; Fair = 2; No opinion = 3; Good = 4; Excellent = 5.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 3.19c. Opinion of Quality of Health Care Available in Anchorage: Detail**

Question 22. *In your opinion, what is the quality of health and medical care available in Anchorage?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Poor		Fair		No opinion		Good		Excellent	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,759</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>8.9 %</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>21.1 %</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>3.1 %</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>42.6 %</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>24.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	3.47	10	8.2 %	27	22.1 %	2	1.6 %	62	50.8 %	21	17.2 %
Airport Heights	51	3.37	5	9.8	13	25.5	1	2.0	22	43.1	10	19.6
Basher	9	3.44	0	0.0	3	33.3	0	0.0	5	55.6	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.68	3	7.3	9	22.0	0	0.0	15	36.6	14	34.1
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.93	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	1	7.1 %	7	50.0 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	41	3.54	4	9.8	6	14.6	4	9.8	18	43.9	9	22.0
Chugiak	34	3.47	3	8.8	8	23.5	1	2.9	14	41.2	8	23.5
Downtown	38	3.45	5	13.2	8	21.1	1	2.6	13	34.2	11	28.9
Eagle River	56	3.41	8	14.3	9	16.1	3	5.4	24	42.9	12	21.4
Eagle River Valley	55	3.76	1	1.8 %	11	20.0 %	2	3.6 %	27	49.1 %	14	25.5 %
Elmendorf	15	3.00	2	13.3	3	20.0	4	26.7	5	33.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	3.43	8	11.4	14	20.0	5	7.1	26	37.1	17	24.3
Fort Richardson	11	3.27	2	18.2	2	18.2	1	9.1	3	27.3	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	3.66	3	5.1	12	20.3	1	1.7	29	49.2	14	23.7
Hillside East	13	3.69	0	0.0 %	4	30.8 %	1	7.7 %	3	23.1 %	5	38.5 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.97	1	1.4	14	20.3	0	0.0	25	36.2	29	42.0
Mid-Hillside	34	3.62	1	2.9	8	23.5	0	0.0	19	55.9	6	17.6
Mountain View	47	3.09	6	12.8	16	34.0	1	2.1	16	34.0	8	17.0
North Star	43	3.63	4	9.3	7	16.3	1	2.3	20	46.5	11	25.6
Northeast	159	3.48	15	9.4 %	38	23.9 %	4	2.5 %	59	37.1 %	43	27.0 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.75	1	3.6	4	14.3	1	3.6	17	60.7	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	52	3.50	4	7.7	11	21.2	2	3.8	25	48.1	10	19.2
Rogers Park	23	3.65	1	4.3	4	17.4	0	0.0	15	65.2	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	82	3.37	10	12.2	21	25.6	2	2.4	27	32.9	22	26.8
Sand Lake	102	3.50	11	10.8 %	19	18.6 %	4	3.9 %	44	43.1 %	24	23.5 %
Scenic Foothills	41	3.34	5	12.2	10	24.4	1	2.4	16	39.0	9	22.0
South Addition	47	3.43	2	4.3	17	36.2	0	0.0	15	31.9	13	27.7
South Fork	21	3.62	3	14.3	2	9.5	0	0.0	11	52.4	5	23.8
Spenard	124	3.32	13	10.5	30	24.2	7	5.6	52	41.9	22	17.7
Taku/Campbell	58	3.76	3	5.2 %	11	19.0 %	1	1.7 %	25	43.1 %	18	31.0 %
Tudor Area	10	4.30	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	4	40.0	5	50.0
Turnagain	101	3.73	10	9.9	12	11.9	2	2.0	48	47.5	29	28.7
Turnagain Arm	28	3.39	3	10.7	7	25.0	1	3.6	10	35.7	7	25.0
University Area	43	3.58	7	16.3	4	9.3	1	2.3	19	44.2	12	27.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	14	2.64	3	21.4 %	5	35.7 %	0	0.0 %	6	42.9 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate a more positive assessment of local health care quality while numbers below 3.00 indicate a more negative assessment. Values are assigned as follows: Poor = 1; Fair = 2; No opinion = 3; Good = 4; Excellent = 5.

2. 13 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 2 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 3.20. Number of Times Received Medical Care in Past Year

### Table 3.20a. Number of Times Received Medical Care in Past Year: Summary

Question 23. Please indicate the number of times you and/or members of your family have received medical care over the past twelve months.

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not at all	190	10.7 %	10.7 %
1 or 2 times	405	22.9	33.6
3 or 4 times	473	26.7	60.3
5 or more times	670	37.8	98.1
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,738</b>	<b>98.1 %</b>	
Missing	34	1.9	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.20b. Number of Times Received Medical Care in Past Year: Summary by Community Council

Question 23. Please indicate the number of times you and/or members of your family have received medical care over the past twelve months.

Anchorage average: 1.93

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	Five or more times		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not at all	Five or more times
Abbott Loop	121	2.02	10	50	Mountain View	47	2.04	5	18
Airport Heights	51	1.57	9	11	North Star	42	1.81	6	13
Basher	9	2.67	0	7	Northeast	158	2.06	15	74
Bayshore/Klatt	40	1.75	8	16	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.89	6	15
Bear Valley	4	2.25	0	2	Rabbit Creek	52	1.98	4	20
Birchwood	13	2.31	0	7	Rogers Park	23	2.17	1	11
Campbell Park	40	1.63	8	8	Russian Jack Park	82	1.79	12	28
Chugiak	34	1.94	5	15	Sand Lake	99	1.92	12	38
Downtown	37	1.97	4	11	Scenic Foothills	40	1.98	4	16
Eagle River	54	2.07	5	22	South Addition	47	1.89	2	13
Eagle River Valley	55	1.85	2	17	South Fork	21	2.38	0	13
Elmendorf	15	2.00	2	8	Spenard	123	1.81	18	44
Fairview	69	1.84	9	24	Taku/Campbell	58	2.05	1	22
Fort Richardson	11	1.55	3	3	Tudor Area	10	2.00	1	4
Government Hill	58	1.76	10	20	Turnagain	100	1.96	12	39
Hillside East	12	2.42	0	6	Turnagain Arm	28	1.36	4	4
Huffman/O'Malley	66	2.11	6	31	University Area	42	2.02	5	20
Mid-Hillside	34	2.15	0	16	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.93	1	4

1. 34 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more frequent medical care. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all = 0; 1 or 2 times = 1; 3 or 4 times = 2; 5 or more times = 3.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.20c. Number of Times Received Medical Care in Past Year: Detail**

Question 23. Please indicate the number of times you and/or members of your family have received medical care over the past twelve months.

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category							
			Not at all		1 or 2 times		3 or 4 times		5 or more times	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,738 <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>10.9 %</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>23.3 %</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>27.2 %</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>38.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>										
Abbott Loop	121	2.02	10	8.3 %	28	23.1 %	33	27.3 %	50	41.3 %
Airport Heights	51	1.57	9	17.6	15	29.4	16	31.4	11	21.6
Basher	9	2.67	0	0.0	1	11.1	1	11.1	7	77.8
Bayshore/Klatt	40	1.75	8	20.0	10	25.0	6	15.0	16	40.0
Bear Valley	4	2.25	0	0.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	13	2.31	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	3	23.1 %	7	53.8 %
Campbell Park	40	1.63	8	20.0	7	17.5	17	42.5	8	20.0
Chugiak	34	1.94	5	14.7	7	20.6	7	20.6	15	44.1
Downtown	37	1.97	4	10.8	4	10.8	18	48.6	11	29.7
Eagle River	54	2.07	5	9.3	8	14.8	19	35.2	22	40.7
Eagle River Valley	55	1.85	2	3.6 %	21	38.2 %	15	27.3 %	17	30.9 %
Elmendorf	15	2.00	2	13.3	4	26.7	1	6.7	8	53.3
Fairview	69	1.84	9	13.0	17	24.6	19	27.5	24	34.8
Fort Richardson	11	1.55	3	27.3	2	18.2	3	27.3	3	27.3
Government Hill	58	1.76	10	17.2	14	24.1	14	24.1	20	34.5
Hillside East	12	2.42	0	0.0 %	1	8.3 %	5	41.7 %	6	50.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	66	2.11	6	9.1	12	18.2	17	25.8	31	47.0
Mid-Hillside	34	2.15	0	0.0	11	32.4	7	20.6	16	47.1
Mountain View	47	2.04	5	10.6	6	12.8	18	38.3	18	38.3
North Star	42	1.81	6	14.3	9	21.4	14	33.3	13	31.0
Northeast	158	2.06	15	9.5 %	34	21.5 %	35	22.2 %	74	46.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	1.89	6	21.4	6	21.4	1	3.6	15	53.6
Rabbit Creek	52	1.98	4	7.7	13	25.0	15	28.8	20	38.5
Rogers Park	23	2.17	1	4.3	5	21.7	6	26.1	11	47.8
Russian Jack Park	82	1.79	12	14.6	21	25.6	21	25.6	28	34.1
Sand Lake	99	1.92	12	12.1 %	22	22.2 %	27	27.3 %	38	38.4 %
Scenic Foothills	40	1.98	4	10.0	9	22.5	11	27.5	16	40.0
South Addition	47	1.89	2	4.3	14	29.8	18	38.3	13	27.7
South Fork	21	2.38	0	0.0	5	23.8	3	14.3	13	61.9
Spenard	123	1.81	18	14.6	31	25.2	30	24.4	44	35.8
Taku/Campbell	58	2.05	1	1.7 %	17	29.3 %	18	31.0 %	22	37.9 %
Tudor Area	10	2.00	1	10.0	2	20.0	3	30.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	100	1.96	12	12.0	19	19.0	30	30.0	39	39.0
Turnagain Arm	28	1.36	4	14.3	14	50.0	6	21.4	4	14.3
University Area	42	2.02	5	11.9	9	21.4	8	19.0	20	47.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.93	1	6.7 %	3	20.0 %	7	46.7 %	4	26.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more frequent medical care. Values are assigned as follows: Not at all=0; 1 or 2 times=1; 3 or 4 times=2; 5 or more times=3.

2. 34 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.21. Health Care Coverage

**Table 3.21a. Health Care Coverage: Summary**

Question 24a. Do you have any kind of health care coverage (health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare)?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	247	13.9 %	13.9 %
Yes	1,500	84.7	98.6
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,747</b>	<b>98.6 %</b>	
Missing	25	1.4	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.21b. Health Care Coverage: Summary by Community Council**

Question 24a. Do you have any kind of health care coverage (health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare)?

Anchorage average: 0.86

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	123	0.85	18	105	Mountain View	47	0.85	7	40
Airport Heights	49	0.88	6	43	North Star	42	0.86	6	36
Basher	9	1.00	0	9	Northeast	158	0.80	31	127
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.88	5	36	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.82	5	23
Bear Valley	4	1.00	0	4	Rabbit Creek	51	0.92	4	47
Birchwood	13	0.85	2	11	Rogers Park	23	0.91	2	21
Campbell Park	41	0.90	4	37	Russian Jack Park	82	0.85	12	70
Chugiak	33	0.85	5	28	Sand Lake	102	0.87	13	89
Downtown	36	0.81	7	29	Scenic Foothills	42	0.90	4	38
Eagle River	56	0.88	7	49	South Addition	43	0.84	7	36
Eagle River Valley	55	0.95	3	52	South Fork	21	1.00	0	21
Elmendorf	15	0.93	1	14	Spenard	124	0.85	19	105
Fairview	70	0.71	20	50	Taku/Campbell	58	0.86	8	50
Fort Richardson	11	0.91	1	10	Tudor Area	10	1.00	0	10
Government Hill	58	0.81	11	47	Turnagain	101	0.82	18	83
Hillside East	13	0.92	1	12	Turnagain Arm	27	0.70	8	19
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.96	3	66	University Area	43	0.88	5	38
Mid-Hillside	34	0.88	4	30	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.00	0	15

1. 25 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they have health care coverage. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.21c. Health Care Coverage:: Detail**

Question 24a. Do you have any kind of health care coverage (health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare)?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,747<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>14.1 %</b>	<b>###</b>	<b>85.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	123	0.85	18	14.6 %	105	85.4 %
Airport Heights	49	0.88	6	12.2	43	87.8
Basher	9	1.00	0	0.0	9	100.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.88	5	12.2	36	87.8
Bear Valley	4	1.00	0	0.0	4	100.0
Birchwood	13	0.85	2	15.4 %	11	84.6 %
Campbell Park	41	0.90	4	9.8	37	90.2
Chugiak	33	0.85	5	15.2	28	84.8
Downtown	36	0.81	7	19.4	29	80.6
Eagle River	56	0.88	7	12.5	49	87.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.95	3	5.5 %	52	94.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.93	1	6.7	14	93.3
Fairview	70	0.71	20	28.6	50	71.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.91	1	9.1	10	90.9
Government Hill	58	0.81	11	19.0	47	81.0
Hillside East	13	0.92	1	7.7 %	12	92.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.96	3	4.3	66	95.7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.88	4	11.8	30	88.2
Mountain View	47	0.85	7	14.9	40	85.1
North Star	42	0.86	6	14.3	36	85.7
Northeast	158	0.80	31	19.6 %	127	80.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.82	5	17.9	23	82.1
Rabbit Creek	51	0.92	4	7.8	47	92.2
Rogers Park	23	0.91	2	8.7	21	91.3
Russian Jack Park	82	0.85	12	14.6	70	85.4
Sand Lake	102	0.87	13	12.7 %	89	87.3 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.90	4	9.5	38	90.5
South Addition	43	0.84	7	16.3	36	83.7
South Fork	21	1.00	0	0.0	21	100.0
Spenard	124	0.85	19	15.3	105	84.7
Taku/Campbell	58	0.86	8	13.8 %	50	86.2 %
Tudor Area	10	1.00	0	0.0	10	100.0
Turnagain	101	0.82	18	17.8	83	82.2
Turnagain Arm	27	0.70	8	29.6	19	70.4
University Area	43	0.88	5	11.6	38	88.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	1.00	0	0.0 %	15	100.0 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they have health care coverage. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.
- 25 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.22. Need for Medical Attention in Past Year

Table 3.22a. Need for Medical Attention in Past Year: Summary

Question 24b. Have you needed medical attention in the past year?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	370	20.9 %	20.9 %
Yes	1,380	77.9	98.8
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,750</b>	<b>98.8 %</b>	
Missing	22	1.2	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	




Table 3.22b. Need for Medical Attention in Past Year: Summary by Community Council

Question 24b. Have you needed medical attention in the past year?

Anchorage average: 0.79

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	123	0.76	29	94	Mountain View	47	0.72	13	34
Airport Heights	48	0.81	9	39	North Star	43	0.70	13	30
Basher	9	0.89	1	8	Northeast	159	0.82	28	131
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.83	7	34	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.79	6	22
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	3	Rabbit Creek	52	0.83	9	43
Birchwood	13	0.77	3	10	Rogers Park	23	1.00	0	23
Campbell Park	41	0.80	8	33	Russian Jack Park	83	0.70	25	58
Chugiak	34	0.76	8	26	Sand Lake	102	0.79	21	81
Downtown	37	0.84	6	31	Scenic Foothills	41	0.83	7	34
Eagle River	56	0.77	13	43	South Addition	46	0.78	10	36
Eagle River Valley	53	0.68	17	36	South Fork	21	0.90	2	19
Elmendorf	15	0.87	2	13	Spenard	124	0.75	31	93
Fairview	68	0.76	16	52	Taku/Campbell	58	0.81	11	47
Fort Richardson	11	0.82	2	9	Tudor Area	10	0.90	1	9
Government Hill	58	0.74	15	43	Turnagain	101	0.83	17	84
Hillside East	13	0.92	1	12	Turnagain Arm	27	0.56	12	15
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.80	14	55	University Area	43	0.86	6	37
Mid-Hillside	34	0.85	5	29	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.93	1	14

1. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they have needed medical attention. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.22c. Need for Medical Attention in Past Year: Detail**

*Question 24b. Have you needed medical attention in the past year?*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,750<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.79</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>21.1 %</b>	<b>1,380</b>	<b>78.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	123	0.76	29	23.6 %	94	76.4 %
Airport Heights	48	0.81	9	18.8	39	81.3
Basher	9	0.89	1	11.1	8	88.9
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.83	7	17.1	34	82.9
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	13	0.77	3	23.1 %	10	76.9 %
Campbell Park	41	0.80	8	19.5	33	80.5
Chugiak	34	0.76	8	23.5	26	76.5
Downtown	37	0.84	6	16.2	31	83.8
Eagle River	56	0.77	13	23.2	43	76.8
Eagle River Valley	53	0.68	17	32.1 %	36	67.9 %
Elmendorf	15	0.87	2	13.3	13	86.7
Fairview	68	0.76	16	23.5	52	76.5
Fort Richardson	11	0.82	2	18.2	9	81.8
Government Hill	58	0.74	15	25.9	43	74.1
Hillside East	13	0.92	1	7.7 %	12	92.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.80	14	20.3	55	79.7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.85	5	14.7	29	85.3
Mountain View	47	0.72	13	27.7	34	72.3
North Star	43	0.70	13	30.2	30	69.8
Northeast	159	0.82	28	17.6 %	131	82.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.79	6	21.4	22	78.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.83	9	17.3	43	82.7
Rogers Park	23	1.00	0	0.0	23	100.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.70	25	30.1	58	69.9
Sand Lake	102	0.79	21	20.6 %	81	79.4 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.83	7	17.1	34	82.9
South Addition	46	0.78	10	21.7	36	78.3
South Fork	21	0.90	2	9.5	19	90.5
Spenard	124	0.75	31	25.0	93	75.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.81	11	19.0 %	47	81.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.90	1	10.0	9	90.0
Turnagain	101	0.83	17	16.8	84	83.2
Turnagain Arm	27	0.56	12	44.4	15	55.6
University Area	43	0.86	6	14.0	37	86.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.93	1	6.7 %	14	93.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they have needed medical attention. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Yes=1.

2. 22 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.23. Success at Obtaining Needed Medical Treatment

**Table 3.23a. Success at Obtaining Needed Medical Treatment: Summary**

Question 24c. Were you able to receive the medical treatment you needed?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	122	6.9 %	6.9 %
Yes	1,325	74.8	81.7
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,447</b>	<b>81.7 %</b>	
Missing	325	18.3	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.23b. Success at Obtaining Needed Medical Treatment: Summary by Community Council**

Question 24c. Were you able to receive the medical treatment you needed?

Anchorage average: 0.92

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	100	0.89	11	89	Mountain View	36	0.78	8	28
Airport Heights	41	0.93	3	38	North Star	32	0.94	2	30
Basher	8	0.88	1	7	Northeast	138	0.91	13	125
Bayshore/Klatt	35	0.83	6	29	Old Seward/Oceanview	22	0.95	1	21
Bear Valley	3	0.67	1	2	Rabbit Creek	44	0.95	2	42
Birchwood	10	0.90	1	9	Rogers Park	23	1.00	0	23
Campbell Park	35	0.94	2	33	Russian Jack Park	63	0.92	5	58
Chugiak	28	0.96	1	27	Sand Lake	82	0.89	9	73
Downtown	34	0.91	3	31	Scenic Foothills	36	0.97	1	35
Eagle River	45	0.93	3	42	South Addition	38	0.89	4	34
Eagle River Valley	39	0.92	3	36	South Fork	19	0.89	2	17
Elmendorf	13	1.00	0	13	Spenard	98	0.90	10	88
Fairview	58	0.84	9	49	Taku/Campbell	47	0.94	3	44
Fort Richardson	11	0.82	2	9	Tudor Area	9	1.00	0	9
Government Hill	46	0.93	3	43	Turnagain	88	0.93	6	82
Hillside East	12	0.92	1	11	Turnagain Arm	17	0.94	1	16
Huffman/O'Malley	58	0.97	2	56	University Area	39	0.97	1	38
Mid-Hillside	29	0.97	1	28	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	11	0.91	1	10

1. 325 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they were able to obtain needed treatment. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 5 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

**Table 3.23c. Success at Obtaining Needed Medical Treatment:  
Detail**

Question 24c. Were you able to receive the medical treatment you needed?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			No		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,447<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>8.4 %</b>	<b>1,325</b>	<b>91.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	100	0.89	11	11.0 %	89	89.0 %
Airport Heights	41	0.93	3	7.3	38	92.7
Basher	8	0.88	1	12.5	7	87.5
Bayshore/Klatt	35	0.83	6	17.1	29	82.9
Bear Valley	3	0.67	1	33.3	2	66.7
Birchwood	10	0.90	1	10.0 %	9	90.0 %
Campbell Park	35	0.94	2	5.7	33	94.3
Chugiak	28	0.96	1	3.6	27	96.4
Downtown	34	0.91	3	8.8	31	91.2
Eagle River	45	0.93	3	6.7	42	93.3
Eagle River Valley	39	0.92	3	7.7 %	36	92.3 %
Elmendorf	13	1.00	0	0.0	13	100.0
Fairview	58	0.84	9	15.5	49	84.5
Fort Richardson	11	0.82	2	18.2	9	81.8
Government Hill	46	0.93	3	6.5	43	93.5
Hillside East	12	0.92	1	8.3 %	11	91.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	58	0.97	2	3.4	56	96.6
Mid-Hillside	29	0.97	1	3.4	28	96.6
Mountain View	36	0.78	8	22.2	28	77.8
North Star	32	0.94	2	6.3	30	93.8
Northeast	138	0.91	13	9.4 %	125	90.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	22	0.95	1	4.5	21	95.5
Rabbit Creek	44	0.95	2	4.5	42	95.5
Rogers Park	23	1.00	0	0.0	23	100.0
Russian Jack Park	63	0.92	5	7.9	58	92.1
Sand Lake	82	0.89	9	11.0 %	73	89.0 %
Scenic Foothills	36	0.97	1	2.8	35	97.2
South Addition	38	0.89	4	10.5	34	89.5
South Fork	19	0.89	2	10.5	17	89.5
Spenard	98	0.90	10	10.2	88	89.8
Taku/Campbell	47	0.94	3	6.4 %	44	93.6 %
Tudor Area	9	1.00	0	0.0	9	100.0
Turnagain	88	0.93	6	6.8	82	93.2
Turnagain Arm	17	0.94	1	5.9	16	94.1
University Area	39	0.97	1	2.6	38	97.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	11	0.91	1	9.1 %	10	90.9 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they were able to obtain needed treatment. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 325 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 5 of these respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

## Table 3.24. Obtaining Health Care from Private Doctor or Clinic

### Table 3.24a. Obtaining Health Care from Private Doctor or Clinic: Summary

Question 25a. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Private doctor/medical clinics**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	382	21.6 %	21.6 %
Usual care	1,371	77.4	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.24b. Obtaining Health Care from Private Doctor or Clinic: Summary by Community Council

Question 25a. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Private doctor/medical clinics**

Anchorage average: 0.78

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.76	30	94	Mountain View	46	0.72	13	33
Airport Heights	50	0.82	9	41	North Star	42	0.76	10	32
Basher	9	1.00	0	9	Northeast	160	0.71	47	113
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.85	6	35	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.82	5	23
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	3	Rabbit Creek	51	0.94	3	48
Birchwood	13	0.85	2	11	Rogers Park	23	0.91	2	21
Campbell Park	41	0.78	9	32	Russian Jack Park	81	0.74	21	60
Chugiak	34	0.76	8	26	Sand Lake	100	0.78	22	78
Downtown	38	0.89	4	34	Scenic Foothills	42	0.90	4	38
Eagle River	56	0.77	13	43	South Addition	47	0.81	9	38
Eagle River Valley	55	0.75	14	41	South Fork	21	0.86	3	18
Elmendorf	15	0.40	9	6	Spenard	123	0.85	19	104
Fairview	69	0.64	25	44	Taku/Campbell	57	0.68	18	39
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	3	Tudor Area	10	0.90	1	9
Government Hill	59	0.78	13	46	Turnagain	101	0.80	20	81
Hillside East	13	0.92	1	12	Turnagain Arm	28	0.64	10	18
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.88	8	60	University Area	43	0.81	8	35
Mid-Hillside	34	0.94	2	32	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.69	5	11

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

**Table 3.24c. Obtaining Health Care from Private Doctor  
or Clinic: Detail**

Question 25a. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Private doctor/medical clinics**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>21.8 %</b>	<b>1,371</b>	<b>78.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.76	30	24.2 %	94	75.8 %
Airport Heights	50	0.82	9	18.0	41	82.0
Basher	9	1.00	0	0.0	9	100.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.85	6	14.6	35	85.4
Bear Valley	4	0.75	1	25.0	3	75.0
Birchwood	13	0.85	2	15.4 %	11	84.6 %
Campbell Park	41	0.78	9	22.0	32	78.0
Chugiak	34	0.76	8	23.5	26	76.5
Downtown	38	0.89	4	10.5	34	89.5
Eagle River	56	0.77	13	23.2	43	76.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.75	14	25.5 %	41	74.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.40	9	60.0	6	40.0
Fairview	69	0.64	25	36.2	44	63.8
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	72.7	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	0.78	13	22.0	46	78.0
Hillside East	13	0.92	1	7.7 %	12	92.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.88	8	11.8	60	88.2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.94	2	5.9	32	94.1
Mountain View	46	0.72	13	28.3	33	71.7
North Star	42	0.76	10	23.8	32	76.2
Northeast	160	0.71	47	29.4 %	113	70.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.82	5	17.9	23	82.1
Rabbit Creek	51	0.94	3	5.9	48	94.1
Rogers Park	23	0.91	2	8.7	21	91.3
Russian Jack Park	81	0.74	21	25.9	60	74.1
Sand Lake	100	0.78	22	22.0 %	78	78.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.90	4	9.5	38	90.5
South Addition	47	0.81	9	19.1	38	80.9
South Fork	21	0.86	3	14.3	18	85.7
Spenard	123	0.85	19	15.4	104	84.6
Taku/Campbell	57	0.68	18	31.6 %	39	68.4 %
Tudor Area	10	0.90	1	10.0	9	90.0
Turnagain	101	0.80	20	19.8	81	80.2
Turnagain Arm	28	0.64	10	35.7	18	64.3
University Area	43	0.81	8	18.6	35	81.4
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.69	5	31.3 %	11	68.8 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 5 of these respondents did not answer this question and are omitted from the table.

## Table 3.25. Obtaining Health Care at Emergency Room

### Table 3.25a. Obtaining Health Care at Emergency Room: Summary

Question 25b. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Emergency room**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,310	73.9 %	73.9 %
Usual care	443	25.0	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.25b. Obtaining Health Care at Emergency Room: Summary by Community Council

Question 25b. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Emergency room**

Anchorage average: 0.25

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.27	90	34	Mountain View	46	0.20	37	9
Airport Heights	50	0.34	33	17	North Star	42	0.24	32	10
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.29	114	46
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.43	16	12
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.22	40	11
Birchwood	13	0.38	8	5	Rogers Park	23	0.30	16	7
Campbell Park	41	0.29	29	12	Russian Jack Park	81	0.26	60	21
Chugiak	34	0.15	29	5	Sand Lake	100	0.22	78	22
Downtown	38	0.34	25	13	Scenic Foothills	42	0.26	31	11
Eagle River	56	0.27	41	15	South Addition	47	0.32	32	15
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	9	South Fork	21	0.19	17	4
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	123	0.29	87	36
Fairview	69	0.25	52	17	Taku/Campbell	57	0.19	46	11
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.20	47	12	Turnagain	101	0.31	70	31
Hillside East	13	0.31	9	4	Turnagain Arm	28	0.21	22	6
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.16	57	11	University Area	43	0.21	34	9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.24	26	8	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.



**Table 3.25c. Obtaining Health Care at Emergency Room: Detail**

Question 25b. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Emergency room**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>1,310</b>	<b>74.7 %</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>25.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.27	90	72.6 %	34	27.4 %
Airport Heights	50	0.34	33	66.0	17	34.0
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	75.6	10	24.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.38	8	61.5 %	5	38.5 %
Campbell Park	41	0.29	29	70.7	12	29.3
Chugiak	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Downtown	38	0.34	25	65.8	13	34.2
Eagle River	56	0.27	41	73.2	15	26.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	83.6 %	9	16.4 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	69	0.25	52	75.4	17	24.6
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.20	47	79.7	12	20.3
Hillside East	13	0.31	9	69.2 %	4	30.8 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.16	57	83.8	11	16.2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.24	26	76.5	8	23.5
Mountain View	46	0.20	37	80.4	9	19.6
North Star	42	0.24	32	76.2	10	23.8
Northeast	160	0.29	114	71.3 %	46	28.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.43	16	57.1	12	42.9
Rabbit Creek	51	0.22	40	78.4	11	21.6
Rogers Park	23	0.30	16	69.6	7	30.4
Russian Jack Park	81	0.26	60	74.1	21	25.9
Sand Lake	100	0.22	78	78.0 %	22	22.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.26	31	73.8	11	26.2
South Addition	47	0.32	32	68.1	15	31.9
South Fork	21	0.19	17	81.0	4	19.0
Spenard	123	0.29	87	70.7	36	29.3
Taku/Campbell	57	0.19	46	80.7 %	11	19.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.31	70	69.3	31	30.7
Turnagain Arm	28	0.21	22	78.6	6	21.4
University Area	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.26. Obtaining Health Care from a Hospital

**Table 3.26a. Obtaining Health Care from a Hospital: Summary**

Question 25c. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Hospital**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,534	86.6 %	86.6 %
Usual care	219	12.4	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.26b. Obtaining Health Care from a Hospital: Summary by Community Council**

Question 25c. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Hospital**

Anchorage average: 0.12

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	8	Mountain View	46	0.09	42	4
Airport Heights	50	0.10	45	5	North Star	42	0.26	31	11
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.19	129	31
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.12	36	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	4
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	0	Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	6
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.16	68	13
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	100	0.14	86	14
Downtown	38	0.16	32	6	Scenic Foothills	42	0.24	32	10
Eagle River	56	0.13	49	7	South Addition	47	0.17	39	8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	123	0.18	101	22
Fairview	69	0.14	59	10	Taku/Campbell	57	0.11	51	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	9	Turnagain	101	0.11	90	11
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.07	63	5	University Area	43	0.07	40	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

**Table 3.26c. Obtaining Health Care from a Hospital: Detail**

Question 25c. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Hospital**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>1,534</b>	<b>87.5 %</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>12.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	116	93.5 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	50	0.10	45	90.0	5	10.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.12	36	87.8	5	12.2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.16	32	84.2	6	15.8
Eagle River	56	0.13	49	87.5	7	12.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	69	0.14	59	85.5	10	14.5
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	84.7	9	15.3
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.07	63	92.6	5	7.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	46	0.09	42	91.3	4	8.7
North Star	42	0.26	31	73.8	11	26.2
Northeast	160	0.19	129	80.6 %	31	19.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Rogers Park	23	0.26	17	73.9	6	26.1
Russian Jack Park	81	0.16	68	84.0	13	16.0
Sand Lake	100	0.14	86	86.0 %	14	14.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.24	32	76.2	10	23.8
South Addition	47	0.17	39	83.0	8	17.0
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	123	0.18	101	82.1	22	17.9
Taku/Campbell	57	0.11	51	89.5 %	6	10.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.11	90	89.1	11	10.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.27. Obtaining Health Care from Veteran's Administration Hospitals or Clinics

**Table 3.27a. Obtaining Health Care from Veteran's Administration Hospitals or Clinics: Summary**

Question 25d. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **VA hospital or clinic**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,639	92.5 %	92.5 %
Usual care	114	6.4	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.27b. Obtaining Health Care from Veteran's Administration Hospitals or Clinics: Summary by Community Council**

Question 25d. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **VA hospital or clinic**

Anchorage average: 0.07

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	7	Mountain View	46	0.04	44	2
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	2	North Star	42	0.10	38	4
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.11	142	18
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.02	50	1
Birchwood	13	0.15	11	2	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.11	72	9
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	100	0.07	93	7
Downtown	38	0.03	37	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	56	0.11	50	6	South Addition	47	0.06	44	3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	3	South Fork	21	0.14	18	3
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	123	0.07	114	9
Fairview	69	0.16	58	11	Taku/Campbell	57	0.04	55	2
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	2	Turnagain	101	0.06	95	6
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.03	66	2	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

**Table 3.27c. Obtaining Health Care from Veteran's Administration Hospitals or Clinics: Detail**

Question 25d. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **VA hospital or clinic**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>1,639</b>	<b>93.5 %</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>6.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	94.4 %	7	5.6 %
Airport Heights	50	0.04	48	96.0	2	4.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.03	37	97.4	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	0.11	50	89.3	6	10.7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	94.5 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.16	58	84.1	11	15.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	96.6	2	3.4
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.03	66	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	46	0.04	44	95.7	2	4.3
North Star	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Northeast	160	0.11	142	88.8 %	18	11.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	0.02	50	98.0	1	2.0
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	81	0.11	72	88.9	9	11.1
Sand Lake	100	0.07	93	93.0 %	7	7.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.06	44	93.6	3	6.4
South Fork	21	0.14	18	85.7	3	14.3
Spenard	123	0.07	114	92.7	9	7.3
Taku/Campbell	57	0.04	55	96.5 %	2	3.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.06	95	94.1	6	5.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.28. Obtaining Health Care from Neighborhood/Community Health Clinics

**Table 3.28a. Obtaining Health Care from Neighborhood/Community Health Clinics: Summary**

Question 25e. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Neighborhood/community health clinic**



**Table 3.28b. Obtaining Health Care from Neighborhood/Community Health Clinics: Summary by Community Council**

Question 25e. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Neighborhood/community health clinic**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.09	113	11	Mountain View	46	0.22	36	10
Airport Heights	50	0.08	46	4	North Star	42	0.07	39	3
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.11	142	18
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.17	34	7	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	3
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	0	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.15	69	12
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	6	Sand Lake	100	0.10	90	10
Downtown	38	0.11	34	4	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	56	0.07	52	4	South Addition	47	0.02	46	1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.09	50	5	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	123	0.07	115	8
Fairview	69	0.14	59	10	Taku/Campbell	57	0.07	53	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	2
Government Hill	59	0.10	53	6	Turnagain	101	0.08	93	8
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	21	7
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.03	66	2	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

**Table 3.28a. Obtaining Health Care from Neighborhood/  
Community Health Clinics: Detail**

Question 25e. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Neighborhood/community health clinic**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,611</b>	<b>90.9 %</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>9.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.09	113	91.1 %	11	8.9 %
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.17	34	82.9	7	17.1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Downtown	38	0.11	34	89.5	4	10.5
Eagle River	58	0.07	54	93.1	4	6.9
Eagle River Valley	55	0.09	50	90.9 %	5	9.1 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.14	60	85.7	10	14.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.10	53	89.8	6	10.2
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.03	67	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.21	38	79.2	10	20.8
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	160	0.11	142	88.8 %	18	11.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.14	71	85.5	12	14.5
Sand Lake	103	0.10	93	90.3 %	10	9.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.02	46	97.9	1	2.1
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.06	117	93.6	8	6.4
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.20	8	80.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.08	93	92.1	8	7.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages.  
Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from  
this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.29. Obtaining Health Care from an Urgent Care Clinic

### Table 3.29a. Obtaining Health Care from an Urgent Care Clinic: Summary

Question 25f. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Urgent care clinics**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,518	85.7 %	85.7 %
Usual care	235	13.3	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.29b. Obtaining Health Care from an Urgent Care Clinic: Summary by Community Council

Question 25f. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Urgent care clinics**

Anchorage average: 0.13

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.15	105	19	Mountain View	46	0.11	41	5
Airport Heights	50	0.08	46	4	North Star	42	0.05	40	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.17	133	27
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.22	32	9	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.29	20	8
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.10	46	5
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	0	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.10	73	8
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	6	Sand Lake	100	0.18	82	18
Downtown	38	0.16	32	6	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	56	0.13	49	7	South Addition	47	0.11	42	5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.14	18	3
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	123	0.15	104	19
Fairview	69	0.10	62	7	Taku/Campbell	57	0.21	45	12
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.10	53	6	Turnagain	101	0.13	88	13
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.18	56	12	University Area	43	0.07	40	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.29	24	10	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.



**Table 3.29c. Obtaining Health Care from an Urgent Care Clinic:  
Detail**

Question 25f. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Urgent care clinics**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>1,518</b>	<b>86.6 %</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>13.4 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.15	105	84.7 %	19	15.3 %
Airport Heights	50	0.08	46	92.0	4	8.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.22	32	78.0	9	22.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Downtown	38	0.16	32	84.2	6	15.8
Eagle River	56	0.13	49	87.5	7	12.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.10	62	89.9	7	10.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.10	53	89.8	6	10.2
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.18	56	82.4	12	17.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.29	24	70.6	10	29.4
Mountain View	46	0.11	41	89.1	5	10.9
North Star	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Northeast	160	0.17	133	83.1 %	27	16.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.29	20	71.4	8	28.6
Rabbit Creek	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	81	0.10	73	90.1	8	9.9
Sand Lake	100	0.18	82	82.0 %	18	18.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.11	42	89.4	5	10.6
South Fork	21	0.14	18	85.7	3	14.3
Spenard	123	0.15	104	84.6	19	15.4
Taku/Campbell	57	0.21	45	78.9 %	12	21.1 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.13	88	87.1	13	12.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.30. Obtaining Health Care from a Native Health Facility

**Table 3.30a. Obtaining Health Care from a Native Health Facility: Summary**

Question 25g. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Native health facility**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,610	90.9 %	90.9 %
Usual care	143	8.1	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.30b. Obtaining Health Care from a Native Health Facility: Summary by Community Council**

Question 25g. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Native health facility**

Anchorage average: 0.08

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.15	106	18	Mountain View	46	0.11	41	5
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	1	North Star	42	0.10	38	4
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.11	143	17
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	4
Birchwood	13	0.08	12	1	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.07	75	6
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	2	Sand Lake	100	0.11	89	11
Downtown	38	0.03	37	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	1
Eagle River	56	0.04	54	2	South Addition	47	0.09	43	4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	3	South Fork	21	0.10	19	2
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	123	0.07	115	8
Fairview	69	0.10	62	7	Taku/Campbell	57	0.11	51	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.07	94	7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.09	62	6	University Area	43	0.16	36	7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

**Table 3.30c. Obtaining Health Care from a Native Health Facility:  
Detail**

Question 25g. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Native health facility**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>1,610</b>	<b>91.8 %</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>8.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.15	106	85.5 %	18	14.5 %
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	98.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	90.2	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Downtown	38	0.03	37	97.4	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	0.04	54	96.4	2	3.6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.05	52	94.5 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.10	62	89.9	7	10.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.09	62	91.2	6	8.8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Mountain View	46	0.11	41	89.1	5	10.9
North Star	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Northeast	160	0.11	143	89.4 %	17	10.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	81	0.07	75	92.6	6	7.4
Sand Lake	100	0.11	89	89.0 %	11	11.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
South Addition	47	0.09	43	91.5	4	8.5
South Fork	21	0.10	19	90.5	2	9.5
Spenard	123	0.07	115	93.5	8	6.5
Taku/Campbell	57	0.11	51	89.5 %	6	10.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.07	94	93.1	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.31. Obtaining Health Care from an Alternative Care Practice

**Table 3.31a. Obtaining Health Care from an Alternative Care Practice: Summary**

Question 25h. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Alternative care practices (such as chiropractors, acupuncturists, naturopaths, etc.)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,583	89.3 %	89.3 %
Usual care	170	9.6	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.31b. Obtaining Health Care from an Alternative Care Practice: Summary by Community Council**

Question 25h. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Alternative care practices (such as chiropractors, acupuncturists, naturopaths, etc.)**

Anchorage average: 0.10

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.10	111	13	Mountain View	46	0.09	42	4
Airport Heights	50	0.16	42	8	North Star	42	0.05	40	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.07	149	11
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.12	45	6
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	0	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.11	72	9
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	100	0.13	87	13
Downtown	38	0.03	37	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.19	34	8
Eagle River	56	0.05	53	3	South Addition	47	0.06	44	3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.15	47	8	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	123	0.16	103	20
Fairview	69	0.14	59	10	Taku/Campbell	57	0.07	53	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	8	Turnagain	101	0.07	94	7
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.04	65	3	University Area	43	0.16	36	7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

**Table 3.31c. Obtaining Health Care from  
an Alternative Care Practice: Detail**

Question 25h. Where do you *usually* go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Alternative care practices (such as chiropractors, acupuncturists,  
naturopaths, etc.)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>1,583</b>	<b>90.3 %</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>9.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.10	111	89.5 %	13	10.5 %
Airport Heights	50	0.16	42	84.0	8	16.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	90.2	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.03	37	97.4	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	0.05	53	94.6	3	5.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.15	47	85.5 %	8	14.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.14	59	85.5	10	14.5
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	86.4	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.04	65	95.6	3	4.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	46	0.09	42	91.3	4	8.7
North Star	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Northeast	160	0.07	149	93.1 %	11	6.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	0.12	45	88.2	6	11.8
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	81	0.11	72	88.9	9	11.1
Sand Lake	100	0.13	87	87.0 %	13	13.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.19	34	81.0	8	19.0
South Addition	47	0.06	44	93.6	3	6.4
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	123	0.16	103	83.7	20	16.3
Taku/Campbell	57	0.07	53	93.0 %	4	7.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.07	94	93.1	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.32. Obtaining Health Care at Elmendorf Air Force Base

### Table 3.32a. Obtaining Health Care at Elmendorf Air Force Base: Summary

Question 25i. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Elmendorf Air Force Base**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,591	89.8 %	89.8 %
Usual care	162	9.1	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.32b. Obtaining Health Care at Elmendorf Air Force Base: Summary by Community Council

Question 25i. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Elmendorf Air Force Base**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.09	113	11	Mountain View	46	0.02	45	1
Airport Heights	50	0.06	47	3	North Star	42	0.05	40	2
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.13	139	21
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	3
Birchwood	13	0.15	11	2	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	3	Russian Jack Park	81	0.09	74	7
Chugiak	34	0.15	29	5	Sand Lake	100	0.05	95	5
Downtown	38	0.11	34	4	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	56	0.25	42	14	South Addition	47	0.02	46	1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.20	44	11	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.67	5	10	Spenard	123	0.07	115	8
Fairview	69	0.06	65	4	Taku/Campbell	57	0.11	51	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.82	2	9	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	9	Turnagain	101	0.06	95	6
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.04	65	3	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

**Table 3.32c. Obtaining Health Care at  
Elmendorf Air Force Base: Detail**

Question 25i. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Elmendorf Air Force Base**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,591</b>	<b>90.8 %</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>9.2 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.09	113	91.1 %	11	8.9 %
Airport Heights	50	0.06	47	94.0	3	6.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.15	11	84.6 %	2	15.4 %
Campbell Park	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Chugiak	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Downtown	38	0.11	34	89.5	4	10.5
Eagle River	56	0.25	42	75.0	14	25.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.20	44	80.0 %	11	20.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.67	5	33.3	10	66.7
Fairview	69	0.06	65	94.2	4	5.8
Fort Richardson	11	0.82	2	18.2	9	81.8
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	84.7	9	15.3
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.04	65	95.6	3	4.4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	46	0.02	45	97.8	1	2.2
North Star	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Northeast	160	0.13	139	86.9 %	21	13.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	81	0.09	74	91.4	7	8.6
Sand Lake	100	0.05	95	95.0 %	5	5.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.02	46	97.9	1	2.1
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	123	0.07	115	93.5	8	6.5
Taku/Campbell	57	0.11	51	89.5 %	6	10.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.06	95	94.1	6	5.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from this kind of provider. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.33. Not Seeking Treatment When in Need of Health Care

### Table 3.33a. Not Seeking Treatment When in Need of Health Care: Summary

Question 25j. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Not applicable: I don't seek treatment when I need it.**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,696	95.7 %	95.7 %
Usual care	57	3.2	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.33b. Not Seeking Treatment When in Need of Health Care: Summary by Community Council

Question 25j. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Not applicable: I don't seek treatment when I need it.**

Anchorage average: 0.03

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.03	120	4	Mountain View	46	0.02	45	1
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	1	North Star	42	0.00	42	0
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.06	150	10
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.00	51	0
Birchwood	13	0.08	12	1	Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	0
Campbell Park	41	0.05	39	2	Russian Jack Park	81	0.04	78	3
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	100	0.01	99	1
Downtown	38	0.03	37	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	56	0.02	55	1	South Addition	47	0.02	46	1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.07	51	4	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	3	Spenard	123	0.02	120	3
Fairview	69	0.07	64	5	Taku/Campbell	57	0.02	56	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.00	59	0	Turnagain	101	0.05	96	5
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.03	66	2	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually do not obtain health care when it is needed. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.



**Table 3.33c. Not Seeking Treatment When  
in Need of Health Care: Detail**

Question 25j. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Not  
applicable: I don't seek treatment when I need it.**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>1,696</b>	<b>96.7 %</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.03	120	96.8 %	4	3.2 %
Airport Heights	50	0.02	49	98.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Campbell Park	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.03	37	97.4	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	0.02	55	98.2	1	1.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.07	51	92.7 %	4	7.3 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	69	0.07	64	92.8	5	7.2
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.00	59	100.0	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.03	66	97.1	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	46	0.02	45	97.8	1	2.2
North Star	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
Northeast	160	0.06	150	93.8 %	10	6.3 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	51	0.00	51	100.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	100.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	81	0.04	78	96.3	3	3.7
Sand Lake	100	0.01	99	99.0 %	1	1.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.02	46	97.9	1	2.1
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	123	0.02	120	97.6	3	2.4
Taku/Campbell	57	0.02	56	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.05	96	95.0	5	5.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually do not obtain health care when it is needed. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.34. Obtaining Health Care from Other Sources

**Table 3.34a. Obtaining Health Care from Other Sources: Summary**

Question 25k. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Other (please specify)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not usual care	1,730	97.6 %	97.6 %
Usual care	23	1.3	98.9
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,753</b>	<b>98.9 %</b>	
Missing	19	1.1	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.34b. Obtaining Health Care from Other Sources: Summary by Community Council**

Question 25k. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. — **Other (please specify)**

Anchorage average: 0.01

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Not usual care	Usual care
Abbott Loop	124	0.01	123	1	Mountain View	46	0.04	44	2
Airport Heights	50	0.00	50	0	North Star	42	0.02	41	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.01	159	1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	51	0.00	51	0
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	0	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	41	0.00	41	0	Russian Jack Park	81	0.00	81	0
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	100	0.01	99	1
Downtown	38	0.03	37	1	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	56	0.02	55	1	South Addition	47	0.04	45	2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	0	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	123	0.00	123	0
Fairview	69	0.06	65	4	Taku/Campbell	57	0.02	56	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	1	Turnagain	101	0.01	100	1
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.01	67	1	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

2. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from a source not listed in the questionnaire item. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

**Table 3.34c. Obtaining Health Care from Other Sources: Detail**

Question 25k. Where do you usually go when you need to see a doctor?  
Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment. —  
**Other (please specify)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not usual care		Usual care	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,753</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>98.7 %</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.01	123	99.2 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	50	0.00	50	100.0	0	0.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	100.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	0.00	41	100.0	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.03	37	97.4	1	2.6
Eagle River	56	0.02	55	98.2	1	1.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.00	55	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	69	0.06	65	94.2	4	5.8
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	98.3	1	1.7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.01	67	98.5	1	1.5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	46	0.04	44	95.7	2	4.3
North Star	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Northeast	160	0.01	159	99.4 %	1	0.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	0.00	51	100.0	0	0.0
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	81	0.00	81	100.0	0	0.0
Sand Lake	100	0.01	99	99.0 %	1	1.0 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.04	45	95.7	2	4.3
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spennard	123	0.00	123	100.0	0	0.0
Taku/Campbell	57	0.02	56	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.01	100	99.0	1	1.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %


1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting that they usually obtain health care from a source not listed in the questionnaire item. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.
2. 19 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

# Table 3.35. Interest in a Community Justice Center in the Neighborhood

## Table 3.35a. Interest in a Community Justice Center in the Neighborhood: Summary

Question 26a. Community justice centers are places where some types of non-violent crime cases can be heard in more local, neighborhood courts and where residents can access social and community services. Would you be interested in having having a community justice center located in your neighborhood?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	398	22.5 %	22.5 %
Yes	482	27.2	49.7
Don't know	865	48.8	98.5
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,745</b>	<b>98.5 %</b>	
Missing	27	1.5	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



## Table 3.35b. Interest in a Community Justice Center in the Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 26a. Community justice centers are places where some types of non-violent crime cases can be heard in more local, neighborhood courts and where residents can access social and community services. Would you be interested in having having a community justice center located in your neighborhood?

Anchorage average: 1.05

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	123	1.02	28	31	Mountain View	46	1.28	7	20
Airport Heights	51	1.35	4	22	North Star	42	1.10	11	15
Basher	9	1.00	1	1	Northeast	159	1.20	26	58
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.95	10	8	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.93	6	4
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.79	19	8
Birchwood	13	1.46	2	8	Rogers Park	23	0.91	6	4
Campbell Park	41	1.10	11	15	Russian Jack Park	80	1.25	10	30
Chugiak	33	0.88	10	6	Sand Lake	98	1.12	17	29
Downtown	38	0.97	11	10	Scenic Foothills	40	1.05	7	9
Eagle River	56	1.07	14	18	South Addition	46	1.00	10	10
Eagle River Valley	54	0.87	18	11	South Fork	21	0.90	8	6
Elmendorf	15	0.67	6	1	Spenard	124	1.13	20	36
Fairview	68	1.29	10	30	Taku/Campbell	58	1.00	14	14
Fort Richardson	11	0.73	4	1	Tudor Area	10	0.90	3	2
Government Hill	59	1.10	8	14	Turnagain	101	0.96	23	19
Hillside East	13	0.69	5	1	Turnagain Arm	28	1.11	7	10
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.84	27	16	University Area	43	0.79	17	8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.94	8	6	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.53	8	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 1.00 reflect more respondents reporting an interest in having a community justice center in their neighborhood, while numbers below 1.00 reflect more respondents uninterested in having one. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Don't know = 1; Yes = 2.

2. 27 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.35c. Interest in a Community Justice Center in the Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 26a. Community justice centers are places where some types of non-violent crime cases can be heard in more local, neighborhood courts and where residents can access social and community services. Would you be interested in having having a community justice center located in your neighborhood?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category					
			No		Don't know/ no opinion		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,745<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>22.8 %</b>	<b>865</b>	<b>49.6 %</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>27.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>								
Abbott Loop	123	1.02	28	22.8 %	64	52.0 %	31	25.2 %
Airport Heights	51	1.35	4	7.8	25	49.0	22	43.1
Basher	9	1.00	1	11.1	7	77.8	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	40	0.95	10	25.0	22	55.0	8	20.0
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	1.46	2	15.4 %	3	23.1 %	8	61.5 %
Campbell Park	41	1.10	11	26.8	15	36.6	15	36.6
Chugiak	33	0.88	10	30.3	17	51.5	6	18.2
Downtown	38	0.97	11	28.9	17	44.7	10	26.3
Eagle River	56	1.07	14	25.0	24	42.9	18	32.1
Eagle River Valley	54	0.87	18	33.3 %	25	46.3 %	11	20.4 %
Elmendorf	15	0.67	6	40.0	8	53.3	1	6.7
Fairview	68	1.29	10	14.7	28	41.2	30	44.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.73	4	36.4	6	54.5	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	1.10	8	13.6	37	62.7	14	23.7
Hillside East	13	0.69	5	38.5 %	7	53.8 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.84	27	39.1	26	37.7	16	23.2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.94	8	23.5	20	58.8	6	17.6
Mountain View	46	1.28	7	15.2	19	41.3	20	43.5
North Star	42	1.10	11	26.2	16	38.1	15	35.7
Northeast	159	1.20	26	16.4 %	75	47.2 %	58	36.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.93	6	21.4	18	64.3	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.79	19	36.5	25	48.1	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	0.91	6	26.1	13	56.5	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	80	1.25	10	12.5	40	50.0	30	37.5
Sand Lake	98	1.12	17	17.3 %	52	53.1 %	29	29.6 %
Scenic Foothills	40	1.05	7	17.5	24	60.0	9	22.5
South Addition	46	1.00	10	21.7	26	56.5	10	21.7
South Fork	21	0.90	8	38.1	7	33.3	6	28.6
Spenard	124	1.13	20	16.1	68	54.8	36	29.0
Taku/Campbell	58	1.00	14	24.1 %	30	51.7 %	14	24.1 %
Tudor Area	10	0.90	3	30.0	5	50.0	2	20.0
Turnagain	101	0.96	23	22.8	59	58.4	19	18.8
Turnagain Arm	28	1.11	7	25.0	11	39.3	10	35.7
University Area	43	0.79	17	39.5	18	41.9	8	18.6
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.53	8	53.3 %	6	40.0 %	1	6.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 1.00 reflect more respondents reporting an interest in having a community justice center in their neighborhood, while numbers below 1.00 reflect more respondents uninterested in having one. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Don't know=1; Yes=2.

2. 27 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.


3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.36. Volunteering with a Community Justice Center

### Table 3.36a. Volunteering with a Community Justice Center: Summary

Question 26b. Would you be interested in volunteering time with a community justice center if one were located in your neighborhood?

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
No	747	42.2 %	42.2 %
Yes	319	18.0	60.2
Perhaps	675	38.1	98.3
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,741</b>	<b>98.3 %</b>	
Missing	31	1.7	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.36b. Volunteering with a Community Justice Center: Summary by Community Council

Question 26b. Would you be interested in volunteering time with a community justice center if one were located in your neighborhood?

Anchorage average: 0.75

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	No	Yes
Abbott Loop	123	0.70	59	22	Mountain View	46	0.87	18	12
Airport Heights	51	0.86	16	9	North Star	42	0.64	20	5
Basher	9	0.78	3	1	Northeast	157	0.90	57	41
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.78	16	7	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.71	13	5
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	0	Rabbit Creek	50	0.48	30	4
Birchwood	13	0.77	5	2	Rogers Park	22	0.68	11	4
Campbell Park	41	0.83	18	11	Russian Jack Park	81	0.65	38	10
Chugiak	34	0.56	18	3	Sand Lake	98	0.84	39	23
Downtown	38	0.84	15	9	Scenic Foothills	41	0.80	17	9
Eagle River	57	0.67	25	6	South Addition	46	0.70	22	8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.67	25	7	South Fork	20	0.80	8	4
Elmendorf	15	1.00	5	5	Spenard	123	0.81	48	25
Fairview	69	0.91	25	19	Taku/Campbell	57	0.81	22	11
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	6	1	Tudor Area	10	0.90	4	3
Government Hill	59	0.78	25	12	Turnagain	100	0.76	39	15
Hillside East	13	0.69	5	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.79	12	6
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.71	32	12	University Area	42	0.55	22	3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.71	14	4	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.20	12	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 1.00 reflect more respondents reporting an interest in volunteering in a neighborhood community justice center, while numbers below 1.00 reflect more respondents uninterested in volunteering there. Values are assigned as follows: No=0; Don't know=1; Yes=2.

2. 31 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.36c. Volunteering with a Community Justice Center: Detail**

Question 26b. Would you be interested in volunteering time with a community justice center if one were located in your neighborhood?

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category					
			No		Perhaps		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,741<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>42.9 %</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>38.8 %</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>18.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>								
Abbott Loop	123	0.70	59	48.0 %	42	34.1 %	22	17.9 %
Airport Heights	51	0.86	16	31.4	26	51.0	9	17.6
Basher	9	0.78	3	33.3	5	55.6	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.78	16	39.0	18	43.9	7	17.1
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	13	0.77	5	38.5 %	6	46.2 %	2	15.4 %
Campbell Park	41	0.83	18	43.9	12	29.3	11	26.8
Chugiak	34	0.56	18	52.9	13	38.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.84	15	39.5	14	36.8	9	23.7
Eagle River	57	0.67	25	43.9	26	45.6	6	10.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.67	25	45.5 %	23	41.8 %	7	12.7 %
Elmendorf	15	1.00	5	33.3	5	33.3	5	33.3
Fairview	69	0.91	25	36.2	25	36.2	19	27.5
Fort Richardson	11	0.55	6	54.5	4	36.4	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.78	25	42.4	22	37.3	12	20.3
Hillside East	13	0.69	5	38.5 %	7	53.8 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	0.71	32	47.1	24	35.3	12	17.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.71	14	41.2	16	47.1	4	11.8
Mountain View	46	0.87	18	39.1	16	34.8	12	26.1
North Star	42	0.64	20	47.6	17	40.5	5	11.9
Northeast	157	0.90	57	36.3 %	59	37.6 %	41	26.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.71	13	46.4	10	35.7	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	50	0.48	30	60.0	16	32.0	4	8.0
Rogers Park	22	0.68	11	50.0	7	31.8	4	18.2
Russian Jack Park	81	0.65	38	46.9	33	40.7	10	12.3
Sand Lake	98	0.84	39	39.8 %	36	36.7 %	23	23.5 %
Scenic Foothills	41	0.80	17	41.5	15	36.6	9	22.0
South Addition	46	0.70	22	47.8	16	34.8	8	17.4
South Fork	20	0.80	8	40.0	8	40.0	4	20.0
Spenard	123	0.81	48	39.0	50	40.7	25	20.3
Taku/Campbell	57	0.81	22	38.6 %	24	42.1 %	11	19.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.90	4	40.0	3	30.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	100	0.76	39	39.0	46	46.0	15	15.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.79	12	42.9	10	35.7	6	21.4
University Area	42	0.55	22	52.4	17	40.5	3	7.1
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	0.20	12	80.0 %	3	20.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 1.00 reflect more respondents reporting an interest in volunteering in a neighborhood community justice center, while numbers below 1.00 reflect more respondents uninterested in volunteering there. Values are assigned as follows: No = 0; Don't know = 1; Yes = 2.


2. 31 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.37. Responsiveness of Police to Local Issues

### Table 3.37a. Responsiveness of Police to Local Issues: Summary

Question 27a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **The police here are responsive to local issues.**



### Table 3.37b. Responsiveness of Police to Local Issues: Summary by Community Council

Question 27a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **The police here are responsive to local issues.**

Anchorage average: 3.56

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.57	4	9	Mountain View	47	3.53	3	7
Airport Heights	49	3.61	0	6	North Star	43	3.53	2	5
Basher	9	3.56	0	0	Northeast	159	3.47	6	14
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.50	1	5	Old Seward/Oceanview	27	3.52	0	1
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	3.53	0	4
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	2	Rogers Park	23	3.61	0	2
Campbell Park	41	3.51	2	4	Russian Jack Park	83	3.55	3	11
Chugiak	33	3.24	3	3	Sand Lake	101	3.66	2	12
Downtown	37	3.22	2	2	Scenic Foothills	39	3.56	0	2
Eagle River	57	3.53	1	4	South Addition	47	3.72	2	8
Eagle River Valley	55	3.71	1	5	South Fork	21	3.86	0	3
Elmendorf	15	3.60	0	2	Spenard	121	3.50	4	9
Fairview	67	3.64	4	9	Taku/Campbell	55	3.55	3	8
Fort Richardson	11	3.91	0	2	Tudor Area	8	4.13	0	1
Government Hill	59	3.78	1	8	Turnagain	100	3.52	1	8
Hillside East	13	3.15	0	1	Turnagain Arm	27	3.11	3	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.80	0	10	University Area	42	3.50	1	2
Mid-Hillside	33	3.48	1	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.13	1	0

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more favorable evaluations of police responsiveness while numbers below 3.00 indicate more unfavorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
- 34 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.37c. Responsiveness of Police to Local Issues: Detail**

Question 27a. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — ***The police here are responsive to local issues.***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,738</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2.9 %</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>14.4 %</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>16.6 %</b>	<b>973</b>	<b>56.0 %</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>10.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.57	4	3.3 %	13	10.6 %	24	19.5 %	73	59.3 %	9	7.3 %
Airport Heights	49	3.61	0	0.0	7	14.3	11	22.4	25	51.0	6	12.2
Basher	9	3.56	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2	6	66.7	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.50	1	2.5	8	20.0	6	15.0	20	50.0	5	12.5
Bear Valley	4	4.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.71	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	2	14.3 %	8	57.1 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	41	3.51	2	4.9	5	12.2	8	19.5	22	53.7	4	9.8
Chugiak	33	3.24	3	9.1	7	21.2	5	15.2	15	45.5	3	9.1
Downtown	37	3.22	2	5.4	8	21.6	9	24.3	16	43.2	2	5.4
Eagle River	57	3.53	1	1.8	9	15.8	10	17.5	33	57.9	4	7.0
Eagle River Valley	55	3.71	1	1.8 %	4	7.3 %	10	18.2 %	35	63.6 %	5	9.1 %
Elmendorf	15	3.60	0	0.0	2	13.3	4	26.7	7	46.7	2	13.3
Fairview	67	3.64	4	6.0	6	9.0	9	13.4	39	58.2	9	13.4
Fort Richardson	11	3.91	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	27.3	6	54.5	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	3.78	1	1.7	4	6.8	10	16.9	36	61.0	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	3.15	0	0.0 %	4	30.8 %	4	30.8 %	4	30.8 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.80	0	0.0	8	11.6	8	11.6	43	62.3	10	14.5
Mid-Hillside	33	3.48	1	3.0	5	15.2	7	21.2	17	51.5	3	9.1
Mountain View	47	3.53	3	6.4	6	12.8	8	17.0	23	48.9	7	14.9
North Star	43	3.53	2	4.7	8	18.6	3	7.0	25	58.1	5	11.6
Northeast	159	3.47	6	3.8 %	31	19.5 %	18	11.3 %	90	56.6 %	14	8.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	27	3.52	0	0.0	5	18.5	4	14.8	17	63.0	1	3.7
Rabbit Creek	51	3.53	0	0.0	10	19.6	8	15.7	29	56.9	4	7.8
Rogers Park	23	3.61	0	0.0	4	17.4	3	13.0	14	60.9	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	3.55	3	3.6	13	15.7	13	15.7	43	51.8	11	13.3
Sand Lake	101	3.66	2	2.0 %	9	8.9 %	22	21.8 %	56	55.4 %	12	11.9 %
Scenic Foothills	39	3.56	0	0.0	5	12.8	9	23.1	23	59.0	2	5.1
South Addition	47	3.72	2	4.3	4	8.5	7	14.9	26	55.3	8	17.0
South Fork	21	3.86	0	0.0	2	9.5	2	9.5	14	66.7	3	14.3
Spenard	121	3.50	4	3.3	22	18.2	14	11.6	72	59.5	9	7.4
Taku/Campbell	55	3.55	3	5.5 %	8	14.5 %	8	14.5 %	28	50.9 %	8	14.5 %
Tudor Area	8	4.13	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	87.5	1	12.5
Turnagain	100	3.52	1	1.0	19	19.0	15	15.0	57	57.0	8	8.0
Turnagain Arm	27	3.11	3	11.1	4	14.8	9	33.3	9	33.3	2	7.4
University Area	42	3.50	1	2.4	6	14.3	8	19.0	25	59.5	2	4.8
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.13	1	6.7 %	2	13.3 %	6	40.0 %	6	40.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more favorable evaluations of police responsiveness while numbers below 3.00 indicate more unfavorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 34 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.38. Effectiveness of Police in Dealing with Neighborhood Problems

**Table 3.38a. Effectiveness of Police in Dealing with Neighborhood Problems: Summary**

Question 27b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *The police are doing a good job in dealing with problems that really concern people in this neighborhood.*



**Table 3.38b. Effectiveness of Police in Dealing with Neighborhood Problems: Summary by Community Council**

Question 27b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *The police are doing a good job in dealing with problems that really concern people in this neighborhood.*

Anchorage average: 3.40

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	3.34	3	12	Mountain View	47	3.30	2	6
Airport Heights	49	3.51	1	4	North Star	43	3.44	2	3
Basher	8	3.13	0	0	Northeast	159	3.31	9	14
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.65	1	6	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.39	0	1
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	3.29	0	2
Birchwood	14	3.57	0	2	Rogers Park	23	3.39	1	1
Campbell Park	41	3.34	3	4	Russian Jack Park	83	3.42	3	11
Chugiak	33	3.15	2	2	Sand Lake	101	3.50	3	6
Downtown	37	2.95	2	3	Scenic Foothills	39	3.28	0	5
Eagle River	57	3.32	2	3	South Addition	45	3.67	0	10
Eagle River Valley	55	3.58	1	3	South Fork	21	3.52	0	2
Elmendorf	15	3.47	0	1	Spenard	122	3.39	4	9
Fairview	66	3.41	4	7	Taku/Campbell	55	3.36	5	4
Fort Richardson	11	3.45	0	2	Tudor Area	8	4.13	0	1
Government Hill	59	3.63	1	7	Turnagain	101	3.41	2	7
Hillside East	13	3.15	0	0	Turnagain Arm	27	2.85	3	1
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.56	0	5	University Area	42	3.57	0	5
Mid-Hillside	32	3.47	0	3	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.00	1	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more favorable evaluations of police efficacy while numbers below 3.00 indicate more unfavorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.

2. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.38c. Effectiveness of Police in Dealing with Neighborhood Problems: Detail**

Question 27b. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *The police are doing a good job in dealing with problems that really concern people in this neighborhood.*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,735</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>3.2 %</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>18.6 %</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>22.1 %</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>47.4 %</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>8.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	3.34	3	2.4 %	23	18.7 %	38	30.9 %	47	38.2 %	12	9.8 %
Airport Heights	49	3.51	1	2.0	6	12.2	13	26.5	25	51.0	4	8.2
Basher	8	3.13	0	0.0	3	37.5	1	12.5	4	50.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	3.65	1	2.5	3	7.5	11	27.5	19	47.5	6	15.0
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.57	0	0.0 %	3	21.4 %	2	14.3 %	7	50.0 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	41	3.34	3	7.3	7	17.1	8	19.5	19	46.3	4	9.8
Chugiak	33	3.15	2	6.1	9	27.3	6	18.2	14	42.4	2	6.1
Downtown	37	2.95	2	5.4	14	37.8	8	21.6	10	27.0	3	8.1
Eagle River	57	3.32	2	3.5	12	21.1	12	21.1	28	49.1	3	5.3
Eagle River Valley	55	3.58	1	1.8 %	4	7.3 %	15	27.3 %	32	58.2 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	15	3.47	0	0.0	3	20.0	3	20.0	8	53.3	1	6.7
Fairview	66	3.41	4	6.1	12	18.2	10	15.2	33	50.0	7	10.6
Fort Richardson	11	3.45	0	0.0	2	18.2	4	36.4	3	27.3	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	3.63	1	1.7	7	11.9	12	20.3	32	54.2	7	11.9
Hillside East	13	3.15	0	0.0 %	3	23.1 %	5	38.5 %	5	38.5 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	68	3.56	0	0.0	11	16.2	13	19.1	39	57.4	5	7.4
Mid-Hillside	32	3.47	0	0.0	5	15.6	10	31.3	14	43.8	3	9.4
Mountain View	47	3.30	2	4.3	12	25.5	9	19.1	18	38.3	6	12.8
North Star	43	3.44	2	4.7	7	16.3	7	16.3	24	55.8	3	7.0
Northeast	159	3.31	9	5.7 %	34	21.4 %	28	17.6 %	74	46.5 %	14	8.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.39	0	0.0	7	25.0	4	14.3	16	57.1	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	51	3.29	0	0.0	9	17.6	20	39.2	20	39.2	2	3.9
Rogers Park	23	3.39	1	4.3	4	17.4	4	17.4	13	56.5	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	3.42	3	3.6	17	20.5	16	19.3	36	43.4	11	13.3
Sand Lake	101	3.50	3	3.0 %	12	11.9 %	24	23.8 %	56	55.4 %	6	5.9 %
Scenic Foothills	39	3.28	0	0.0	10	25.6	13	33.3	11	28.2	5	12.8
South Addition	45	3.67	0	0.0	8	17.8	9	20.0	18	40.0	10	22.2
South Fork	21	3.52	0	0.0	4	19.0	4	19.0	11	52.4	2	9.5
Spenard	122	3.39	4	3.3	26	21.3	20	16.4	63	51.6	9	7.4
Taku/Campbell	55	3.36	5	9.1 %	7	12.7 %	10	18.2 %	29	52.7 %	4	7.3 %
Tudor Area	8	4.13	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	87.5	1	12.5
Turnagain	101	3.41	2	2.0	21	20.8	19	18.8	52	51.5	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	27	2.85	3	11.1	7	25.9	9	33.3	7	25.9	1	3.7
University Area	42	3.57	0	0.0	6	14.3	11	26.2	20	47.6	5	11.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.00	1	6.7 %	3	20.0 %	6	40.0 %	5	33.3 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more favorable evaluations of police efficacy while numbers below 3.00 indicate more unfavorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.


2. 37 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

# Table 3.39. Effectiveness of Police in Preventing Crime in Neighborhood

## Table 3.39a. Effectiveness of Police in Preventing Crime in Neighborhood: Summary

Question 27c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **The police are not doing a good job in preventing crime in this neighborhood.**



## Table 3.39b. Effectiveness of Police in Preventing Crime in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council

Question 27c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **The police are not doing a good job in preventing crime in this neighborhood.**

Anchorage average: 2.76

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	2.79	6	8	Mountain View	47	2.91	4	3
Airport Heights	49	3.00	1	5	North Star	42	2.90	2	3
Basher	9	3.11	0	2	Northeast	158	2.84	10	17
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2.40	7	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.75	3	3
Bear Valley	4	2.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	52	2.85	1	3
Birchwood	14	2.86	0	0	Rogers Park	22	2.77	0	2
Campbell Park	41	2.80	5	5	Russian Jack Park	82	2.72	13	7
Chugiak	32	2.72	4	4	Sand Lake	100	2.64	10	5
Downtown	37	2.65	2	3	Scenic Foothills	39	2.67	5	3
Eagle River	57	2.74	6	4	South Addition	45	2.87	5	5
Eagle River Valley	55	2.44	8	1	South Fork	21	2.38	2	1
Elmendorf	15	2.73	3	2	Spenard	122	2.81	10	12
Fairview	64	3.00	5	8	Taku/Campbell	55	2.71	5	5
Fort Richardson	11	2.55	1	1	Tudor Area	8	2.50	1	0
Government Hill	59	2.73	7	5	Turnagain	99	2.62	6	9
Hillside East	12	3.00	1	1	Turnagain Arm	27	3.07	1	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.55	8	4	University Area	42	2.86	2	5
Mid-Hillside	33	2.79	2	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.13	1	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more unfavorable evaluations of crime prevention efforts while numbers below 3.00 indicate more favorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.

2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.39c. Effectiveness of Police in Preventing Crime in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 27c. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — *The police are not doing a good job in preventing crime in this neighborhood.*

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,728</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>2.76</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>8.5 %</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>43.1 %</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>21.2 %</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>18.8 %</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>8.5 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	2.79	6	4.9 %	53	43.1 %	33	26.8 %	23	18.7 %	8	6.5 %
Airport Heights	49	3.00	1	2.0	18	36.7	15	30.6	10	20.4	5	10.2
Basher	9	3.11	0	0.0	4	44.4	2	22.2	1	11.1	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2.40	7	17.5	19	47.5	7	17.5	5	12.5	2	5.0
Bear Valley	4	2.50	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.86	0	0.0 %	7	50.0 %	2	14.3 %	5	35.7 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	41	2.80	5	12.2	15	36.6	9	22.0	7	17.1	5	12.2
Chugiak	32	2.72	4	12.5	14	43.8	5	15.6	5	15.6	4	12.5
Downtown	37	2.65	2	5.4	20	54.1	7	18.9	5	13.5	3	8.1
Eagle River	57	2.74	6	10.5	23	40.4	12	21.1	12	21.1	4	7.0
Eagle River Valley	55	2.44	8	14.5 %	25	45.5 %	13	23.6 %	8	14.5 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	2.73	3	20.0	4	26.7	4	26.7	2	13.3	2	13.3
Fairview	64	3.00	5	7.8	24	37.5	9	14.1	18	28.1	8	12.5
Fort Richardson	11	2.55	1	9.1	5	45.5	4	36.4	0	0.0	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	2.73	7	11.9	23	39.0	13	22.0	11	18.6	5	8.5
Hillside East	12	3.00	1	8.3 %	3	25.0 %	4	33.3 %	3	25.0 %	1	8.3 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.55	8	11.6	32	46.4	16	23.2	9	13.0	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	33	2.79	2	6.1	13	39.4	10	30.3	6	18.2	2	6.1
Mountain View	47	2.91	4	8.5	17	36.2	8	17.0	15	31.9	3	6.4
North Star	42	2.90	2	4.8	18	42.9	7	16.7	12	28.6	3	7.1
Northeast	158	2.84	10	6.3 %	73	46.2 %	25	15.8 %	33	20.9 %	17	10.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	2.75	3	10.7	13	46.4	3	10.7	6	21.4	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	52	2.85	1	1.9	21	40.4	18	34.6	9	17.3	3	5.8
Rogers Park	22	2.77	0	0.0	13	59.1	3	13.6	4	18.2	2	9.1
Russian Jack Park	82	2.72	13	15.9	29	35.4	15	18.3	18	22.0	7	8.5
Sand Lake	100	2.64	10	10.0 %	45	45.0 %	21	21.0 %	19	19.0 %	5	5.0 %
Scenic Foothills	39	2.67	5	12.8	14	35.9	12	30.8	5	12.8	3	7.7
South Addition	45	2.87	5	11.1	16	35.6	9	20.0	10	22.2	5	11.1
South Fork	21	2.38	2	9.5	13	61.9	3	14.3	2	9.5	1	4.8
Spenard	122	2.81	10	8.2	53	43.4	21	17.2	26	21.3	12	9.8
Taku/Campbell	55	2.71	5	9.1 %	24	43.6 %	13	23.6 %	8	14.5 %	5	9.1 %
Tudor Area	8	2.50	1	12.5	4	50.0	1	12.5	2	25.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	99	2.62	6	6.1	57	57.6	14	14.1	13	13.1	9	9.1
Turnagain Arm	27	3.07	1	3.7	8	29.6	10	37.0	4	14.8	4	14.8
University Area	42	2.86	2	4.8	17	40.5	13	31.0	5	11.9	5	11.9
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.13	1	6.7 %	4	26.7 %	5	33.3 %	2	13.3 %	3	20.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more unfavorable evaluations of crime prevention efforts while numbers below 3.00 indicate more favorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 44 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

# Table 3.40. Effectiveness of Police in Responding to Victims of Crime

## Table 3.40a. Effectiveness of Police in Responding to Victims of Crime: Summary

Question 27d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **The police do a good job in responding to people after they have been victims of crime.**



## Table 3.40b. Effectiveness of Police in Responding to Victims of Crime: Summary by Community Council

Question 27d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — **The police do a good job in responding to people after they have been victims of crime.**

Anchorage average: 3.36

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	122	3.43	2	11	Mountain View	47	3.34	1	1
Airport Heights	49	3.31	1	3	North Star	43	3.23	1	3
Basher	9	3.56	0	0	Northeast	158	3.30	7	12
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.29	2	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.57	0	2
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0	Rabbit Creek	51	3.29	1	2
Birchwood	14	3.50	0	1	Rogers Park	23	3.87	0	3
Campbell Park	40	3.18	3	3	Russian Jack Park	82	3.51	1	12
Chugiak	33	3.33	0	3	Sand Lake	101	3.43	3	7
Downtown	37	3.19	1	2	Scenic Foothills	39	3.36	0	5
Eagle River	57	3.44	1	4	South Addition	46	3.46	2	6
Eagle River Valley	55	3.40	1	3	South Fork	21	3.38	0	2
Elmendorf	14	3.21	0	0	Spenard	122	3.27	6	9
Fairview	66	3.44	4	8	Taku/Campbell	54	3.19	6	4
Fort Richardson	11	3.55	0	1	Tudor Area	8	4.00	0	0
Government Hill	59	3.39	1	4	Turnagain	99	3.30	5	8
Hillside East	13	3.23	1	1	Turnagain Arm	27	3.30	1	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.30	3	4	University Area	42	3.48	0	2
Mid-Hillside	33	3.30	0	2	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.20	0	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more favorable evaluations of police response to crime victims while numbers below 3.00 indicate more unfavorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.

2. 40 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

**Table 3.40c. Effectiveness of Police in Responding to Victims of Crime: Detail**

Question 27d. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — ***The police do a good job in responding to people after they have been victims of crime.***

Row percentages.


	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,732</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>3.36</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3.1 %</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>13.7 %</b>	<b>606</b>	<b>35.0 %</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>40.5 %</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>7.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	122	3.43	2	1.6 %	19	15.6 %	37	30.3 %	53	43.4 %	11	9.0 %
Airport Heights	49	3.31	1	2.0	8	16.3	18	36.7	19	38.8	3	6.1
Basher	9	3.56	0	0.0	1	11.1	2	22.2	6	66.7	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	3.29	2	4.9	6	14.6	15	36.6	14	34.1	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	3.50	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	3.50	0	0.0 %	2	14.3 %	4	28.6 %	7	50.0 %	1	7.1 %
Campbell Park	40	3.18	3	7.5	6	15.0	15	37.5	13	32.5	3	7.5
Chugiak	33	3.33	0	0.0	5	15.2	15	45.5	10	30.3	3	9.1
Downtown	37	3.19	1	2.7	6	16.2	17	45.9	11	29.7	2	5.4
Eagle River	57	3.44	1	1.8	6	10.5	21	36.8	25	43.9	4	7.0
Eagle River Valley	55	3.40	1	1.8 %	5	9.1 %	23	41.8 %	23	41.8 %	3	5.5 %
Elmendorf	14	3.21	0	0.0	2	14.3	7	50.0	5	35.7	0	0.0
Fairview	66	3.44	4	6.1	10	15.2	13	19.7	31	47.0	8	12.1
Fort Richardson	11	3.55	0	0.0	1	9.1	4	36.4	5	45.5	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	3.39	1	1.7	7	11.9	23	39.0	24	40.7	4	6.8
Hillside East	13	3.23	1	7.7 %	1	7.7 %	6	46.2 %	4	30.8 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	3.30	3	4.3	12	17.4	19	27.5	31	44.9	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	33	3.30	0	0.0	6	18.2	13	39.4	12	36.4	2	6.1
Mountain View	47	3.34	1	2.1	8	17.0	13	27.7	24	51.1	1	2.1
North Star	43	3.23	1	2.3	7	16.3	19	44.2	13	30.2	3	7.0
Northeast	158	3.30	7	4.4 %	25	15.8 %	52	32.9 %	62	39.2 %	12	7.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	3.57	0	0.0	3	10.7	8	28.6	15	53.6	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	51	3.29	1	2.0	8	15.7	19	37.3	21	41.2	2	3.9
Rogers Park	23	3.87	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	26.1	14	60.9	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	82	3.51	1	1.2	13	15.9	23	28.0	33	40.2	12	14.6
Sand Lake	101	3.43	3	3.0 %	9	8.9 %	38	37.6 %	44	43.6 %	7	6.9 %
Scenic Foothills	39	3.36	0	0.0	6	15.4	18	46.2	10	25.6	5	12.8
South Addition	46	3.46	2	4.3	4	8.7	17	37.0	17	37.0	6	13.0
South Fork	21	3.38	0	0.0	2	9.5	11	52.4	6	28.6	2	9.5
Spenard	122	3.27	6	4.9	25	20.5	30	24.6	52	42.6	9	7.4
Taku/Campbell	54	3.19	6	11.1 %	6	11.1 %	18	33.3 %	20	37.0 %	4	7.4 %
Tudor Area	8	4.00	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	99	3.30	5	5.1	10	10.1	42	42.4	34	34.3	8	8.1
Turnagain Arm	27	3.30	1	3.7	3	11.1	11	40.7	11	40.7	1	3.7
University Area	42	3.48	0	0.0	4	9.5	16	38.1	20	47.6	2	4.8
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	3.20	0	0.0 %	1	6.7 %	11	73.3 %	2	13.3 %	1	6.7 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more favorable evaluations of police response to crime victims while numbers below 3.00 indicate more unfavorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree=1; Disagree=2; No opinion=3; Agree=4; Strongly agree=5.
2. 40 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.
3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.41. Effectiveness of Police at Maintaining Order in Neighborhood

**Table 3.41a. Effectiveness of Police at Maintaining Order in Neighborhood: Summary**

Question 27e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — ***The police are not able to maintain order on the streets and sidewalks in the neighborhood.***



**Table 3.41b. Effectiveness of Police at Maintaining Order in Neighborhood: Summary by Community Council**

Question 27e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — ***The police are not able to maintain order on the streets and sidewalks in the neighborhood.***

Anchorage average: 2.41

	N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree		N <sup>1</sup>	Average <sup>2</sup>	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Abbott Loop	123	2.37	24	1	Mountain View	47	2.70	7	4
Airport Heights	49	2.41	6	1	North Star	43	3.07	2	3
Basher	9	2.11	2	0	Northeast	157	2.54	18	10
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2.00	13	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	27	2.11	5	0
Bear Valley	4	2.00	1	0	Rabbit Creek	52	2.54	7	2
Birchwood	14	2.50	1	0	Rogers Park	23	2.57	1	1
Campbell Park	40	2.30	9	1	Russian Jack Park	81	2.47	13	2
Chugiak	33	2.33	6	1	Sand Lake	100	2.32	13	1
Downtown	37	2.54	7	2	Scenic Foothills	39	2.31	4	1
Eagle River	57	2.35	9	1	South Addition	44	2.34	11	1
Eagle River Valley	55	2.05	19	0	South Fork	21	2.38	3	0
Elmendorf	15	2.40	3	2	Spenard	120	2.58	15	5
Fairview	66	2.97	5	8	Taku/Campbell	55	2.47	11	4
Fort Richardson	11	2.55	0	0	Tudor Area	8	1.38	5	0
Government Hill	59	2.07	13	0	Turnagain	100	2.24	16	0
Hillside East	13	2.31	3	0	Turnagain Arm	27	2.48	4	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.25	13	2	University Area	42	2.17	7	0
Mid-Hillside	32	2.28	6	1	Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.47	1	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more unfavorable evaluations of police ability to maintain order while numbers below 3.00 indicate more favorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.

2. 45 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.



**Table 3.41c. Effectiveness of Police at Maintaining Order in Neighborhood: Detail**

Question 27e. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. — ***The police are not able to maintain order on the streets and sidewalks in the neighborhood.***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category									
			Strongly disagree		Disagree		No opinion		Agree		Strongly agree	
			N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,727<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>2.41</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>16.4 %</b>	<b>790</b>	<b>45.7 %</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>21.7 %</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>13.0 %</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>												
Abbott Loop	123	2.37	24	19.5 %	50	40.7 %	30	24.4 %	18	14.6 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	49	2.41	6	12.2	25	51.0	11	22.4	6	12.2	1	2.0
Basher	9	2.11	2	22.2	4	44.4	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	40	2.00	13	32.5	16	40.0	9	22.5	2	5.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	2.00	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	2.50	1	7.1 %	8	57.1 %	2	14.3 %	3	21.4 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	40	2.30	9	22.5	18	45.0	6	15.0	6	15.0	1	2.5
Chugiak	33	2.33	6	18.2	14	42.4	10	30.3	2	6.1	1	3.0
Downtown	37	2.54	7	18.9	13	35.1	9	24.3	6	16.2	2	5.4
Eagle River	57	2.35	9	15.8	27	47.4	14	24.6	6	10.5	1	1.8
Eagle River Valley	55	2.05	19	34.5 %	19	34.5 %	12	21.8 %	5	9.1 %	0	0.0 %
Elmendorf	15	2.40	3	20.0	7	46.7	3	20.0	0	0.0	2	13.3
Fairview	66	2.97	5	7.6	25	37.9	11	16.7	17	25.8	8	12.1
Fort Richardson	11	2.55	0	0.0	6	54.5	4	36.4	1	9.1	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	2.07	13	22.0	32	54.2	11	18.6	3	5.1	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	2.31	3	23.1 %	4	30.8 %	5	38.5 %	1	7.7 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	2.25	13	18.8	33	47.8	18	26.1	3	4.3	2	2.9
Mid-Hillside	32	2.28	6	18.8	15	46.9	8	25.0	2	6.3	1	3.1
Mountain View	47	2.70	7	14.9	17	36.2	10	21.3	9	19.1	4	8.5
North Star	43	3.07	2	4.7	13	30.2	11	25.6	14	32.6	3	7.0
Northeast	157	2.54	18	11.5 %	77	49.0 %	31	19.7 %	21	13.4 %	10	6.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	27	2.11	5	18.5	16	59.3	4	14.8	2	7.4	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	2.54	7	13.5	20	38.5	17	32.7	6	11.5	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	2.57	1	4.3	14	60.9	3	13.0	4	17.4	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	81	2.47	13	16.0	36	44.4	15	18.5	15	18.5	2	2.5
Sand Lake	100	2.32	13	13.0 %	56	56.0 %	18	18.0 %	12	12.0 %	1	1.0 %
Scenic Foothills	39	2.31	4	10.3	22	56.4	11	28.2	1	2.6	1	2.6
South Addition	44	2.34	11	25.0	16	36.4	9	20.5	7	15.9	1	2.3
South Fork	21	2.38	3	14.3	11	52.4	3	14.3	4	19.0	0	0.0
Spenard	120	2.58	15	12.5	54	45.0	22	18.3	24	20.0	5	4.2
Taku/Campbell	55	2.47	11	20.0 %	22	40.0 %	11	20.0 %	7	12.7 %	4	7.3 %
Tudor Area	8	1.38	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	100	2.24	16	16.0	55	55.0	18	18.0	11	11.0	0	0.0
Turnagain Arm	27	2.48	4	14.8	9	33.3	11	40.7	3	11.1	0	0.0
University Area	42	2.17	7	16.7	24	57.1	8	19.0	3	7.1	0	0.0
Unknown <sup>3</sup>	15	2.47	1	6.7 %	7	46.7 %	6	40.0 %	1	6.7 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Numbers above 3.00 indicate more unfavorable evaluations of police ability to maintain order while numbers below 3.00 indicate more favorable ratings. Values are assigned as follows: Strongly disagree = 1; Disagree = 2; No opinion = 3; Agree = 4; Strongly agree = 5.


2. 45 of the 1772 survey respondents did not answer this question, and are omitted from the table.

3. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area; 1 of these respondents did not answer this question, and is omitted from the table.

## Table 3.42. Contact in Past Year with Police to Request Information

**Table 3.42a. Contact in Past Year with Police to Request Information: Summary**

Question 28a. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **requesting information**



**Table 3.42b. Contact in Past Year with Police to Request Information: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28a. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **requesting information**

Anchorage average: 0.20

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.19	100	24	Mountain View	48	0.31	33	15
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	11	North Star	43	0.19	35	8
Basher	9	0.22	7	2	Northeast	160	0.23	123	37
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.25	21	7
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	8
Birchwood	14	0.43	8	6	Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	4
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	7	Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	18
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	6	Sand Lake	103	0.18	84	19
Downtown	38	0.13	33	5	Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	6
Eagle River	58	0.24	44	14	South Addition	47	0.30	33	14
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	9	South Fork	21	0.24	16	5
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	3	Spenard	125	0.26	93	32
Fairview	70	0.34	46	24	Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	2	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	9	Turnagain	101	0.15	86	15
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	3	Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	5
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.14	59	10	University Area	43	0.12	38	5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	5	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	2

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.42c. Contact in Past Year with Police  
to Request Information: Detail**

Question 28a. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **requesting information**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>1,416</b>	<b>79.9 %</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>20.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.19	100	80.6 %	24	19.4 %
Airport Heights	51	0.22	40	78.4	11	21.6
Basher	9	0.22	7	77.8	2	22.2
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	75.6	10	24.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.43	8	57.1 %	6	42.9 %
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
Chugiak	34	0.18	28	82.4	6	17.6
Downtown	38	0.13	33	86.8	5	13.2
Eagle River	58	0.24	44	75.9	14	24.1
Eagle River Valley	55	0.16	46	83.6 %	9	16.4 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	70	0.34	46	65.7	24	34.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.18	9	81.8	2	18.2
Government Hill	59	0.15	50	84.7	9	15.3
Hillside East	13	0.23	10	76.9 %	3	23.1 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.14	59	85.5	10	14.5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Mountain View	48	0.31	33	68.8	15	31.3
North Star	43	0.19	35	81.4	8	18.6
Northeast	160	0.23	123	76.9 %	37	23.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.15	44	84.6	8	15.4
Rogers Park	23	0.17	19	82.6	4	17.4
Russian Jack Park	83	0.22	65	78.3	18	21.7
Sand Lake	103	0.18	84	81.6 %	19	18.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.14	36	85.7	6	14.3
South Addition	47	0.30	33	70.2	14	29.8
South Fork	21	0.24	16	76.2	5	23.8
Spenard	125	0.26	93	74.4	32	25.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	89.7 %	6	10.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.15	86	85.1	15	14.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
University Area	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.13	14	87.5 %	2	12.5 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.43. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report a Situation Requiring Police Intervention**

**Table 3.43a. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report a Situation Requiring Police Intervention: Summary**

Question 28b. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **reporting a situation requiring police intervention**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,246	70.3 %	70.3 %
Yes	526	29.7	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.43b. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report a Situation Requiring Police Intervention: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28b. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **reporting a situation requiring police intervention**

Anchorage average: 0.30

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.30	87	37	Mountain View	48	0.46	26	22
Airport Heights	51	0.24	39	12	North Star	43	0.30	30	13
Basher	9	0.33	6	3	Northeast	160	0.43	91	69
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	10	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.25	21	7
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	1	Rabbit Creek	52	0.27	38	14
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	7	Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	8
Campbell Park	42	0.21	33	9	Russian Jack Park	83	0.37	52	31
Chugiak	34	0.38	21	13	Sand Lake	103	0.22	80	23
Downtown	38	0.18	31	7	Scenic Foothills	42	0.31	29	13
Eagle River	58	0.22	45	13	South Addition	47	0.40	28	19
Eagle River Valley	55	0.25	41	14	South Fork	21	0.38	13	8
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.31	86	39
Fairview	70	0.44	39	31	Taku/Campbell	58	0.34	38	20
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	3	Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	4
Government Hill	59	0.22	46	13	Turnagain	101	0.25	76	25
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	17	University Area	43	0.21	34	9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	5	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	3

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.43a. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report  
a Situation Requiring Police Intervention: Detail**

Question 28b. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **reporting a situation requiring police intervention**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>1,246</b>	<b>70.3 %</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>29.7 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.30	87	70.2 %	37	29.8 %
Airport Heights	51	0.24	39	76.5	12	23.5
Basher	9	0.33	6	66.7	3	33.3
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.24	31	75.6	10	24.4
Bear Valley	4	0.25	3	75.0	1	25.0
Birchwood	14	0.50	7	50.0 %	7	50.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.21	33	78.6	9	21.4
Chugiak	34	0.38	21	61.8	13	38.2
Downtown	38	0.18	31	81.6	7	18.4
Eagle River	58	0.22	45	77.6	13	22.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.25	41	74.5 %	14	25.5 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.44	39	55.7	31	44.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	72.7	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	0.22	46	78.0	13	22.0
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.25	52	75.4	17	24.6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Mountain View	48	0.46	26	54.2	22	45.8
North Star	43	0.30	30	69.8	13	30.2
Northeast	160	0.43	91	56.9 %	69	43.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.25	21	75.0	7	25.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.27	38	73.1	14	26.9
Rogers Park	23	0.35	15	65.2	8	34.8
Russian Jack Park	83	0.37	52	62.7	31	37.3
Sand Lake	103	0.22	80	77.7 %	23	22.3 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.31	29	69.0	13	31.0
South Addition	47	0.40	28	59.6	19	40.4
South Fork	21	0.38	13	61.9	8	38.1
Spenard	125	0.31	86	68.8	39	31.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.34	38	65.5 %	20	34.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.40	6	60.0	4	40.0
Turnagain	101	0.25	76	75.2	25	24.8
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.19	13	81.3 %	3	18.8 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.44. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report Being Victimized by Crime

**Table 3.44a. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report Being Victimized by Crime: Summary**

Question 28c. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **reporting being victimized by crime**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,560	88.0 %	88.0 %
Yes	212	12.0	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.44b. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report Being Victimized by Crime: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28c. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **reporting being victimized by crime**

Anchorage average: 0.12

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.11	110	14	Mountain View	48	0.10	43	5
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	5	North Star	43	0.16	36	7
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.17	133	27
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	5
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	5	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	4	Russian Jack Park	83	0.13	72	11
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	3	Sand Lake	103	0.12	91	12
Downtown	38	0.11	34	4	Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	4
Eagle River	58	0.16	49	9	South Addition	47	0.11	42	5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.09	50	5	South Fork	21	0.14	18	3
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.18	103	22
Fairview	70	0.13	61	9	Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	10
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	8	Turnagain	101	0.12	89	12
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	1
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.07	64	5	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	4	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.44c. Contact in Past Year with Police to Report Being Victimized by Crime: Detail**

Question 28c. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **reporting being victimized by crime**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>1,560</b>	<b>88.0 %</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>12.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.11	110	88.7 %	14	11.3 %
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.36	9	64.3 %	5	35.7 %
Campbell Park	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
Chugiak	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Downtown	38	0.11	34	89.5	4	10.5
Eagle River	58	0.16	49	84.5	9	15.5
Eagle River Valley	55	0.09	50	90.9 %	5	9.1 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.13	61	87.1	9	12.9
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	86.4	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.07	64	92.8	5	7.2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.12	30	88.2	4	11.8
Mountain View	48	0.10	43	89.6	5	10.4
North Star	43	0.16	36	83.7	7	16.3
Northeast	160	0.17	133	83.1 %	27	16.9 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	90.4	5	9.6
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.13	72	86.7	11	13.3
Sand Lake	103	0.12	91	88.3 %	12	11.7 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
South Addition	47	0.11	42	89.4	5	10.6
South Fork	21	0.14	18	85.7	3	14.3
Spenard	125	0.18	103	82.4	22	17.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.17	48	82.8 %	10	17.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.12	89	88.1	12	11.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.45. Contact in Past Year with Police to Follow Up on Prior Report

**Table 3.45a. Contact in Past Year with Police to Follow Up on Prior Report: Summary**

Question 28d. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **following up on a previously filed report**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,608	90.7 %	90.7 %
Yes	164	9.3	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.45b. Contact in Past Year with Police to Follow Up on Prior Report: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28d. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **following up on a previously filed report**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	7	Mountain View	48	0.10	43	5
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	3	North Star	43	0.07	40	3
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.14	137	23
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.11	25	3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	2
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	3	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	1	Russian Jack Park	83	0.07	77	6
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	2	Sand Lake	103	0.08	95	8
Downtown	38	0.11	34	4	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.14	50	8	South Addition	47	0.13	41	6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.13	48	7	South Fork	21	0.10	19	2
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.14	108	17
Fairview	70	0.17	58	12	Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	6
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.07	94	7
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	2
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.10	62	7	University Area	43	0.02	42	1
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 3.45c. Contact in Past Year with Police  
to Follow Up on Prior Report: Detail**

Question 28d. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **following up on a previously filed report**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,608</b>	<b>90.7 %</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>9.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.06	117	94.4 %	7	5.6 %
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	90.2	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	78.6 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Downtown	38	0.11	34	89.5	4	10.5
Eagle River	58	0.14	50	86.2	8	13.8
Eagle River Valley	55	0.13	48	87.3 %	7	12.7 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.17	58	82.9	12	17.1
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.10	62	89.9	7	10.1
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.10	43	89.6	5	10.4
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	160	0.14	137	85.6 %	23	14.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.11	25	89.3	3	10.7
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.07	77	92.8	6	7.2
Sand Lake	103	0.08	95	92.2 %	8	7.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.13	41	87.2	6	12.8
South Fork	21	0.10	19	90.5	2	9.5
Spenard	125	0.14	108	86.4	17	13.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.10	52	89.7 %	6	10.3 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	101	0.07	94	93.1	7	6.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
University Area	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.46. Contact in Past Year with Police to Provide Witness Information

**Table 3.46a. Contact in Past Year with Police to Provide Witness Information: Summary**

Question 28e. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **providing witness information about a crime being investigated**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,611	90.9 %	90.9 %
Yes	161	9.1	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.46b. Contact in Past Year with Police to Provide Witness Information: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28e. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **providing witness information about a crime being investigated**

Anchorage average: 0.09

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.09	113	11	Mountain View	48	0.15	41	7
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	5	North Star	43	0.07	40	3
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.11	143	17
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	2
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	3
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	4	Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	3
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.14	71	12
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	0	Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	6
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	2
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	6	South Addition	47	0.09	43	4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.13	48	7	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	125	0.12	110	15
Fairview	70	0.14	60	10	Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	4
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	3	Turnagain	101	0.11	90	11
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	0	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.07	64	5	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.46b. Contact in Past Year with Police  
to Provide Witness Information: Detail**

Question 28e. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **providing witness information about a crime being investigated**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1,611</b>	<b>90.9 %</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>9.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.09	113	91.1 %	11	8.9 %
Airport Heights	51	0.10	46	90.2	5	9.8
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	71.4 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.10	52	89.7	6	10.3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.13	48	87.3 %	7	12.7 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	70	0.14	60	85.7	10	14.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.05	56	94.9	3	5.1
Hillside East	13	0.00	13	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.07	64	92.8	5	7.2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.15	41	85.4	7	14.6
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	160	0.11	143	89.4 %	17	10.6 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.07	26	92.9	2	7.1
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.13	20	87.0	3	13.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.14	71	85.5	12	14.5
Sand Lake	103	0.06	97	94.2 %	6	5.8 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
South Addition	47	0.09	43	91.5	4	8.5
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.12	110	88.0	15	12.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.07	54	93.1 %	4	6.9 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.11	90	89.1	11	10.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.47. Contact in Past Year with Police on Traffic Violation

**Table 3.47a. Contact in Past Year with Police on Traffic Violation: Summary**

Question 28f. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being stopped for a traffic violation**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,474	83.2 %	83.2 %
Yes	298	16.8	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.47b. Contact in Past Year with Police on Traffic Violation: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28f. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being stopped for a traffic violation**

Anchorage average: 0.17

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.15	106	18	Mountain View	48	0.21	38	10
Airport Heights	51	0.20	41	10	North Star	43	0.07	40	3
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.18	131	29
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.20	33	8	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	4
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	3	Rogers Park	23	0.22	18	5
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	7	Russian Jack Park	83	0.23	64	19
Chugiak	34	0.32	23	11	Sand Lake	103	0.14	89	14
Downtown	38	0.29	27	11	Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	4
Eagle River	58	0.22	45	13	South Addition	21	0.29	15	6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.22	43	12	South Fork	21	0.29	15	6
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	3	Spenard	125	0.16	105	20
Fairview	70	0.16	59	11	Taku/Campbell	58	0.16	49	9
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	3	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.19	48	11	Turnagain	101	0.15	86	15
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.20	55	14	University Area	43	0.14	37	6
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	3	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	42	0.00	42	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.47c. Contact in Past Year with Police  
on Traffic Violation: Detail**

Question 28f. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being stopped for a traffic violation**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>1,474</b>	<b>83.2 %</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>16.8 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.15	106	85.5 %	18	14.5 %
Airport Heights	51	0.20	41	80.4	10	19.6
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.20	33	80.5	8	19.5
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.21	11	78.6 %	3	21.4 %
Campbell Park	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
Chugiak	34	0.32	23	67.6	11	32.4
Downtown	38	0.29	27	71.1	11	28.9
Eagle River	58	0.22	45	77.6	13	22.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.22	43	78.2 %	12	21.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.20	12	80.0	3	20.0
Fairview	70	0.16	59	84.3	11	15.7
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	72.7	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	0.19	48	81.4	11	18.6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.20	55	79.7	14	20.3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Mountain View	48	0.21	38	79.2	10	20.8
North Star	43	0.07	40	93.0	3	7.0
Northeast	160	0.18	131	81.9 %	29	18.1 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
Rabbit Creek	52	0.08	48	92.3	4	7.7
Rogers Park	23	0.22	18	78.3	5	21.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.23	64	77.1	19	22.9
Sand Lake	103	0.14	89	86.4 %	14	13.6 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.10	38	90.5	4	9.5
South Addition	21	0.29	15	71.4	6	28.6
South Fork	21	0.29	15	71.4	6	28.6
Spenard	125	0.16	105	84.0	20	16.0
Taku/Campbell	58	0.16	49	84.5 %	9	15.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.15	86	85.1	15	14.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.14	37	86.0	6	14.0
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	42	0.00	42	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.48. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Detained Regarding a Crime

**Table 3.48a. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Detained Regarding a Crime: Summary**

Question 28g. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being detained regarding a crime**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,719	97.0 %	97.0 %
Yes	53	3.0	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.48b. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Detained Regarding a Crime: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28g. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being detained regarding a crime**

Anchorage average: 0.03

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.01	123	1	Mountain View	48	0.02	47	1
Airport Heights	51	0.04	49	2	North Star	43	0.02	42	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.04	153	7
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	2	Old Seward/Oceanview	39	0.00	39	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	3
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.00	42	0	Russian Jack Park	83	0.07	77	6
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	1	Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	3
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	2	South Addition	47	0.06	44	3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	2	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	125	0.02	123	2
Fairview	70	0.04	67	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.00	58	0
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	2	Turnagain	101	0.02	99	2
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.00	69	0	University Area	43	0.09	39	4
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	5	0.00	5	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.48c. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Detained Regarding a Crime: Detail**

Question 28g. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being detained regarding a crime**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>1,719</b>	<b>97.0 %</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>3.0 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.01	123	99.2 %	1	0.8 %
Airport Heights	51	0.04	49	96.1	2	3.9
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.05	39	95.1	2	4.9
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
Chugiak	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	96.6	2	3.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.04	53	96.4 %	2	3.6 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.04	67	95.7	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.03	57	96.6	2	3.4
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.00	69	100.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.02	47	97.9	1	2.1
North Star	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Northeast	160	0.04	153	95.6 %	7	4.4 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	39	0.00	39	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.06	49	94.2	3	5.8
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.07	77	92.8	6	7.2
Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	97.1 %	3	2.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.06	44	93.6	3	6.4
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.02	123	98.4	2	1.6
Taku/Campbell	58	0.00	58	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.02	99	98.0	2	2.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.09	39	90.7	4	9.3
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	5	0.00	5	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.49. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Arrested for a Crime

**Table 3.49a. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Arrested for a Crime: Summary**

Question 28h. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being arrested for a crime**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,721	97.1 %	97.1 %
Yes	51	2.9	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.49b. Contact in Past Year with Police While Being Arrested for a Crime: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28h. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being arrested for a crime**

Anchorage average: 0.03

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.04	119	5	Mountain View	48	0.04	46	2
Airport Heights	51	0.04	49	2	North Star	43	0.02	42	1
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.03	156	4
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	0	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	2
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	0
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	5
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	0	Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	3
Downtown	38	0.08	35	3	Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	1
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	2	South Addition	47	0.06	44	3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	1	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.02	122	3
Fairview	70	0.04	67	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	1
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	1	Turnagain	101	0.03	98	3
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.00	69	0	University Area	43	0.05	41	2
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	0	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.



**Table 3.49c. Contact in Past Year with Police  
While Being Arrested for a Crime: Detail**

Question 28h. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **being arrested for a crime**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>1,721</b>	<b>97.1 %</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2.9 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.04	119	96.0 %	5	4.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.04	49	96.1	2	3.9
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.00	41	100.0	0	0.0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.08	35	92.1	3	7.9
Eagle River	58	0.03	56	96.6	2	3.4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.04	67	95.7	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.02	58	98.3	1	1.7
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.00	69	100.0	0	0.0
Mid-Hillside	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Mountain View	48	0.04	46	95.8	2	4.2
North Star	43	0.02	42	97.7	1	2.3
Northeast	160	0.03	156	97.5 %	4	2.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	100.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.06	78	94.0	5	6.0
Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	97.1 %	3	2.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.02	41	97.6	1	2.4
South Addition	47	0.06	44	93.6	3	6.4
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.02	122	97.6	3	2.4
Taku/Campbell	58	0.02	57	98.3 %	1	1.7 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.03	98	97.0	3	3.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported = 0; Yes = 1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.50. Contact in Past Year with Police Through Contact with a Parole or Probation Officer**

**Table 3.50a. Contact in Past Year with Police Through Contact with a Parole or Probation Officer: Summary**

Question 28i. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — ***maintaining contact with a parole or probation officer***

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,709	96.4 %	96.4 %
Yes	63	3.6	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



**Table 3.50b. Contact in Past Year with Police Through Contact with a Parole or Probation Officer: Summary by Community Council**

Question 28i. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — ***maintaining contact with a parole or probation officer***

**Anchorage average: 0.04**

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.05	118	6	Mountain View	48	0.00	48	0
Airport Heights	51	0.02	50	1	North Star	43	0.00	43	0
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.03	156	4
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	1	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	1
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	5
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	0	Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	0
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.05	79	4
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	0	Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	3
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	0
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	1	South Addition	47	0.04	45	2
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	1	South Fork	21	0.00	21	0
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	1	Spenard	125	0.07	116	9
Fairview	70	0.04	67	3	Taku/Campbell	58	0.05	55	3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	0	Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	1
Government Hill	59	0.00	59	0	Turnagain	101	0.02	99	2
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	0
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	4	University Area	43	0.12	38	5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	1	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.50c. Contact in Past Year with Police Through Contact with a Parole or Probation Officer: Detail**

Question 28i. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — ***maintaining contact with a parole or probation officer***

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>1,709</b>	<b>96.4 %</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>3.6 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.05	118	95.2 %	6	4.8 %
Airport Heights	51	0.02	50	98.0	1	2.0
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.02	40	97.6	1	2.4
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.00	14	100.0 %	0	0.0 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.00	34	100.0	0	0.0
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.02	57	98.3	1	1.7
Eagle River Valley	55	0.02	54	98.2 %	1	1.8 %
Elmendorf	15	0.07	14	93.3	1	6.7
Fairview	70	0.04	67	95.7	3	4.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.00	11	100.0	0	0.0
Government Hill	59	0.00	59	100.0	0	0.0
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.06	65	94.2	4	5.8
Mid-Hillside	34	0.03	33	97.1	1	2.9
Mountain View	48	0.00	48	100.0	0	0.0
North Star	43	0.00	43	100.0	0	0.0
Northeast	160	0.03	156	97.5 %	4	2.5 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.04	27	96.4	1	3.6
Rabbit Creek	52	0.10	47	90.4	5	9.6
Rogers Park	23	0.00	23	100.0	0	0.0
Russian Jack Park	83	0.05	79	95.2	4	4.8
Sand Lake	103	0.03	100	97.1 %	3	2.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.00	42	100.0	0	0.0
South Addition	47	0.04	45	95.7	2	4.3
South Fork	21	0.00	21	100.0	0	0.0
Spenard	125	0.07	116	92.8	9	7.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.05	55	94.8 %	3	5.2 %
Tudor Area	10	0.10	9	90.0	1	10.0
Turnagain	101	0.02	99	98.0	2	2.0
Turnagain Arm	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
University Area	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.51. Contact in Past Year with Police While Socializing

### Table 3.51a. Contact in Past Year with Police While Socializing: Summary

Question 28j. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **socializing with an officer in his/her civilian life**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,501	84.7 %	84.7 %
Yes	271	15.3	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.51b. Contact in Past Year with Police While Socializing: Summary by Community Council

Question 28j. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **socializing with an officer in his/her civilian life**

Anchorage average: 0.15

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.21	98	26	Mountain View	48	0.06	45	3
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	4	North Star	43	0.05	41	2
Basher	9	0.11	8	1	Northeast	160	0.14	138	22
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	4	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	5
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	2	Rabbit Creek	52	0.13	45	7
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	4	Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	2
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	2	Russian Jack Park	83	0.19	67	16
Chugiak	34	0.29	24	10	Sand Lake	103	0.18	84	19
Downtown	38	0.05	36	2	Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	7
Eagle River	58	0.19	47	11	South Addition	47	0.09	43	4
Eagle River Valley	55	0.27	40	15	South Fork	21	0.24	16	5
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	2	Spenard	125	0.14	107	18
Fairview	70	0.11	62	8	Taku/Campbell	58	0.16	49	9
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	3	Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	3
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	8	Turnagain	101	0.12	89	12
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	4
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.22	54	15	University Area	43	0.21	34	9
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	5	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	1

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.51c. Contact in Past Year with Police  
While Socializing: Detail**

Question 28j. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **socializing with an officer in his/her civilian life**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>1,501</b>	<b>84.7 %</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>15.3 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.21	98	79.0 %	26	21.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.08	47	92.2	4	7.8
Basher	9	0.11	8	88.9	1	11.1
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.10	37	90.2	4	9.8
Bear Valley	4	0.50	2	50.0	2	50.0
Birchwood	14	0.29	10	71.4 %	4	28.6 %
Campbell Park	42	0.05	40	95.2	2	4.8
Chugiak	34	0.29	24	70.6	10	29.4
Downtown	38	0.05	36	94.7	2	5.3
Eagle River	58	0.19	47	81.0	11	19.0
Eagle River Valley	55	0.27	40	72.7 %	15	27.3 %
Elmendorf	15	0.13	13	86.7	2	13.3
Fairview	70	0.11	62	88.6	8	11.4
Fort Richardson	11	0.27	8	72.7	3	27.3
Government Hill	59	0.14	51	86.4	8	13.6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.22	54	78.3	15	21.7
Mid-Hillside	34	0.15	29	85.3	5	14.7
Mountain View	48	0.06	45	93.8	3	6.3
North Star	43	0.05	41	95.3	2	4.7
Northeast	160	0.14	138	86.3 %	22	13.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.18	23	82.1	5	17.9
Rabbit Creek	52	0.13	45	86.5	7	13.5
Rogers Park	23	0.09	21	91.3	2	8.7
Russian Jack Park	83	0.19	67	80.7	16	19.3
Sand Lake	103	0.18	84	81.6 %	19	18.4 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.17	35	83.3	7	16.7
South Addition	47	0.09	43	91.5	4	8.5
South Fork	21	0.24	16	76.2	5	23.8
Spenard	125	0.14	107	85.6	18	14.4
Taku/Campbell	58	0.16	49	84.5 %	9	15.5 %
Tudor Area	10	0.30	7	70.0	3	30.0
Turnagain	101	0.12	89	88.1	12	11.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.14	24	85.7	4	14.3
University Area	43	0.21	34	79.1	9	20.9
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.06	15	93.8 %	1	6.3 %

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting this kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.


2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

## Table 3.52. Contact in Past Year with Police for Other Reasons

### Table 3.52a. Contact in Past Year with Police for Other Reasons: Summary

Question 28k. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **Other (please explain)**

Response	Frequency	%	Cumulative %
Not reported	1,664	93.9 %	93.9 %
Yes	108	6.1	100.0
<b>Total valid</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	
Missing	0	0.0	100.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>	



### Table 3.52b. Contact in Past Year with Police for Other Reasons: Summary by Community Council

Question 28k. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **Other (please explain)**

Anchorage average: 0.06

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes		N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Not reported	Yes
Abbott Loop	124	0.04	119	5	Mountain View	48	0.13	42	6
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	3	North Star	43	0.00	43	0
Basher	9	0.00	9	0	Northeast	160	0.04	154	6
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	3	Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	0
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	0	Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	2
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	2	Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	1
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	3	Russian Jack Park	83	0.04	80	3
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	2	Sand Lake	103	0.05	98	5
Downtown	38	0.08	35	3	Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	3
Eagle River	58	0.09	53	5	South Addition	47	0.06	44	3
Eagle River Valley	55	0.11	49	6	South Fork	21	0.05	20	1
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	0	Spenard	125	0.03	121	4
Fairview	70	0.14	60	10	Taku/Campbell	58	0.09	53	5
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	1	Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	0
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	5	Turnagain	101	0.06	95	6
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	1	Turnagain Arm	28	0.11	25	3
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	3	University Area	43	0.12	38	5
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	3	Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	0

1. Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting some other kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.

2. 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.

**Table 3.52c. Contact in Past Year with Police for Other Reasons:  
Detail**

Question 28k. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply. — **Other (please explain)**

Row percentages.

	N	Average <sup>1</sup>	Response category			
			Not reported		Yes	
			N	Percent	N	Percent
<b>Anchorage total</b>	<b>1,772</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>1,664</b>	<b>93.9 %</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>6.1 %</b>
<b>Community Council area</b>						
Abbott Loop	124	0.04	119	96.0 %	5	4.0 %
Airport Heights	51	0.06	48	94.1	3	5.9
Basher	9	0.00	9	100.0	0	0.0
Bayshore/Klatt	41	0.07	38	92.7	3	7.3
Bear Valley	4	0.00	4	100.0	0	0.0
Birchwood	14	0.14	12	85.7 %	2	14.3 %
Campbell Park	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
Chugiak	34	0.06	32	94.1	2	5.9
Downtown	38	0.08	35	92.1	3	7.9
Eagle River	58	0.09	53	91.4	5	8.6
Eagle River Valley	55	0.11	49	89.1 %	6	10.9 %
Elmendorf	15	0.00	15	100.0	0	0.0
Fairview	70	0.14	60	85.7	10	14.3
Fort Richardson	11	0.09	10	90.9	1	9.1
Government Hill	59	0.08	54	91.5	5	8.5
Hillside East	13	0.08	12	92.3 %	1	7.7 %
Huffman/O'Malley	69	0.04	66	95.7	3	4.3
Mid-Hillside	34	0.09	31	91.2	3	8.8
Mountain View	48	0.13	42	87.5	6	12.5
North Star	43	0.00	43	100.0	0	0.0
Northeast	160	0.04	154	96.3 %	6	3.8 %
Old Seward/Oceanview	28	0.00	28	100.0	0	0.0
Rabbit Creek	52	0.04	50	96.2	2	3.8
Rogers Park	23	0.04	22	95.7	1	4.3
Russian Jack Park	83	0.04	80	96.4	3	3.6
Sand Lake	103	0.05	98	95.1 %	5	4.9 %
Scenic Foothills	42	0.07	39	92.9	3	7.1
South Addition	47	0.06	44	93.6	3	6.4
South Fork	21	0.05	20	95.2	1	4.8
Spenard	125	0.03	121	96.8	4	3.2
Taku/Campbell	58	0.09	53	91.4 %	5	8.6 %
Tudor Area	10	0.00	10	100.0	0	0.0
Turnagain	101	0.06	95	94.1	6	5.9
Turnagain Arm	28	0.11	25	89.3	3	10.7
University Area	43	0.12	38	88.4	5	11.6
Unknown <sup>2</sup>	16	0.00	16	100.0 %	0	0.0 %

- Categories were assigned numerical values to allow presentation of statistical averages. Higher numbers reflect more respondents reporting some other kind of contact with police. Values are assigned as follows: Not reported=0; Yes=1.
- 16 questionnaires could not be coded for community council area.


*Intentionally left blank.*



**Appendix:**  
**Anchorage Community Survey 2007**  
**Questionnaire**

*Intentionally left blank.*

# Anchorage



# Community Survey

2007

Please return your completed questionnaire  
in the enclosed pre-stamped envelope to:

Justice Center, University of Alaska Anchorage

3211 Providence Drive      ~      Anchorage, AK 99508

***Note:** Although not included in the survey questionnaire as originally mailed, for the purposes of this report we have provided table numbers (to left of each question) to assist readers in matching questions with the associated tables.*

Your answers are completely confidential. When you submit your completed questionnaire, your name and address will be deleted from the mailing list and never connected to your answers in any way. When the dataset is made public, no names or addresses will be connected to your answers, and no answers to essay questions will be included in the public data file. This survey is voluntary. However, you can help us very much by taking a few minutes to share your experiences and opinions about Anchorage.

Thank you very much for helping with this important study.

### I. Life in Anchorage Neighborhoods

1. Anchorage as a Place to Live						
		Strongly Dislike	Dislike	Like	Strongly Like	No Opinion
<b>Table 1.01</b>	On the whole, do you like or dislike this neighborhood as a place to live?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	No Opinion
<b>1.02</b>	Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with this statement: Personally, I would rate my neighborhood as an excellent place to live.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
		Not at all	Not much	Somewhat	Very much	
<b>1.03</b>	Suppose that for some reason, you HAD to move away from this neighborhood. Would you miss the neighborhood very much, somewhat, not much, or not at all?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
Feelings of Community						
2. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.						
		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	No Opinion
<b>1.04</b>	People in my neighborhood can be trusted.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.05</b>	People in my neighborhood generally <i>do not</i> get along with each other.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.06</b>	People in my neighborhood <i>do not</i> share the same values.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.07</b>	People in my neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.08</b>	Mine is a close-knit neighborhood.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.						
<b>1.09</b>	In your opinion, during the last year, have you felt that racism is a problem in Anchorage?(Racism is when you are treated unfairly because of your race, whether you think the person or people treating you unfairly are aware they are doing it or not.)					<input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Yes

4. Thinking about your own possible experience(s) with racism, do you believe that you have personally experienced racism during the past year?  
Please check any and all of the answers below that fit with your experience, and feel free to fill in an alternative response if your experience is not represented in the list.

**Table**

- 1.10** ☐ No, I have not experienced racism in the past year.
- 1.11** ☐ I have experienced racism while shopping.
- 1.12** ☐ I have experienced racism while at school.
- 1.13** ☐ I have experienced racism while at work.
- 1.14** ☐ I have experienced racism while renting or attempting to rent housing.
- 1.15** ☐ I have experienced racism while buying or attempting to buy housing.
- 1.16** ☐ I have experienced racism from police.
- 1.17** ☐ I have experienced racism from a judge, lawyer, or other member of the justice system.
- 1.18** ☐ I have experienced racism from members of local and/or state government.
- 1.19** ☐ Other (please explain): \_\_\_\_\_

**Neighborhood Informal Social Control**

5. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	No Opinion
<b>1.20</b>	One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if children were spray-painting graffiti on a local building.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.21</b>	At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were showing disrespect to an adult.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.22</b>	One or more of my neighbors would intervene if the fire station closest to their home was threatened with budget cuts.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.23</b>	One or more of my neighbors could be counted on to intervene if a fight broke out in front of their home.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.24</b>	At least one of my neighbors would intervene if children were skipping school and hanging out on a neighborhood street corner.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. How often has each of the following things happened in your neighborhood **during the past 6 months?**  
(If this has not happened, please write "0")

- 1.25** a fight in which a weapon was used \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.26** a violent argument between neighbors \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.27** an incident of gang violence \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.28** a sexual assault or rape \_\_\_\_\_
- 1.29** a robbery, burglary, or mugging \_\_\_\_\_

7. Do any of the following conditions exist in your neighborhood?  
Please check the box at the left for only those conditions that exist in your neighborhood.

<b>Table</b>	
<b>1.30</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned cars and/or buildings
<b>1.31</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Rundown or neglected buildings
<b>1.32</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor lighting
<b>1.33</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Overgrown shrubs or trees
<b>1.34</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Trash in the streets
<b>1.35</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Empty lots
<b>1.36</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Public drinking/public drug use
<b>1.37</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Public drug sales
<b>1.38</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Vandalism or graffiti
<b>1.39</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Prostitution
<b>1.40</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Panhandling/begging
<b>1.41</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Loitering/hanging out
<b>1.42</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Truancy/youth skipping school
<b>1.43</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Transients/homeless sleeping on streets

8. Crime in the Community

		Not at all	A little	Moderately	A lot
<b>1.44</b>	To what extent are you fearful that you or members of your household will be the victim of burglary (while you or your loved ones are at home)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.45</b>	To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a sexual assault?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.46</b>	To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a murder?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.47</b>	To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be the victim of a kidnapping?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>1.48</b>	To what extent are you fearful that you or a member of your household will be attacked with a weapon?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
		Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often
<b>1.49</b>	How often does worry about crime prevent you from doing things you would like to do in your neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. While you have lived in this neighborhood, has anyone ever used violence, such as in a mugging, fight, or sexual assault, against you or any member of your household anywhere in your neighborhood?

**1.50** ☐ No ☐ Yes

10. What would you consider the most important public safety issue in your neighborhood?

- Table**
11. Below is a list of things people may do for self-protection or to feel more secure in their homes and neighborhoods. Which of these things do you do? Please check all that apply.
- 1.51** ☐ Lock doors at night and when you are away from home
  - 1.52** ☐ Lock doors during the day and when you are at home
  - 1.53** ☐ Use a home security system
  - 1.54** ☐ Use a security system on vehicle(s)
  - 1.55** ☐ Have a dog
  - 1.56** ☐ Take self-defense lessons
  - 1.57** ☐ Keep a firearm in the home
  - 1.58** ☐ Develop a signal for "danger" with neighbors
  - 1.59** ☐ Attend neighborhood watch meetings
  - 1.60** ☐ Have outside/automatic lights to deter prowlers
  - 1.61** ☐ Other (please specify) \_\_\_\_\_

12. What would you most like to change about your neighborhood?

## II. Social Activities and Organizations

13. Social Ties

		Never	Less than once a month	Monthly	Weekly	Daily
<b>2.01</b>	How often do you borrow something from or loan something to a neighbor?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>2.02</b>	How often do you visit with a neighbor, out in the neighborhood or in one of your homes?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<b>2.03</b>	How many of your neighbors would you say that you know by sight or by name?	None <input type="radio"/>	One or two <input type="radio"/>	Several <input type="radio"/>	The majority <input type="radio"/>	All or almost all <input type="radio"/>
<b>2.04</b>	Not counting those who live with you, how many friends and relatives do you have in your neighborhood?	None <input type="radio"/>	1-3 <input type="radio"/>	4-6 <input type="radio"/>	7-9 <input type="radio"/>	10 or more <input type="radio"/>



- Table 2.05** 14. In what year did you move to your current home? \_\_\_\_\_
15. Do you or any members of your household belong to any of the following **local organizations**?  
Please check all that apply.
- 2.06** ☐ church, synagogue, mosque, temple, or other religious organization
- 2.07** ☐ local political organization
- 2.08** ☐ block group, tenant association, or community council
- 2.09** ☐ business or civic group like the Chamber of Commerce, Rotary Club, Elks/Moose Lodge, etc.
- 2.10** ☐ ethnic or nationality club in Anchorage
- 2.11** ☐ neighborhood watch group
- 2.12** ☐ some other group (please specify): \_\_\_\_\_
- 2.13** 16. In the past year have you given your time, without pay, to any charitable, civic, religious, or other volunteer organization? ☐ No ☐ Yes
- 2.14** If you have volunteered time, how many hours per month would you estimate you volunteer?  
(If you have not volunteered in the past year, please leave these questions blank.)
- ☐ 0-4 hrs
- ☐ 5-10 hrs
- ☐ 11-19 hrs
- ☐ 20 hrs or more
- 2.15** Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to neighborhood youth? ☐ No ☐ Yes
- 2.16** Does your volunteer work provide your time and efforts to justice issues? ☐ No ☐ Yes

### III. Local Services

17. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided **in your neighborhood**?
- |             |   | Very<br>Dissatisfied  | Dissatisfied          | Satisfied             | Very<br>Satisfied     | No<br>Opinion         |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>3.01</b> | Garbage collection                        | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>3.02</b> | Snow removal                              | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>3.03</b> | Recreational facilities                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| <b>3.04</b> | Overall condition of streets and roadways | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

18. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way that each of the following services is provided in Anchorage?

Table		Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	No Opinion
3.05	Fire Service	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.06	Emergency Medical Services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.07	Police Services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.08	K-12 Education	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.09	The PEOPLE MOVER bus system	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

19. In the past twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member used any of these Anchorage area services?  
Please check all that apply.

- 3.10 ☐ Fire Service
- 3.11 ☐ Emergency Medical Services
- 3.12 ☐ Anchorage School District (please check if you are the parent or guardian of a child enrolled)
- 3.13 ☐ PEOPLE MOVER bus system

- 3.14 20. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member had any interaction with departments in the municipality about municipal issues like animal control, snow plowing, or trash services? ☐ No ☐ Yes

21. How would you rate the Municipality of Anchorage in each of the following areas?

		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	No Opinion
3.15	Opportunities to give input on Municipal decisions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.16	Distribution of information to area residents	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.17	Employment of polite and professional staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.18	Willingness to address problems identified by area residents	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- 3.19 22. In your opinion, what is the quality of health and medical care available in Anchorage?
- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Excellent
- ☐ No opinion

**Table  
3.20**

23.	Please indicate the number of times you and/or members of your family have received medical care over the past twelve months.			
	<input type="radio"/> Not at all <input type="radio"/> One or two times <input type="radio"/> Three or four times <input type="radio"/> Five or more times			
24.				
		No	Yes	
3.21	Do you have any kind of health care coverage (health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, or government plans such as Medicare)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
3.22	Have you needed medical attention in the past year?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
3.23	Were you able to receive the medical treatment you needed?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
	If you were unable to receive needed medical treatment, why were you not able to get treatment?	<div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; width: 100%;"></div>		
25. Where do you <i>usually</i> go when you need to see a doctor? Please check the one or two places you most often go for treatment.				
3.24	<input type="checkbox"/> Private doctor/medical clinics			
3.25	<input type="checkbox"/> Emergency room			
3.26	<input type="checkbox"/> Hospital			
3.27	<input type="checkbox"/> VA Hospital or clinic			
3.28	<input type="checkbox"/> Neighborhood/community health clinic			
3.29	<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent care clinics			
3.30	<input type="checkbox"/> Native Health Facility			
3.31	<input type="checkbox"/> Alternative care practices (such as chiropractors, acupuncturists, naturopaths, etc.)			
3.32	<input type="checkbox"/> Elmendorf Air Force Base			
3.33	<input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable: I don't seek treatment when I need it.			
3.34	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify): _____			
26. Community justice centers are places where some types of non-violent crime cases can be heard in more local, neighborhood courts and where residents can access social and community services. Would you be interested in having a community justice center located in your neighborhood?				
3.35		<input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> Don't know/ No opinion
3.36	Would you be interested in volunteering time with a community justice center if one were located in your neighborhood?	<input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> Perhaps

Anchorage Police Department Performance

27. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.

Table		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	No Opinion
3.37	The police here are responsive to local issues.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.38	The police are doing a good job in dealing with problems that really concern people in this neighborhood.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.39	The police are not doing a good job in preventing crime in this neighborhood.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.40	The police do a good job in responding to people after they have been victims of crime.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3.41	The police are not able to maintain order on the streets and sidewalks in the neighborhood.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

28. In the last twelve months, have you, a close friend, or a family member experienced any of the following types of contact with police? Please check all that apply.

- 3.42 ☐ requesting information
- 3.43 ☐ reporting a situation requiring police intervention
- 3.44 ☐ reporting being victimized by crime
- 3.45 ☐ following up on a previously filed report
- 3.46 ☐ providing witness information about a crime being investigated
- 3.47 ☐ being stopped for a traffic violation
- 3.48 ☐ being detained regarding a crime
- 3.49 ☐ being arrested for a crime
- 3.50 ☐ maintaining contact with a parole or probation officer
- 3.51 ☐ socializing with an officer in his/her civilian life
- 3.52 ☐ Other (please explain) \_\_\_\_\_

#### Part IV: Respondent Background Information

This demographic information helps researchers at the university to better understand features of community and civic attitudes as they relate to individual characteristics. These responses will be kept confidential, and your answers to these and all of the questions in this survey will not be traceable to you.

Nonetheless, if there are any questions that you do not wish to answer, please simply skip those items and move onto the next question in the survey. Your answers remain valuable whether you choose to answer every question or not.

D.01 29. How old were you on your last birthday? \_\_\_\_

**Table  
D.02**

30. What is your gender?      ☐ Female      ☐ Male

**D.03**

31. What is your current marital status?

- ☐ Single, Never Married
- ☐ Married
- ☐ Separated
- ☐ Divorced
- ☐ Widowed

**D.04**

32. What is your highest level of formal education?

- ☐ Less than a High School Diploma
- ☐ High School Diploma or Equivalent
- ☐ Some College, No Degree
- ☐ Associates or Other 2-year Degree
- ☐ Bachelor's Degree
- ☐ Graduate Degree

**D.05**

33. What is your best estimate of the total gross income for your entire household last year?

- ☐ Less than \$20,000
- ☐ \$20,000 to \$34,999
- ☐ \$35,000 to \$49,999
- ☐ \$50,000 to \$74,999
- ☐ \$75,000 to \$99,999
- ☐ \$100,000 or more

34. Household composition

**D.06**

How many people currently live in your household, including yourself?

\_\_\_\_\_

**D.07**

How many children under the age of 18 currently live in your home? (Please write "0" if no children live with you.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**D.08**

How many of your children currently attend Anchorage School District Schools?

\_\_\_\_\_

**Table  
D.09**

34. Which of the following best describes your currently **primary** employment status? (Please select one.)

- ☐ Self-employed, Full-time
- ☐ Employed, Full-time
- ☐ Full-time Homemaker
- ☐ Full-time Student
- ☐ Employed, Part-time
- ☐ Disabled, Unable to Work
- ☐ Unemployed, Looking for Work
- ☐ Unemployed, Not Looking for Work
- ☐ Retired

**D.10** 35. Do you own your home or do you rent? ☐ Own ☐ Rent

**D.11** 36. Do you see yourself leaving Anchorage in the foreseeable future? ☐ No ☐ Yes

**D.12** If you do see yourself leaving how many more years do you expect to live in Anchorage before you leave?  
(If you do not expect to leave Anchorage, please leave this question blank.) \_\_\_\_\_

**D.13** 37. How many years have you lived in Anchorage? \_\_\_\_\_

38. Is there anything else that you would like to tell us about life in the Anchorage Municipality or about this survey? Please write your comments below.